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Basic notation

1. \((X, d)\) - compact metric space
2. \(f : X \to X\) - continuous (denoted \(f \in C(X)\))
3. \((X, f)\) - dynamical system
(x, y) is a **LY-pair** if it is **proximal** but not **asymptotic**, that is, respectively,

\[
\liminf_{n \to \infty} d(f^n(x), f^n(y)) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{n \to \infty} d(f^n(x), f^n(y)) > 0.
\]

\(\delta\)-LY when \(\limsup_{n \to \infty} d(f^n(x), f^n(y)) > \delta\)

\(S\) is a **scrambled set** (resp. \(\delta\)-scrambled) if every pair of distinct points is **LY** (resp. \(\delta\)-LY).
Some history

1 Start of the story...
   - [1975, Li & Yorke] If $f : [0, 1] \to [0, 1]$ has a point of period 3 then it has a scrambled set.

And other classical results implying existence of scrambled set...

- [Iwanik, 1989] Weak mixing
- [Huang & Ye, 2002] Devaney chaos
- [Blanchard, Glasner, Kolyada & Maas, 2002] Positive entropy
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Natural questions

Question
What is the size of scrambled sets in concrete cases/spaces?

Question
How large scrambled sets can be?
How big scrambled set can be in dimension $n$?

1. Traditionally scrambled set should at least be uncountable (to indicate complicated dynamics).
2. By result of Misiurewicz, on $[0, 1]$ scrambled set can have full (Lebesgue) measure.
3. The same is true on $[0, 1]^n$ (first proved by Kato).
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4. But $C^1$-maps on the unit interval never have full measure scrambled sets (Jimenez-Lopez).
5. And on interval (continuous case) scrambled set is never residual (Bruckner & Hu; Gedeon). The same holds on topological graphs (Mai).
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1. Traditionally scrambled set should at least be uncountable (to indicate complicated dynamics).
2. By result of Misiurewicz, on $[0,1]$ scrambled set can have full (Lebesgue) measure.
3. The same is true on $[0,1]^n$ (first proved by Kato).
4. But $C^1$-maps on the unit interval never have full measure scrambled sets (Jimenez-Lopez).
5. And on interval (continuous case) scrambled set is never residual (Bruckner & Hu; Gedeon). The same holds on topological graphs (Mai).
6. Mai constructed a DS on $(0,1)^n$ with all non-diagonal pairs LY and conjectured that it is not possible on compact spaces (the case $[0,1]^n$, $n > 1$ is probably still open (?)).

Definition

A dynamical system $(X, f)$ is completely scrambled if $X$ is a scrambled set.
1. If \((X, f)\) is transitive and completely scrambled then it is a homeomorphism.

2. (e.g. Akin & Kolyada) The following conditions are equivalent:
   1. \((X, f)\) is proximal, i.e. \(\lim \inf_n d(f^n(x), f^n(y)) = 0\) for every \(x, y \in X\),
   2. \((X, f)\) has a fixed point which is the unique minimal subset of \(X\).
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   2. \((X, f)\) has a fixed point which is the unique minimal subset of \(X\).

3. (e.g. King) If \(X\) is infinite and \(f\) is homeomorphism, then for every \(\varepsilon > 0\)
   1. there are points \(x \neq y\) with \(\varepsilon\)-bounded future, i.e.
   2. \(d(f^n(x), f^n(y)) < \varepsilon\) for every \(n \geq 0\).

4. In particular, for any \(\delta > 0\) the space \(X\) cannot be \(\delta\)-scrambled (there is no completely \(\delta\)-scrambled system)

5. and expansive maps are never completely scrambled (so no example among shift spaces on finite alphabet).
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3. (e.g. King) If \(X\) is infinite and \(f\) is homeomorphism, then for every \(\varepsilon > 0\)
   1. there are points \(x \neq y\) with \(\varepsilon\)-bounded future, i.e.
   2. \(d(f^n(x), f^n(y)) < \varepsilon\) for every \(n \geq 0\).

4. In particular, for any \(\delta > 0\) the space \(X\) cannot be \(\delta\)-scrambled (there is no completely \(\delta\)-scrambled system)

5. and expansive maps are never completely scrambled (so no example among shift spaces on finite alphabet).

