Algebraic entropy for birational maps in the plane

Anna Cima and Sundus Zafar

New Perspectives in Discrete Dynamical Systems Tossa de Mar 2014

Departament de Matemàtiques Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona

Anna Cima and Sundus Zafar (UAB) Algebraic entropy for birational maps in the pla

UAB 2014 1 / 26

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

• Algebraic entropy of a rational map in the plane.

- Algebraic entropy for birational maps.
- The zero entropy case.
- Dynamical degree of a nine parametric family of birational maps.
- Relationship between the topological and the algebraic entropy.

- Algebraic entropy of a rational map in the plane.
- Algebraic entropy for birational maps.
- The zero entropy case.
- Dynamical degree of a nine parametric family of birational maps.
- Relationship between the topological and the algebraic entropy.

- Algebraic entropy of a rational map in the plane.
- Algebraic entropy for birational maps.
- The zero entropy case.
- Dynamical degree of a nine parametric family of birational maps.
- Relationship between the topological and the algebraic entropy.

- Algebraic entropy of a rational map in the plane.
- Algebraic entropy for birational maps.
- The zero entropy case.
- Dynamical degree of a nine parametric family of birational maps.
- Relationship between the topological and the algebraic entropy.

- Algebraic entropy of a rational map in the plane.
- Algebraic entropy for birational maps.
- The zero entropy case.
- Dynamical degree of a nine parametric family of birational maps.
- Relationship between the topological and the algebraic entropy.

▲ 同 ▶ → 三 ▶

Let *f* be a map of \mathbb{C}^2 with rational components. Rational maps are not defined in the whole plane. There are some points in which some denominator vanishes. And there some points where numerator and denominator of a component of *f* both vanishes: points where *f* is ill-defined.

We extend *f* to the projective space $P\mathbb{C}^2$, and we call $F[x_0 : x_1 : x_2]$ its extension. Then the three components of *F* are homogeneous polynomials of the same degree. We say that *F* is a minimal representative of *f* if the three components of *F* have no a common factor.

We define the degree of *f* as the degree of the polynomials of the components of *F*, if *F* is a minimal representative of *f*, and we denote by $\mathcal{I}(F)$, the indeterminacy set of *F* as the points

$$\mathcal{I}(F) = \{ x \in P\mathbb{C}^2 : F_1(x) = F_2(x) = F_3(x) = 0 \}.$$

Let *f* be a map of \mathbb{C}^2 with rational components. Rational maps are not defined in the whole plane. There are some points in which some denominator vanishes. And there some points where numerator and denominator of a component of *f* both vanishes: points where *f* is ill-defined.

We extend *f* to the projective space $P\mathbb{C}^2$, and we call $F[x_0 : x_1 : x_2]$ its extension. Then the three components of *F* are homogeneous polynomials of the same degree. We say that *F* is a minimal representative of *f* if the three components of *F* have no a common factor.

We define the degree of *f* as the degree of the polynomials of the components of *F*, if *F* is a minimal representative of *f*, and we denote by $\mathcal{I}(F)$, the indeterminacy set of *F* as the points

$$\mathcal{I}(F) = \{ x \in P\mathbb{C}^2 : F_1(x) = F_2(x) = F_3(x) = 0 \}.$$

Let *f* be a map of \mathbb{C}^2 with rational components. Rational maps are not defined in the whole plane. There are some points in which some denominator vanishes. And there some points where numerator and denominator of a component of *f* both vanishes: points where *f* is ill-defined.

We extend *f* to the projective space $P\mathbb{C}^2$, and we call $F[x_0 : x_1 : x_2]$ its extension. Then the three components of *F* are homogeneous polynomials of the same degree. We say that *F* is a minimal representative of *f* if the three components of *F* have no a common factor.

We define the degree of *f* as the degree of the polynomials of the components of *F*, if *F* is a minimal representative of *f*, and we denote by $\mathcal{I}(F)$, the indeterminacy set of *F* as the points

$$\mathcal{I}(F) = \{ x \in P\mathbb{C}^2 : F_1(x) = F_2(x) = F_3(x) = 0 \}.$$

Let *f* be a map of \mathbb{C}^2 with rational components. Rational maps are not defined in the whole plane. There are some points in which some denominator vanishes. And there some points where numerator and denominator of a component of *f* both vanishes: points where *f* is ill-defined.

