Non-autonomous exponential maps: Hausdorff dimension of hair

Łukasz Pawelec

SGH Warsaw School of Economics

26 march 2019

# A general non-autonomous system

Take a one-parameter analytic family  $f_{\lambda}(z) = \lambda e^{z}$ . Denote by  $\Omega = (\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\})^{\mathbb{N}}$  with the shift action  $\sigma \colon \Lambda \to \Lambda$ . For any sequence  $\lambda = (\lambda_n)_{n=0}^{\infty} \in \Omega$  define  $f_{\lambda}^n$  as

$$f_{\lambda}^{n}=f_{\lambda_{n-1}}\circ f_{\lambda_{n-2}}\circ\cdots\circ f_{\lambda_{0}}.$$

# A general non-autonomous system

Take a one-parameter analytic family  $f_{\lambda}(z) = \lambda e^{z}$ . Denote by  $\Omega = (\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\})^{\mathbb{N}}$  with the shift action  $\sigma \colon \Lambda \to \Lambda$ . For any sequence  $\lambda = (\lambda_n)_{n=0}^{\infty} \in \Omega$  define  $f_{\lambda}^n$  as

$$f_{\lambda}^{n}=f_{\lambda_{n-1}}\circ f_{\lambda_{n-2}}\circ\cdots\circ f_{\lambda_{0}}.$$

Note that by this definition  $f_{\lambda} = f_{\lambda_0}$ , so

$$f_{\lambda}^{n} = f_{\sigma^{n-1}\lambda} \circ f_{\sigma^{n-2}\lambda} \circ \cdots \circ f_{\sigma\lambda} \circ f_{\lambda}.$$

# A general non-autonomous system

Take a one-parameter analytic family  $f_{\lambda}(z) = \lambda e^{z}$ . Denote by  $\Omega = (\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\})^{\mathbb{N}}$  with the shift action  $\sigma \colon \Lambda \to \Lambda$ . For any sequence  $\lambda = (\lambda_n)_{n=0}^{\infty} \in \Omega$  define  $f_{\lambda}^n$  as

$$f_{\lambda}^{n} = f_{\lambda_{n-1}} \circ f_{\lambda_{n-2}} \circ \cdots \circ f_{\lambda_{0}}.$$

Note that by this definition  $f_{\lambda} = f_{\lambda_0}$ , so

$$f_{\lambda}^{n} = f_{\sigma^{n-1}\lambda} \circ f_{\sigma^{n-2}\lambda} \circ \cdots \circ f_{\sigma\lambda} \circ f_{\lambda}.$$

One could also think of this as a skew-product:

$$F(\lambda, z) = (\sigma \lambda, \lambda e^z)$$

The Julia and Fatou sets are defined similarly to the typical situation (of equal  $\lambda_n$ ). Namely,

#### Definition

The Fatou set  $F(f_{\lambda})$  consists of all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  such that for some neighbourhood U of z the sequence  $\{f_{\lambda}^{n}|_{U}\}$  forms a normal family.

The Julia set  $J(f_{\lambda}) = \mathbb{C} \setminus F(f_{\lambda})$ .

• lack of periodic points

- lack of periodic points
- lack of (pre-) periodic Fatou components

- lack of periodic points
- lack of (pre-) periodic Fatou components
- limited use of critical (asymptotic) values

- lack of periodic points
- lack of (pre-) periodic Fatou components
- limited use of critical (asymptotic) values
- Julia set may be empty

In this talk we are going to assume that for all *n* we have  $\lambda_n \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\lambda_n \in [a, b]$ , for some  $b < +\infty$  and  $a > \frac{1}{e}$ .

In this talk we are going to assume that for all *n* we have  $\lambda_n \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\lambda_n \in [a, b]$ , for some  $b < +\infty$  and  $a > \frac{1}{e}$ .

### Theorem (Urbański, Zdunik)

Under assumptions as above  $J(f_{\lambda}) = \mathbb{C}$ .

In fact they proved more...

For any integer c define a horizontal strip

$$P_c = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : (2c-1)\pi < \operatorname{Im}(z) \le (2c+1)\pi\}.$$

Take any sequence  $\bar{c} = (c_n)_{n=0}^{\infty} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}$ .

For any integer c define a horizontal strip

$$P_c = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : (2c-1)\pi < \operatorname{Im}(z) \le (2c+1)\pi\}.$$

Take any sequence  $\bar{c} = (c_n)_{n=0}^{\infty} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}$ .