6. \(\delta\)-scrambled set \(S\) can be closed or invariant (i.e. \(f(S) \subset S\)).
Printed in the United Kingdom © 2001 Cambridge University Press

Homeomorphisms with the whole compacta being scrambled sets

WEN HUANG and XIANGDONG YE
Department of Mathematics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei,
Anhui, 230026, People’s Republic of China
(e-mail: yexd@ustc.edu.cn)

(Received 20 January 1999 and accepted in revised form 13 December 1999)
There is a countable and compact set $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ admitting completely scrambled (homeomorphism) $F$. 
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1. There is a countable and compact set $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ admitting completely scrambled (homeomorphism) $F$.

2. Technique for extending dimension....
   - Let $(Y, F)$ be completely scrambled and let $p$ be the unique fixed point of $F$ in $Y$.
   - Take any compact set $Z$ and let $g$ be obtained from the map $F \times \text{id}_Z$ by collapsing to a point $(Y \times \{z_0\}) \cup (\{p\} \times Z)$ in $Y \times Z$ for some $z_0 \in Z$.
   - If $Z$ is continuum then resulting space is also a continuum.

3. DS obtained by this method is never transitive.
Brief overview on the construction
Brief overview on the construction

\[(n_1) \to (n_1 + 1), \quad (P_0)\]
\[(n_1, n_2) \to (n_1 + 1, n_2 - 1), \quad n_2 \geq 1, \quad (P_1)\]
\[(0, 0) \to (0), \quad (P_2)\]
\[(n_1, n_2, n_3) \to (n_1 + 1, n_2 - 1, n_3), \quad n_2 \geq 2, \quad (P_3)\]
\[(n_1, 1, n_3) \to (n_1 + 1, 0, n_3 + 1), \quad (P_4)\]
\[(0, 0, n_3) \to (0, n_3), \quad (P_5)\]
\[(n_1 + 1, 0) \to (n_1, 0, 0), \quad (P_6)\]
\[(n_1, n_2, n_3, \ldots, n_k) \to (n_1 + 1, n_2 - 1, n_3, \ldots, n_k), \quad n_2 \geq 2, k \geq 4, \quad (P_7)\]
\[(n_1, 1, n_3, n_4, \ldots, n_k) \to (n_1 + 1, 0, n_3 + 1, n_4, \ldots, n_k), \quad k \geq 4, \quad (P_8)\]
\[(0, 0, n_3, n_4, \ldots, n_k) \to (0, n_3, n_4, \ldots, n_k), \quad n_3 \geq 1, k \geq 4, \quad (P_9)\]
\[(0, 0, 0, n_4, \ldots, n_k) \to (0, 0, n_4 + 1, \ldots, n_k), \quad k \geq 4, \quad (P_{10})\]
\[(n_1 + 1, 0, n_4, \ldots, n_k) \to (n_1, 0, 0, n_4, \ldots, n_k), \quad k \geq 4. \quad (P_{11})\]

\[g(n_1, \ldots, n_k, \underbrace{\infty, \ldots, \infty}_{j}) = (m_1, \ldots, m_l, \underbrace{\infty, \ldots, \infty}_{j})\]
Hierarchie of (topological) ”mixing” properties

1. $f$ is **transitive** if for every nonempty open set $U, V$ there is $n$ such that $f^n(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$.

2. $f$ is **totally transitive** if $f^n$ is transitive for every $n$.

3. $f$ is (topologically) **weakly mixing** if $f \times f$ is transitive. Equivalently: $f^n(U_i) \cap V_i \neq \emptyset$ for $i = 1, \ldots, m, \ n, m > 0$.

4. $f$ is (topologically) **mixing** if there is $N$ such that $f^n(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$ for $n > N$.

Mix $\implies$ WMix $\implies$ Tot. Trans $\implies$ Trans
Questions in H&Y paper

The following questions are form H & Y paper (and probably from 1999).

1. Can completely scrambled system have positive topological entropy?
   - **NO!** Every system with positive entropy has an asymptotic pair (Blanchard, Host, Ruette, 2002)

2. Can completely scrambled system be transitive?
   - **YES!** (Katznelson & Weiss construction, 1981; Akin, Auslander & Berg, 1996)

Conjecture
Completely scrambled examples with mixing properties should exist.

Related question
Is there transitive completely scrambled system (on continua) in every dimension?
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Uniformly rigid systems

1. Dynamical system $(X, f)$ is uniformly rigid if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $n > 0$ such that $d(x, f^n(x)) < \varepsilon$ for every $x \in X$.