We extend *f* to the projective space $P\mathbb{C}^2$, and we call $F[x_0 : x_1 : x_2]$ its extension. Then the three components of *F* are homogeneous polynomials of the same degree. We say that *F* is a minimal representative of *f* if the three components of *F* have no a common factor.

We define the degree of *f* as the degree of the polynomials of the components of *F*, if *F* is a minimal representative of *f*, and we denote by $\mathcal{I}(F)$, the indeterminacy set of *F* as the points

$$\mathcal{I}(F) = \{ x \in P\mathbb{C}^2 : F_1(x) = F_2(x) = F_3(x) = 0 \}.$$

Let *f* be a map of \mathbb{C}^2 with rational components. Rational maps are not defined in the whole plane. There are some points in which some denominator vanishes. And there some points where numerator and denominator of a component of *f* both vanishes: points where *f* is ill-defined.

We extend *f* to the projective space $P\mathbb{C}^2$, and we call $F[x_0 : x_1 : x_2]$ its extension. Then the three components of *F* are homogeneous polynomials of the same degree. We say that *F* is a minimal representative of *f* if the three components of *F* have no a common factor.

We define the degree of *f* as the degree of the polynomials of the components of *F*, if *F* is a minimal representative of *f*, and we denote by $\mathcal{I}(F)$, the indeterminacy set of *F* as the points

$$\mathcal{I}(F) = \{ x \in P\mathbb{C}^2 : F_1(x) = F_2(x) = F_3(x) = 0 \}.$$

Let *f* be a map of \mathbb{C}^2 with rational components. Rational maps are not defined in the whole plane. There are some points in which some denominator vanishes. And there some points where numerator and denominator of a component of *f* both vanishes: points where *f* is ill-defined.

We extend *f* to the projective space $P\mathbb{C}^2$, and we call $F[x_0 : x_1 : x_2]$ its extension. Then the three components of *F* are homogeneous polynomials of the same degree. We say that *F* is a minimal representative of *f* if the three components of *F* have no a common factor.

We define the degree of *f* as the degree of the polynomials of the components of *F*, if *F* is a minimal representative of *f*, and we denote by $\mathcal{I}(F)$, the indeterminacy set of *F* as the points

$$\mathcal{I}(F) = \{ x \in P\mathbb{C}^2 : F_1(x) = F_2(x) = F_3(x) = 0 \}.$$

Let *f* be a map of \mathbb{C}^2 with rational components. Rational maps are not defined in the whole plane. There are some points in which some denominator vanishes. And there some points where numerator and denominator of a component of *f* both vanishes: points where *f* is ill-defined.

We extend *f* to the projective space $P\mathbb{C}^2$, and we call $F[x_0 : x_1 : x_2]$ its extension. Then the three components of *F* are homogeneous polynomials of the same degree. We say that *F* is a minimal representative of *f* if the three components of *F* have no a common factor.

We define the degree of *f* as the degree of the polynomials of the components of *F*, if *F* is a minimal representative of *f*, and we denote by $\mathcal{I}(F)$, the indeterminacy set of *F* as the points

$$\mathcal{I}(F) = \{ x \in P\mathbb{C}^2 : F_1(x) = F_2(x) = F_3(x) = 0 \}.$$

Let *f* be a map of \mathbb{C}^2 with rational components. Rational maps are not defined in the whole plane. There are some points in which some denominator vanishes. And there some points where numerator and denominator of a component of *f* both vanishes: points where *f* is ill-defined.

We extend *f* to the projective space $P\mathbb{C}^2$, and we call $F[x_0 : x_1 : x_2]$ its extension. Then the three components of *F* are homogeneous polynomials of the same degree. We say that *F* is a minimal representative of *f* if the three components of *F* have no a common factor.

We define the degree of *f* as the degree of the polynomials of the components of *F*, if *F* is a minimal representative of *f*, and we denote by $\mathcal{I}(F)$, the indeterminacy set of *F* as the points

$$\mathcal{I}(F) = \{ x \in P\mathbb{C}^2 : F_1(x) = F_2(x) = F_3(x) = 0 \}.$$

Let *f* be a map of \mathbb{C}^2 with rational components. Rational maps are not defined in the whole plane. There are some points in which some denominator vanishes. And there some points where numerator and denominator of a component of *f* both vanishes: points where *f* is ill-defined.

