## Transcendental Hubbard Trees

#### David Pfrang

Jacobs University Bremen

March 25, 2019

## Post-critically finite polynomials



Figure: The Douady rabbit is the filled in Julia set of the polynomial  $z \mapsto z^2 + c$ ,  $c \approx -0.12 + 0.74i$ .

Let  $p \colon \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$  be post-critically finite.

- The Julia set *J*(*p*) and the filled-in Julia set *K*(*p*) are connected and locally connected.
- The filled-in Julia set K(p) is full. Its complement
  I(p) = C \ K(p) is the escaping set.
- The filled-in Julia set is uniquely arcwise connected up to homotopy.

## Bounded Fatou components

Let  $U \subset K(p) \setminus J(p)$  be a bounded Fatou component of p.

- U is a Jordan domain
- The intersection
  Ω(C(p)) ∩ U = {z} is a singleton. We call z the center of U.
- Let  $\varphi: U \to \mathbb{D}$  be a Riemann map,  $\varphi(z) = 0$ . For  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ , the arc  $\gamma := \varphi^{-1}([0, e^{2\pi i \theta}))$  is called an **internal ray** of U.
- Internal rays are dynamically invariant



## Hubbard Trees for polynomials



Figure: Filled-in Julia set of a degree 4 unicritical polynomial  $z \mapsto z^4 + c$  in black and its Hubbard Tree in orange.

The **Hubbard Tree** of a post-critically finite polynomial  $p: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$  is the unique smallest embedded tree  $H \subset \mathbb{C}$  satisfying:

- C(p) ⊂ H, i.e., H contains all critical points of p.
- $p(H) \subset H$ .
- Let *U* be a bounded Fatou component. The intersection of *H* with *U* is either empty, a singleton, or it consists of internal rays of *U*.

We want to extend the definition to the transcendental case.

#### Definition (Naive definition of Transcendental Hubbard Trees)

The Hubbard Tree of a post-singularly finite entire function  $f: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$  is the unique smallest embedded tree  $H \subset \mathbb{C}$  satisfying:

- $C(f) \subset H$ , i.e., H contains all critical points of f.
- $f(H) \subset H$ .
- Let *U* be a component of the Fatou set of *f*. The intersection of *H* with *U* is either empty, a singleton, or it consists of internal rays of *U*.

We want to extend the definition to the transcendental case.

#### Definition (Naive definition of Transcendental Hubbard Trees)

The Hubbard Tree of a post-singularly finite entire function  $f: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$  is the unique smallest embedded tree  $H \subset \mathbb{C}$  satisfying:

- $C(f) \subset H$ , i.e., H contains all critical points of f.
- $f(H) \subset H$ .
- Let *U* be a component of the Fatou set of *f*. The intersection of *H* with *U* is either empty, a singleton, or it consists of internal rays of *U*.

There exist transcendental entire functions without critical points, e.g.,  $C(\lambda \exp) = \emptyset$ .

For  $f \in S$ , let V be a small disk around  $a \in S(f)$ . Let U be a connected component of  $f^{-1}(V)$  such that  $f|_U$  is not injective.

For  $f \in S$ , let V be a small disk around  $a \in S(f)$ . Let U be a connected component of  $f^{-1}(V)$  such that  $f|_U$  is not injective.

Algebraic singularity



We call *a* a **critical value** of *f*. The unique preimage *z* of *a* in *U* is a **critical point** of degree *d*.

For  $f \in S$ , let V be a small disk around  $a \in S(f)$ . Let U be a connected component of  $f^{-1}(V)$  such that  $f|_U$  is not injective.

Algebraic singularity



We call *a* a **critical value** of *f*. The unique preimage *z* of *a* in *U* is a **critical point** of degree *d*.

Logarithmic singularity



*a* is an **asymptotic value** of *f*. We define an extension  $\hat{U} := U \cup \{T\}$  and extend *f* continuously via  $\hat{f}(T) := a$ 

For  $f \in S$ , let V be a small disk around  $a \in S(f)$ . Let U be a connected component of  $f^{-1}(V)$  such that  $f|_U$  is not injective.

Algebraic singularity



We call *a* a **critical value** of *f*. The unique preimage *z* of *a* in *U* is a **critical point** of degree *d*.

Logarithmic singularity



*a* is an **asymptotic value** of *f*. We define an extension  $\widehat{U} := U \cup \{T\}$  and extend *f* continuously via  $\widehat{f}(T) := a$ 

We form an extension  $\mathbb{C}_f \supset \mathbb{C}$  of the complex plane by adding all logarithmic singularities. 6 / 19

#### Definition (Hubbard Trees for psf entire functions)

Let f be a post-singularly finite transcendental entire function. The *Hubbard Tree* of f is the unique smallest embedded tree  $H \subset \mathbb{C}_f$  satisfying:

- $C(\hat{f}) \subset H$ , i.e., H contains all singularities of the inverse of f.
- $f(H) \subset H$ .
- Let *U* be a Fatou component of *f*. The intersection of *H* with *U* is either empty, a singleton, or consists of internal rays of *U*.