2. Natural examples:
   - Periodic orbit
   - Irrational rotation of the circle (or $\mathbb{T}^n$).

3. Uniformly rigid systems cannot be mixing.

4. Uniformly rigid systems do not contain (proper) asymptotic pairs.
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   - On various spaces of the form \(\mathbb{S}^1 \times Y\) (including all \(\mathbb{T}^n, n \geq 2\)) there exists weakly mixing, uniformly rigid, minimal dynamical system.
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Theorem (Glasner & Maon)

Let \( \mathcal{H}_0(Y) \) be a path component of identity in \( \mathcal{H}(Y) \). If \( Y \) is nontrivial and action of \( \mathcal{H}_0(Y) \) is minimal on \( Y \) then there is weakly mixing, uniformly rigid and minimal homeomorphism (on \( S^1 \times Y \)) in

\[
\left\{ G^{-1} \circ (R_\alpha \times \text{id}) \circ G : G \in \mathcal{H}(S^1 \times Y) \right\}
\]
1. If \((X, f)\) is uniformly rigid and proximal then it is completely scrambled.

2. Katznelson and Weiss provided a method of construction of uniformly rigid, proximal, transitive systems.

3. Constructed system is a subset of the Hilbert cube \([0, 1]^\mathbb{N}\) with metric

\[
d(\alpha, \beta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\alpha(n) - \beta(n)|}{2^n}
\]

and left shift \(\sigma(\alpha)(i) = \alpha(i + 1)\) on it.
1 Fix $L \geq 2$ and start with function $a_0 : [-1, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that

$$|a_0(s_1) - a_0(s_2)| \leq L|s_1 - s_2| \quad \text{and} \quad a_0(1) = a_0(-1) = 1, \quad a_0(0) \neq 1.$$
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$$|a_0(s_1) - a_0(s_2)| \leq L|s_1 - s_2| \quad \text{and} \quad a_0(1) = a_0(-1) = 1, \quad a_0(0) \neq 1.$$ 

Define $a_1: \mathbb{R} \to [0, 1]$ by $a_1(s) = a_0(s)$ when $|s| \leq 1$, and $a_1(s + 2) = a_1(s)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$.

Put $a_p(s) = a_1(s/p)$, i.e. ”stretch” graph of $a_1$.

Finally, $a_\infty(s) = \sup_n a_{p_n}(s)$ for a sequence $p_n$ where $p_{n+1} = p_n k_n$, $\{k_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is strictly increasing and 8 divides each $k_n$.
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Main features of K-W construction:

1. \(|a_p(s_1) - a_p(s_2)| \leq |a_1(s_1/p) - a_1(s_2/p)| \leq \frac{L}{p} |s_1 - s_2| \) hence

\[|a_\infty(s + 2p_i) - a_\infty(s)| \leq \sup_{j > i} |a_j(s + 2p_m) - a_j(s)| \leq \sup_{j > i} \frac{2Lp_i}{p_j} \leq \frac{2L}{k_i}.

2. for any \(\varepsilon > 0\), all odd \(m\) and \(|s| < \varepsilon p_i/L\) we have

\[1 - \varepsilon < a_\infty(mp_i + s) \leq 1.\]

Theorem

*Dynamical system \((X, \sigma)\) (which is orbit closure of \(\alpha\)) is uniformly rigid, and \(\{\theta\}\) is its unique minimal subsystem, where \(\theta(n) = 1\) for all \(n\).*

Theorem (Akin, Auslander, Berg)

If \(a_0\) has strict minimum in 0 then \((X, \sigma)\) is almost equicontinuous.
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Theorem (Akin, Auslander, Berg)
Every almost equicontinuous dynamical system is uniformly rigid.

Defining \( a_0(t) = 0 \) for \( |t| \leq 1/2 \) and \( a_0(t) = 2|t| - 1 \) for \( |t| > 1/2 \) we obtain \((X, \sigma)\) which is not almost equicontinuous.

Theorem
Let \((X, f)\) be transitive and pointwise recurrent. If \((X, f)\) contains a minimal set that is connected, then \(X\) is connected.

Then \(X\) is always connected. However dimension of \(X\) is unknown.
This is part of joint work with M. Foryś, W. Huang and J. Li.

1. \((X, f)\) is scattering if \((X \times Y, f \times g)\) is transitive for every minimal \((Y, g)\).