We extend *f* to the projective space $P\mathbb{C}^2$, and we call $F[x_0 : x_1 : x_2]$ its extension. Then the three components of *F* are homogeneous polynomials of the same degree. We say that *F* is a minimal

representative of f if the three components of F have no a common factor.

We define the degree of *f* as the degree of the polynomials of the components of *F*, if *F* is a minimal representative of *f*, and we denote by $\mathcal{I}(F)$, the indeterminacy set of *F* as the points

$$\mathcal{I}(F) = \{ x \in P\mathbb{C}^2 : F_1(x) = F_2(x) = F_3(x) = 0 \}.$$

Let *f* be a map of \mathbb{C}^2 with rational components. Rational maps are not defined in the whole plane. There are some points in which some denominator vanishes. And there some points where numerator and denominator of a component of *f* both vanishes: points where *f* is ill-defined.

We extend *f* to the projective space $P\mathbb{C}^2$, and we call $F[x_0 : x_1 : x_2]$ its extension. Then the three components of *F* are homogeneous polynomials of the same degree. We say that *F* is a minimal representative of *f* if the three components of *F* have no a common factor.

We define the degree of f as the degree of the polynomials of the components of F, if F is a minimal representative of f, and we denote by $\mathcal{I}(F)$, the indeterminacy set of F as the points

$$\mathcal{I}(F) = \{ x \in P\mathbb{C}^2 : F_1(x) = F_2(x) = F_3(x) = 0 \}.$$

Let *f* be a map of \mathbb{C}^2 with rational components. Rational maps are not defined in the whole plane. There are some points in which some denominator vanishes. And there some points where numerator and denominator of a component of *f* both vanishes: points where *f* is ill-defined.

We extend *f* to the projective space $P\mathbb{C}^2$, and we call $F[x_0 : x_1 : x_2]$ its extension. Then the three components of *F* are homogeneous polynomials of the same degree. We say that *F* is a minimal representative of *f* if the three components of *F* have no a common factor.

We define the degree of *f* as the degree of the polynomials of the components of *F*, if *F* is a minimal representative of *f*, and we denote by $\mathcal{I}(F)$, the indeterminacy set of *F* as the points

$$\mathcal{I}(F) = \{ x \in P\mathbb{C}^2 : F_1(x) = F_2(x) = F_3(x) = 0 \}.$$

Let *f* be a map of \mathbb{C}^2 with rational components. Rational maps are not defined in the whole plane. There are some points in which some denominator vanishes. And there some points where numerator and denominator of a component of *f* both vanishes: points where *f* is ill-defined.

We extend *f* to the projective space $P\mathbb{C}^2$, and we call $F[x_0 : x_1 : x_2]$ its extension. Then the three components of *F* are homogeneous polynomials of the same degree. We say that *F* is a minimal representative of *f* if the three components of *F* have no a common factor.

We define the degree of *f* as the degree of the polynomials of the components of *F*, if *F* is a minimal representative of *f*, and we denote by $\mathcal{I}(F)$, the indeterminacy set of *F* as the points

 $\mathcal{I}(F) = \{ x \in P\mathbb{C}^2 : F_1(x) = F_2(x) = F_3(x) = 0 \}.$

Let *f* be a map of \mathbb{C}^2 with rational components. Rational maps are not defined in the whole plane. There are some points in which some denominator vanishes. And there some points where numerator and denominator of a component of *f* both vanishes: points where *f* is ill-defined.

We extend *f* to the projective space $P\mathbb{C}^2$, and we call $F[x_0 : x_1 : x_2]$ its extension. Then the three components of *F* are homogeneous polynomials of the same degree. We say that *F* is a minimal representative of *f* if the three components of *F* have no a common factor.

We define the degree of f as the degree of the polynomials of the components of F, if F is a minimal representative of f, and we denote by $\mathcal{I}(F)$, the indeterminacy set of F as the points

$$\mathcal{I}(F) = \{ x \in P\mathbb{C}^2 : F_1(x) = F_2(x) = F_3(x) = 0 \}.$$

Let *f* be a map of \mathbb{C}^2 with rational components. Rational maps are not defined in the whole plane. There are some points in which some denominator vanishes. And there some points where numerator and denominator of a component of *f* both vanishes: points where *f* is ill-defined.

