

UNICAMP

Limit cycles for a class of non-linear planar piecewise-continuous vector fields

Ricardo M. Martins^{*}



(5)

Introduction

The theory of the non-smooth dynamical systems has been developing very fast in the last years, mainly due to its strong relation with branches of applied science, such as mechanical and aerospace engineering. Indeed, discontinuous systems are in the boundary between mathematics, physics and engineering.

In many cases, the non-smooth systems are described by systems of ODEs in such a way that each system is defined in a region of the phase portrait. The boundary of such regions is called the discontinuity manifold [2].

There are a lot of research being made about the local behavior of non-smooth systems, near the discontinuity manifold [3].

We recall that a limit cycle of a differential system is an isolated periodic orbit in the set of all periodic orbits of the system. In this work we study the existence of limit cycles for the class of discontinuous control systems represented by

Proof of Theorem A

We apply Theorem 1 to system (2). We fix m odd (the case m even can be discarded)

$$A_1(x,y) = \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{a_{i,j} x^i y^j}, \ A_2(x,y) = \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{b_{i,j} x^i y^j}.$$

The change of coordinates $x = r \cos(\theta)$, $y = r \sin(\theta)$ turns system (2) into

$$\begin{cases} \dot{r} = \varepsilon F_{\omega}(r,\theta) \\ \dot{\theta} = 1 + \varepsilon G_{\omega}(r,\theta), \end{cases}$$
(4)

where F_{ω}, G_{ω} depends on A_1, A_2 . We take θ as the new time, so the new system write as

$$dr$$
 r $P(r, 0) + r^2 P(r, 0, r)$

$$\dot{x} = -y + \varepsilon (A_1(x, y) + \phi_0(k_1 x + k_2 y)b_1),$$

$$\dot{y} = x + \varepsilon (A_2(x, y) + \phi_0(k_1 x + k_2 y)b_2),$$
(1)

where $(k_1, k_2), (b_1, b_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ are nonzero, A_1, A_2 are C^r functions and ϕ_0 is given by

$$\phi_0(z) = \begin{cases} -1 , z \in (-\infty, 0), \\ 1 , z \in (0, +\infty). \end{cases}$$

Using the regularization process introduzed by Sotomayor and Teixeira, we approximate system (1) by considering

$$\phi_{\omega}(z) = \begin{cases} -1 , z \in (-\infty, -\omega), \\ z/\omega , z \in [-\omega, +\omega], \\ 1 , z \in (+\omega, +\infty) \end{cases}$$

and

$$\dot{x} = -y + arepsilon(A_1(x,y) + \phi_\omega(k_1x + k_2y)b_1),$$

 $\dot{y} = x + arepsilon(A_2(x,y) + \phi_\omega(k_1x + k_2y)b_2),$

Our main result is the following:

$\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \theta} = \varepsilon F_{\omega}(r,\theta) + \varepsilon^2 R_{\omega}(r,\theta,\varepsilon),$

where R_{ω} depends on F_{ω} , G_{ω} and. The system above is the form of (??). To compute the averaged system, we have to take into account if $r < \omega$ of $r \ge \omega$. As $\omega \to 0$, the the case $r < \omega$ is not interesting for us.

Computing the averaged equation for $r \geq \omega$, we obtain

$$\dot{r} = \varepsilon H(r, \omega) = \varepsilon \left(\frac{2}{r}b_1\sqrt{r^2 - \omega^2} - \frac{2b_1r}{\omega} \arccos\left(\frac{\omega}{r}\right)\right) + \varepsilon \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\frac{m-1}{2}}\lambda_{2j+1}r^{2j+1}\right),$$

$$\frac{1}{r} + a_{1,0} + b_{0,1} \text{ and for } k = 2l+1, l \ge 1,$$

$$= \frac{b_1}{\omega} + a_{1,0} + b_{0,1} \text{ and for } k = 2l + 1, l \ge 1,$$
$$\lambda_k = \rho_k \left(\sum_{i=1}^l a_{2i+1,k-(2i+1)} + b_{k-(2i+1),2i+1} \right) + \sigma_k (a_{k,0} + b_{0,k}),$$

and ρ_k, σ_k are positive constants depending on k. By Theorem 1, each simple zero r_{ω} of system (5) such that $\lim_{\omega \to 0} r_{\omega} \neq 0$ is related to a limit cycle of system (2) (and system (1)) for each $\varepsilon > 0$ small.

After some calculations, we can show that is possible to find $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m$ so that $H(r, \omega)$ has l (simple) zeroes, for l from 1 to $\frac{m-1}{2}$.