#### Definition (Hubbard Trees for psf entire functions)

Let f be a post-singularly finite transcendental entire function. The *Hubbard Tree* of f is the unique smallest embedded tree  $H \subset \mathbb{C}_f$  satisfying:

- $C(\hat{f}) \subset H$ , i.e., H contains all singularities of the inverse of f.
- $f(H) \subset H$ .
- Let *U* be a Fatou component of *f*. The intersection of *H* with *U* is either empty, a singleton, or consists of internal rays of *U*.

Work in progress:

- If  $AV(f) = \emptyset$ , i.e., if  $\mathbb{C}_f = \mathbb{C}$ , then f has a Hubbard Tree.
- Even if AV(f) ≠ Ø, the map f has a Hubbard Tree as long as post-singular points are not separated by logarithmic singularities.

## The definition of transcendental Hubbard Trees

#### Definition (Hubbard Trees for psf entire functions)

Let f be a post-singularly finite transcendental entire function. The *Hubbard Tree* of f is the unique smallest embedded tree  $H \subset \mathbb{C}_f$  satisfying:

- $C(\hat{f}) \subset H$ , i.e., H contains all singularities of the inverse of f.
- $f(H) \subset H$ .
- Let *U* be a Fatou component of *f*. The intersection of *H* with *U* is either empty, a singleton, or consists of internal rays of *U*.

But: There are psf entire functions that do not have a Hubbard Tree in the above sense, e.g., exponential maps. See

Pfrang, David; Rothgang, Michael; Schleicher, Dierk. Homotopy Hubbard Trees for post-singularly finite exponential maps. arXiv:1812.11831 [math.DS]





8/19

Let f be a post-singularly finite entire function. A *(reduced)* Homotopy Hubbard Tree for f is a finite embedded tree  $H \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that

- All endpoints of *H* are post-singular points.
- *H* is forward invariant up to homotopy rel P(f).
- The induced self-map of H is expansive.

Let f be a post-singularly finite entire function. A (reduced) Homotopy Hubbard Tree for f is a finite embedded tree  $H \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that

- All endpoints of *H* are post-singular points.
- *H* is forward invariant up to homotopy rel P(f).
- The induced self-map of H is expansive.

Why is this concept useful?

#### Theorem (P., 2019)

Every post-singulary finite entire function has a Homotopy Hubbard Tree and this tree is unique up to homotopy relative to the post-singular set.

• Homotopy Hubbard Trees are a tool to prove the existence of actual Hubbard Trees (in the cases where they exist).

Let f be a post-singularly finite entire function. A (reduced) Homotopy Hubbard Tree for f is a finite embedded tree  $H \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that

- All endpoints of *H* are post-singular points.
- *H* is forward invariant up to homotopy rel P(f).
- The induced self-map of H is expansive.

Why only require H to contain P(f), but not all critical points?

Let f be a post-singularly finite entire function. A (reduced) Homotopy Hubbard Tree for f is a finite embedded tree  $H \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that

- All endpoints of *H* are post-singular points.
- *H* is forward invariant up to homotopy rel P(f).
- The induced self-map of H is expansive.

Why only require H to contain P(f), but not all critical points?

• *H* is a *finite* embedded tree. The full Hubbard Tree is, in general, infinite. The full tree can easily be recovered.

Let f be a post-singularly finite entire function. A (reduced) Homotopy Hubbard Tree for f is a finite embedded tree  $H \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that

- All endpoints of *H* are post-singular points.
- *H* is forward invariant up to homotopy rel P(f).
- The induced self-map of H is expansive.

Why only require H to contain P(f), but not all critical points?

- *H* is a *finite* embedded tree. The full Hubbard Tree is, in general, infinite. The full tree can easily be recovered.
- Natural for *Thurston Theory*. The reduced tree gives rise to a *finite* combinatorial object that distinguishes functions with the same "geometry".

#### Theorem (P., Rothgang, Schleicher - 2018)

Every post-singularly finite exponential map has a Homotopy Hubbard Tree. This tree is unique up to homotopy relative to the post-singular set. For every abstract exponential Hubbard Tree, there is a unique post-singularly finite exponential map realizing it.

The classification cycle:

Hubbard tree  $\leftarrow$  Forgetful Holomorphic post-singularly finite map  $\downarrow$  Forgetful Thurston rigidity  $\uparrow$  Abstract Hubbard tree  $\longrightarrow$  Topological post-singularly finite map

How can we prove the existence of (Homotopy) Hubbard Trees for transcendental maps?

In the polynomial case, the topology of the Julia set was used to prove existence and uniqueness of Hubbard Trees.

For a post-singularly finite transcendental entire function f, the structure of J(f) is, in general, not useful. In many cases, we have  $J(f) = \mathbb{C}$ .