We extend *f* to the projective space $P\mathbb{C}^2$, and we call $F[x_0 : x_1 : x_2]$ its extension. Then the three components of *F* are homogeneous polynomials of the same degree. We say that *F* is a minimal representative of *f* if the three components of *F* have no a common factor.

We define the degree of *f* as the degree of the polynomials of the components of *F*, if *F* is a minimal representative of *f*, and we denote by $\mathcal{I}(F)$, the indeterminacy set of *F* as the points

$$\mathcal{I}(F) = \{ x \in P\mathbb{C}^2 : F_1(x) = F_2(x) = F_3(x) = 0 \}.$$

Let *f* be a map of \mathbb{C}^2 with rational components. Rational maps are not defined in the whole plane. There are some points in which some denominator vanishes. And there some points where numerator and denominator of a component of *f* both vanishes: points where *f* is ill-defined.

We extend *f* to the projective space $P\mathbb{C}^2$, and we call $F[x_0 : x_1 : x_2]$ its extension. Then the three components of *F* are homogeneous polynomials of the same degree. We say that *F* is a minimal representative of *f* if the three components of *F* have no a common factor.

We define the degree of *f* as the degree of the polynomials of the components of *F*, if *F* is a minimal representative of *f*, and we denote by $\mathcal{I}(F)$, the indeterminacy set of *F* as the points

$$\mathcal{I}(F) = \{ x \in P\mathbb{C}^2 : F_1(x) = F_2(x) = F_3(x) = 0 \}.$$

Let *f* be a map of \mathbb{C}^2 with rational components. Rational maps are not defined in the whole plane. There are some points in which some denominator vanishes. And there some points where numerator and denominator of a component of *f* both vanishes: points where *f* is ill-defined.

We extend *f* to the projective space $P\mathbb{C}^2$, and we call $F[x_0 : x_1 : x_2]$ its extension. Then the three components of *F* are homogeneous polynomials of the same degree. We say that *F* is a minimal representative of *f* if the three components of *F* have no a common factor.

We define the degree of *f* as the degree of the polynomials of the components of *F*, if *F* is a minimal representative of *f*, and we denote by $\mathcal{I}(F)$, the indeterminacy set of *F* as the points

$$\mathcal{I}(F) = \{x \in P\mathbb{C}^2 : F_1(x) = F_2(x) = F_3(x) = 0\}.$$

Being *F* a minimal representative of *f* of degree *d* consider $F \circ F$, which has as a components polynomials of degree d^2 . But $F \circ F$, is not necessarily a minimal representative of f^2 : it can occur that

$$F \circ F = [M \cdot P_1 : M \cdot P_2 : M \cdot P_3]$$

for some polynomials M, P_1 , P_2 , P_3 . In this case, if M is the maximal degree with this property, $deg(f^2) = deg(f)^2 - deg(M)$ and

$$F(\{M=0\})\subset \mathcal{I}(F).$$

We say that V = 0 is a degree lowering curve of F if

$$F^k(V) \subset \mathcal{I}(F)$$

for some k.

Being *F* a minimal representative of *f* of degree *d* consider $F \circ F$,

which has as a components polynomials of degree d^2 . But $F \circ F$, is not necessarily a minimal representative of f^2 : it can occur that

$$F \circ F = [M \cdot P_1 : M \cdot P_2 : M \cdot P_3]$$

for some polynomials M, P_1 , P_2 , P_3 . In this case, if M is the maximal degree with this property, $deg(f^2) = deg(f)^2 - deg(M)$ and

$$F(\{M=0\})\subset \mathcal{I}(F).$$

We say that V = 0 is a degree lowering curve of F if

$$F^k(V) \subset \mathcal{I}(F)$$

for some k.

Being *F* a minimal representative of *f* of degree *d* consider $F \circ F$, which has as a components polynomials of degree d^2 .