### Definition

We say that a point  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  has a code  $\overline{c}$  if for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 

 $f_{\lambda}^n(z) \in P_{c_n}$ 

Denote the set of all the points having a code  $\bar{c}$  as  $\Lambda_{\bar{c}}$ .

For any integer c define a horizontal strip

$$P_c = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : (2c-1)\pi < \operatorname{Im}(z) \le (2c+1)\pi\}.$$

Take any sequence  $\bar{c} = (c_n)_{n=0}^{\infty} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}$ .

## Definition

We say that a point  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  has a code  $\overline{c}$  if for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 

$$f_{\lambda_{n-1}} \circ f_{\lambda_{n-2}} \circ \cdots \circ f_{\lambda_0}(z) = f_{\lambda}^n(z) \in P_{c_n}$$

Denote the set of all the points having a code  $\bar{c}$  as  $\Lambda_{\bar{c}}$ .

3 N

• Devaney & Krych showed which codes are permissible.

- Devaney & Krych showed which codes are permissible.
- We may divide it into three subsets:

$$\Lambda_{\overline{c}} = \Lambda^{\infty}_{\overline{c}} \cup \Lambda^{\mathrm{bd}}_{\overline{c}} \cup \Lambda^{\mathrm{ubd}}_{\overline{c}}$$

- Devaney & Krych showed which codes are permissible.
- We may divide it into three subsets:

$$\Lambda_{\overline{c}} = \Lambda^{\infty}_{\overline{c}} \cup \Lambda^{\mathrm{bd}}_{\overline{c}} \cup \Lambda^{\mathrm{ubd}}_{\overline{c}}$$

• Viana da Silva proved that  $\Lambda^\infty_{\bar{c}}$  is a  $\mathcal{C}^\infty\text{-curve}$ 

- Devaney & Krych showed which codes are permissible.
- We may divide it into three subsets:

$$\Lambda_{\overline{c}} = \Lambda^{\infty}_{\overline{c}} \cup \Lambda^{\mathrm{bd}}_{\overline{c}} \cup \Lambda^{\mathrm{ubd}}_{\overline{c}}$$

- $\bullet\,$  Viana da Silva proved that  $\Lambda^\infty_{\overline{c}}$  is a  $\mathcal{C}^\infty\text{-curve}$
- If  $\lambda > \frac{1}{e}$ , then  $\Lambda_{\overline{c}}^{\mathrm{bd}}$  is at most one point

- Devaney & Krych showed which codes are permissible.
- We may divide it into three subsets:

$$\Lambda_{\overline{c}} = \Lambda^{\infty}_{\overline{c}} \cup \Lambda^{\mathrm{bd}}_{\overline{c}} \cup \Lambda^{\mathrm{ubd}}_{\overline{c}}$$

- $\bullet\,$  Viana da Silva proved that  $\Lambda^\infty_{\bar{c}}$  is a  $\mathcal{C}^\infty\text{-curve}$
- If  $\lambda > \frac{1}{e}$ , then  $\Lambda_{\overline{c}}^{\mathrm{bd}}$  is at most one point
- If  $\Lambda_{\bar{c}}^{ubd} \neq \emptyset$ , then either  $\bar{c}$  is unbounded or it contains infinitely many 0's.

 $\Lambda_{0,0,0\ldots}$  is symmetrical. Denote

$$\Lambda := \Lambda_{0,0,0...} \cap \{\mathsf{Im}(z) \geq 0\}$$

Why is it important?

 $\Lambda_{0,0,0\ldots}$  is symmetrical. Denote

$$\Lambda := \Lambda_{0,0,0...} \cap \{\mathsf{Im}(z) \geq 0\}$$

Why is it important?

Theorem (The strong version of Urbański, Zdunik)

If all  $\lambda_n > 0$  and  $int(\Lambda) = \emptyset$ , then  $J(f_{\lambda}) = \mathbb{C}$ .

In the following assume that all  $\lambda_n \in [a, b]$ .

## Theorem (P)

The set  $\Lambda$  after a natural compactification (with countably many points) at  $\infty$  becomes an indecomposable continuum.

In the following assume that all  $\lambda_n \in [a, b]$ .

## Theorem (P)

The set  $\Lambda$  after a natural compactification (with countably many points) at  $\infty$  becomes an indecomposable continuum.

### Theorem (P)

The set  $\Lambda$  has the Hausdorff dimension equal to 1. (but the Hausdorff measure is not  $\sigma$ -finite).

The previous results should (?) also hold if we assume:

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have  $\lambda_n \in [\varepsilon, M]$ and for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  we have  $\lim_{n \to +\infty} f_{\sigma^k \lambda}^n(0) = \infty$