Theorem A: Consider system (2) with A_1, A_2 polynomial functions of degree m. Then for every small $\varepsilon > 0$, at most $\frac{m-1}{2}$ limit cycles bifurcates from the periodic orbits of system (2) with $\varepsilon = 0$. Moreover, there are systems having exactly $\frac{m-1}{2}$ limit cycles.

The proof of Theorem A relies on Averaging Theory. We mention that a similar result holds for higher dimensional systems, considering A_1, A_2 linear [4].

Averaging Theory

The first-order averaging theory developed in [1] is summarized as follows.

Theorem 1. Consider the differential system

 $\dot{x}(t) = \varepsilon F_1(t, x) + \varepsilon^2 R(t, x, \varepsilon),$ (3)

where $F_1 : \mathbb{R} \times D \to \mathbb{R}^n$, $R : \mathbb{R} \times D \times (-\varepsilon_f, \varepsilon_f) \to \mathbb{R}^n$ are continuous functions, Tperiodic in the first variable, and D is an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n . We define $F_{10}: D \to \mathbb{R}^n$ as

$$F_{10}(z) = rac{1}{T} \int_0^T F_1(s, z) ds,$$

Now we provide examples with 1 and $\frac{m-1}{2}$ limit cycles.

Example 1: Take $\lambda_j = 1$, for all j, and $b_1 = 1$. In this case, the polynomial part and the negative of the terms involving square roots and $\arccos in H(r, \omega)$ are strictly convex for r > 0. This example works for every m.

Example 2: To obtain the $\frac{m-1}{2}$ limit cycles, we have to interpolate the polynomial part of $H(r, \omega)$. We fix m = 7. Take $b_1 = \lambda_7 = 1$ and

$$\lambda_{1} = -576 \,\omega^{6} - \frac{1}{2520} \frac{-4032 \,\pi + 3024 \,\sqrt{3} - 2160 \,\arccos\left(1/4\right) + 135 \,\sqrt{15} + 9216 \,\arccos\left(1/3\right) - 2048 \,\sqrt{2} + 2520}{\omega}$$

$$\lambda_{3} = 244 \,\omega^{4} + \frac{1}{2016} \frac{-560 \,\pi + 420 \,\sqrt{3} - 624 \,\arccos\left(1/4\right) + 39 \,\sqrt{15} + 2304 \,\arccos\left(1/3\right) - 512 \,\sqrt{2}}{\omega^{3}}$$

$$\lambda_{5} = -29 \,\omega^{2} - \frac{1}{10080} \frac{-112 \,\pi + 84 \,\sqrt{3} - 240 \,\arccos\left(1/4\right) + 15 \,\sqrt{15} + 576 \,\arccos\left(1/3\right) - 128 \,\sqrt{2}}{\omega^{5}}$$

With this values for λ_i , we obtain exactly 3 limit cycles.

References

where λ_1

(2)

[1] A. BUICĂ AND J. LLIBRE, Averaging methods for finding periodic orbits via Brouwer degree, Bull. Sci. Math. 128 (2004), 7–22.

[2] J. Llibre, P. R. da Silva, M. A. Teixeira, *Study of singularities in nonsmooth dynamical*

and we assume that the following hypotheses (i) and (ii) hold.

(i) F_1 and R are locally Lipschitz with respect to x;

(ii) For $a \in D$ with $F_{10}(a) = 0$, there exists a neighborhood V of a such that $F_{10}(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in V \setminus \{a\}$ and $d_B(F_{10}, V, a) \neq 0$.

Then for $|\varepsilon| > 0$ sufficiently small there exists a *T*-periodic solution $\psi(\cdot, \varepsilon)$ of system (3) such that $\psi(0,\varepsilon) \to a \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0$.

The expression $d_B(F_{10}, V, a_{\varepsilon}) \neq 0$ means that the Brouwer degree of the function F_{10} : $V \to \mathbb{R}^n$ at the fixed point a is not zero.

systems via singular perturbation. SIAM J. Appl. Dyn. Syst. 8 (2009), 508–526.

[3] M. Guardia, T. Seara, M. A. Teixeira, Generic bifurcations of low codimension of planar Filippov systems. J. Differential Equations 250 (2011), 1967–2023.

[4] M. Lima, J. Llibre, *Limit cycles and invariant cylinders for a class of continuous and* discontinuous vector field in dimension 2n. Applied Mathematics and Computation **217** (2011), 9985–9996.

R.M. Martins: rmiranda@ime.unicamp.br.

This is a joint work with M. Silva Lima (UFABC).

The author is supported by FAPESP–BRAZIL grants 2010/13371-9 and 2012/06879-1.