## Escaping sets and dynamic rays of polynomials



The **Böttcher map**  $\Phi$  is the unique conformal isomorphism from l(p) onto  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}$  satisfying  $\lim_{z\to\infty} \Phi(z)/z = 1$ .

The dynamic ray  $g_{\theta}$  of angle  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  is the preimage  $g_{\theta} = \Phi^{-1}((e^{2\pi i\theta}, \infty))$  of the straight radial line of angle  $\theta$  under the Böttcher map.

## Landing of dynamic rays



For a post-critically finite polynomial, every dynamic ray lands at a point in J(f) and every  $z \in J(f)$  is the landing point of a dynamic ray.

Figure: Julia set and Hubbard Tree of the polnomial  $z \mapsto z^2 + i$ . The rays of angle  $\frac{1}{7}$ ,  $\frac{2}{7}$ , and  $\frac{4}{7}$  land together.

13/19

## Landing of dynamic rays



For a post-critically finite polynomial, every dynamic ray lands at a point in J(f) and every  $z \in J(f)$  is the landing point of a dynamic ray.

We call a point  $b \in J(f)$  a branch point if  $J(f) \setminus \{b\}$  has at least three connected components.

Figure: Julia set and Hubbard Tree of the polnomial  $z \mapsto z^2 + i$ . The rays of angle  $\frac{1}{7}$ ,  $\frac{2}{7}$ , and  $\frac{4}{7}$  land together.

13/19

## Landing of dynamic rays



Figure: Julia set and Hubbard Tree of the polnomial  $z \mapsto z^2 + i$ . The rays of angle  $\frac{1}{7}$ ,  $\frac{2}{7}$ , and  $\frac{4}{7}$  land together. For a post-critically finite polynomial, every dynamic ray lands at a point in J(f) and every  $z \in J(f)$  is the landing point of a dynamic ray.

We call a point  $b \in J(f)$  a branch point if  $J(f) \setminus \{b\}$  has at least three connected components.

Every branch point is eventually periodic. All *periodic* branch points of *f* are contained in its Hubbard Tree.

## Branch points of Hubbard Trees



The set of dynamic rays landing at post-singular points and branch points is forward invariant.

Figure: A Homotopy Hubbard Tree for the polynomial  $z \mapsto z^2 + i$ 

## Branch points of Hubbard Trees



The set of dynamic rays landing at post-singular points and branch points is forward invariant.

There is only one way up to homotopy to connect the post-singular points via a tree T without intersecting these rays.

Figure: A Homotopy Hubbard Tree for the polynomial  $z \mapsto z^2 + i$ 

## Branch points of Hubbard Trees



Figure: A Homotopy Hubbard Tree for the polynomial  $z \mapsto z^2 + i$  The set of dynamic rays landing at post-singular points and branch points is forward invariant.

There is only one way up to homotopy to connect the post-singular points via a tree T without intersecting these rays.

The preimage tree also does not intersect them. Therefore, T is forward invariant up to homotopy relative to the post-singular set.

## Dreadlocks

Theorem (Decomposition of the escaping set, Benini, A.; Rempe-Gillen, L. - 2017)

Let f be a post-singularly bounded. There is a natural decomposition  $I(f) = \bigcup_{\underline{s} \in S} G_{\underline{s}}$  into dreadlocks  $G_{\underline{s}}$  parametrized by external addresses. For every external address  $\underline{s} \in S$ , the dreadlock  $G_{\underline{s}}$  is either empty or unbounded and connected.



#### Theorem (Landing Theorem, Benini, A.; Rempe-Gillen, L. - 2017)

Let f be a post-singularly bounded entire function. Every periodic dreadlock of f lands at a repelling or parabolic periodic point. Conversely, every repelling and every parabolic periodic point of f is the landing point of at least one and at most finitely many dreadlocks all of which have the same period.

We use **symbolic dynamics** on the space of external addresses to construct (pre-)periodic dreadlocks that land together and separate post-singular points. Their landing points are the **branch points** of the Homotopy Hubbard Tree.

## Construction of Homotopy Hubbard Trees



## Theorem (Post-singular separation)

Let f be a post-singularly finite entire function, and let p, q,  $r \in P(f)$  be distinct post-singular points. Then the three points p, q, and r are separated in one of the four ways drawn to the left.

## Exactly invariant trees



Find a domain  $U \supset H, H'$  and a conformal metric  $\rho$  (orbifold metric, modified hyperbolic metric) such that f is expanding on U w.r.t.  $\rho$ .

## Exactly invariant trees



Choose a differentiable homotopy between H and the preimage H' in U.

## Exactly invariant trees



Iteratively, lift the homotopy, to obtain a forward invariant compact subset as a limit. Separating dreadlocks ensure that the limiting object is a tree.

## A transcendental Hubbard Tree

# Thank you for your attention!



Figure: Hubbard Tree off(z) = cos(c(z+1)), $c \approx -0.68 + 1.00i$ . Picture byLasse Rempe-Gillen.19 / 19