But $F \circ F$, is not necessarily a minimal representative of f^2 : it can occur that

$$F \circ F = [M \cdot P_1 : M \cdot P_2 : M \cdot P_3]$$

for some polynomials M, P_1, P_2, P_3 . In this case, if M is the maximal degree with this property, $deg(f^2) = deg(f)^2 - deg(M)$ and

 $F(\{M=0\})\subset \mathcal{I}(F).$

We say that V = 0 is a degree lowering curve of F if

$$F^k(V) \subset \mathcal{I}(F)$$

for some k.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Being *F* a minimal representative of *f* of degree *d* consider $F \circ F$, which has as a components polynomials of degree d^2 . But $F \circ F$, is not necessarily a minimal representative of f^2 : it can occur that

$$F \circ F = [M \cdot P_1 : M \cdot P_2 : M \cdot P_3]$$

for some polynomials M, P_1 , P_2 , P_3 . In this case, if M is the maximal degree with this property, $deg(f^2) = deg(f)^2 - deg(M)$ and

 $F(\{M=0\})\subset \mathcal{I}(F).$

We say that V = 0 is a degree lowering curve of F if

$$F^k(V) \subset \mathcal{I}(F)$$

for some *k*.

Being *F* a minimal representative of *f* of degree *d* consider $F \circ F$, which has as a components polynomials of degree d^2 . But $F \circ F$, is not necessarily a minimal representative of f^2 : it can occur that

$$F \circ F = [M \cdot P_1 : M \cdot P_2 : M \cdot P_3]$$

for some polynomials M, P_1, P_2, P_3 .

In this case, if *M* is the maximal degree with this property, $deg(f^2) = deg(f)^2 - deg(M)$ and

 $F(\{M=0\})\subset \mathcal{I}(F).$

We say that V = 0 is a degree lowering curve of F if

$$F^k(V) \subset \mathcal{I}(F)$$

for some k.

・ ロ ト ・ 同 ト ・ 目 ト ・ 目 ト

Being *F* a minimal representative of *f* of degree *d* consider $F \circ F$, which has as a components polynomials of degree d^2 . But $F \circ F$, is not necessarily a minimal representative of f^2 : it can occur that

$$F \circ F = [M \cdot P_1 : M \cdot P_2 : M \cdot P_3]$$

for some polynomials M, P_1, P_2, P_3 . In this case, if M is the maximal degree with this property, $deg(f^2) = deg(f)^2 - deg(M)$ and

 $F(\{M=0\})\subset \mathcal{I}(F).$

We say that V = 0 is a degree lowering curve of F if

$$F^k(V) \subset \mathcal{I}(F)$$

for some k.

Being *F* a minimal representative of *f* of degree *d* consider $F \circ F$, which has as a components polynomials of degree d^2 . But $F \circ F$, is not necessarily a minimal representative of f^2 : it can occur that

$$F \circ F = [M \cdot P_1 : M \cdot P_2 : M \cdot P_3]$$

for some polynomials M, P_1, P_2, P_3 . In this case, if M is the maximal degree with this property, $deg(f^2) = deg(f)^2 - deg(M)$ and

$$F({M = 0}) \subset \mathcal{I}(F).$$

We say that V = 0 is a degree lowering curve of F if

$$F^k(V) \subset \mathcal{I}(F)$$

for some k.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Being *F* a minimal representative of *f* of degree *d* consider $F \circ F$, which has as a components polynomials of degree d^2 . But $F \circ F$, is not necessarily a minimal representative of f^2 : it can occur that

$$F \circ F = [M \cdot P_1 : M \cdot P_2 : M \cdot P_3]$$

for some polynomials M, P_1, P_2, P_3 . In this case, if M is the maximal degree with this property, $deg(f^2) = deg(f)^2 - deg(M)$ and

$$F({M = 0}) \subset \mathcal{I}(F).$$

We say that V = 0 is a degree lowering curve of F if

 $F^k(V) \subset \mathcal{I}(F)$

for some k.

Being *F* a minimal representative of *f* of degree *d* consider $F \circ F$, which has as a components polynomials of degree d^2 . But $F \circ F$, is not necessarily a minimal representative of f^2 : it can occur that

$$F \circ F = [M \cdot P_1 : M \cdot P_2 : M \cdot P_3]$$

for some polynomials M, P_1, P_2, P_3 . In this case, if M is the maximal degree with this property, $deg(f^2) = deg(f)^2 - deg(M)$ and

$$F({M = 0}) \subset \mathcal{I}(F).$$

We say that V = 0 is a degree lowering curve of F if

$$F^k(V) \subset \mathcal{I}(F)$$

for some k.