**Theorem (Akin & Glasner)**
There exists scattering almost equicontinuous transitive DS \((Y, g)\).

1. Combining various results from Akin-Glasner it can be proved that:
   - there exists extension \(\pi: (Z, h) \rightarrow (Y, g)\),
   - \((Z, h)\) is weakly mixing and uniformly rigid,
   - all minimal systems in \((Z, h)\) are singletons.

2. Hence it is enough to collapse fixed points of \((Z, h)\) to a single point.
Lemma

Assume that \((X, f)\) is transitive and that \(x \in X\) has dense orbit. Then \((X, f)\) is weakly mixing if and only if for any open neighborhood \(U\) of \(x\) there is \(n > 0\) such that \(n, n + 1 \in N(U, U)\).

\[
 a_0(t) = \begin{cases} 
 0, & \text{for } t \in [-1, 1], \\
 1, & \text{for } t \in [-3, -2] \cup [2, 3], \\
 -t - 1, & \text{for } t \in [-2, -1], \\
 t - 1, & \text{for } t \in [1, 2] \\
 0, & \text{for } t \not\in [-3, 3]. 
\end{cases}
\]

- \(p_0 = 3, \ L_n \geq p_{n-1}^2, \ p_n = p_0^2 L_n p_{n-1} \).
- \(b_n(t) = a_n(t)\) for \(t \in [-p_n, p_n]\), and \(b_n(t + 2p_n) = b_n(t)\).
Weak mixing completely scrambled system - method II

\[ a_0(t) = \begin{cases} 
0, & \text{for } t \in [-1, 1], \\
1, & \text{for } t \in [-3, -2] \cup [2, 3], \\
-t - 1, & \text{for } t \in [-2, -1], \\
t - 1, & \text{for } t \in [1, 2] \\
0, & \text{for } t \not\in [-3, 3]. 
\end{cases} \]

- \( p_0 = 3, \ L_n \geq p_{n-1}^2, \ p_n = p_0^2 L_n p_{n-1} \).
- \( b_n(t) = a_n(t) \) for \( t \in [-p_n, p_n] \), and \( b_n(t + 2p_n) = b_n(t) \).
- \( c_n(t) = b_0(t/(p_{n-1}L_n)) \).
- \( a_{n+1}(t) = \begin{cases} 
\max \{ b_n(t), c_{n+1}(t) \}, & \text{for } t \in [-p_{n+1}, p_0 L_{n+1} p_n], \\
\max \{ b_n(t+1), c_{n+1}(t) \}, & \text{for } t \in (p_0 L_{n+1} p_n, p_{n+1}], \\
0, & \text{for } t \not\in [-p_{n+1}, p_{n+1}]. 
\end{cases} \)
- \( a_\infty(t) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} a_n(t), \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{R}. \)
Questions (unanswered so far)

1. Is it possible to construct transitive completely scrambled system in every dimension?

2. Examples of Huang & Ye are not pairwise recurrent. Are there pairwise recurrent and proximal systems in every dimension?

3. Can completely scrambled system be mixing?

4. Is it possible to characterize continua without completely scrambled homeomorphisms?
   - On dendrites there is no completely scrambled homeomorphism (Naghmouchi)
A related problem

1. We know that there is not completely $\delta$-scrambled system.
2. When does invariant (not closed) $\delta$-scrambled set exists?

3. We proved (with Balibrea and Garcia Guirao) that:
   - if $(X, f)$ is **mixing** then there exists a dense Mycielski (i.e. countable sum of Cantor sets) invariant $\delta$-scrambled set $S$ (i.e. $f(S) \subset S$)
   - if $(X, f)$ is **weakly mixing** then there exists a dense Mycielski invariant scrambled set $S$.

4. Is it only technical difficulty?
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3. We proved (with Balibrea and Garcia Guirao) that:
   - if $(X, f)$ is mixing then there exists a dense Mycielski (i.e. countable sum of Cantor sets) invariant $\delta$-scrambled set $S$ (i.e. $f(S) \subset S$)
   - if $(X, f)$ is weakly mixing then there exists a dense Mycielski invariant scrambled set $S$.
4. Is it only technical difficulty?

Theorem (Foryśl, Huang, Li & O.)

Let $(X, f)$ be a non-trivial transitive dynamical system with a fixed point. The following conditions are equivalent:

1. $(X, f)$ has a dense Mycielski invariant $\delta$-scrambled set for some $\delta > 0$,
2. $(X, f)$ has a fixed point and is not uniformly rigid.