< 回 > < 三 > < 三 >

Hence we get that

 $deg(f^n) \leq deg(f)^n$

with equality holding if and only if *F* has no degree lowering curves. Calling $a_n = \ln(deg(f^n))$, $a_{n+m} \le a_n + a_m$ and hence the limit a_n/n always exists. From this: the number

$$h(f) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\ln(\deg(f^n))}{n}$$

always exists and it is called the algebraic entropy of f and

$$\delta(f) = \lim_{n \to \infty} (\deg(f^n))^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

always exists and it is called the dynamical degree of f.

< 回 ト < 三 ト < 三

Hence we get that

 $deg(f^n) \leq deg(f)^n$

with equality holding if and only if *F* has no degree lowering curves. Calling $a_n = \ln(deg(f^n))$, $a_{n+m} \le a_n + a_m$ and hence the limit a_n/n always exists. From this: the number

$$h(f) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\ln(\deg(f^n))}{n}$$

always exists and it is called the algebraic entropy of f and

$$\delta(f) = \lim_{n \to \infty} (\deg(f^n))^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

always exists and it is called the dynamical degree of f.

< 回 ト < 三 ト < 三

Hence we get that

 $deg(f^n) \leq deg(f)^n$

with equality holding if and only if F has no degree lowering curves.

Calling $a_n = \ln(deg(f^n))$, $a_{n+m} \le a_n + a_m$ and hence the limit a_n/n always exists. From this: the number

$$h(f) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\ln(\deg(f^n))}{n}$$

always exists and it is called the algebraic entropy of f and

$$\delta(f) = \lim_{n \to \infty} (\deg(f^n))^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

always exists and it is called the dynamical degree of f.

Hence we get that

 $deg(f^n) \leq deg(f)^n$

with equality holding if and only if *F* has no degree lowering curves. Calling $a_n = \ln(deg(f^n))$, $a_{n+m} \le a_n + a_m$ and hence the limit a_n/n always exists. From this: the number

$$h(f) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\ln(deg(f^n))}{n}$$

always exists and it is called the algebraic entropy of f and

$$\delta(f) = \lim_{n \to \infty} (deg(f^n))^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

always exists and it is called the dynamical degree of f.

Hence we get that

 $deg(f^n) \leq deg(f)^n$

with equality holding if and only if *F* has no degree lowering curves. Calling $a_n = \ln(deg(f^n))$, $a_{n+m} \le a_n + a_m$ and hence the limit a_n/n always exists. From this: the number

$$h(f) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\ln(deg(f^n))}{n}$$

always exists and it is called the algebraic entropy of f and

$$\delta(f) = \lim_{n \to \infty} (\deg(f^n))^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

always exists and it is called the dynamical degree of f.

1. Algebraic entropy of a rational map

Hence we get that

 $deg(f^n) \leq deg(f)^n$

with equality holding if and only if *F* has no degree lowering curves. Calling $a_n = \ln(deg(f^n))$, $a_{n+m} \le a_n + a_m$ and hence the limit a_n/n always exists. From this: the number

$$h(f) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\ln(deg(f^n))}{n}$$

always exists and it is called the algebraic entropy of f and

$$\delta(f) = \lim_{n \to \infty} (\deg(f^n))^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

always exists and it is called the dynamical degree of f.

< 回 > < 三 > < 三 >

1. Algebraic entropy of a rational map

Hence we get that

 $deg(f^n) \leq deg(f)^n$

with equality holding if and only if *F* has no degree lowering curves. Calling $a_n = \ln(deg(f^n))$, $a_{n+m} \le a_n + a_m$ and hence the limit a_n/n always exists. From this: the number

$$h(f) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\ln(deg(f^n))}{n}$$

always exists and it is called the algebraic entropy of f and

$$\delta(f) = \lim_{n \to \infty} (deg(f^n))^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

always exists and it is called the dynamical degree of f.

< 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

1. Algebraic entropy of a rational map

Hence we get that

 $deg(f^n) \leq deg(f)^n$

with equality holding if and only if *F* has no degree lowering curves. Calling $a_n = \ln(deg(f^n))$, $a_{n+m} \le a_n + a_m$ and hence the limit a_n/n always exists. From this: the number

$$h(f) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\ln(deg(f^n))}{n}$$

always exists and it is called the algebraic entropy of f and

$$\delta(f) = \lim_{n \to \infty} (\deg(f^n))^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

always exists and it is called the dynamical degree of f.

< 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

A rational map $F : P\mathbb{C}^2 \to P\mathbb{C}^2$ is birational if there exists another rational map G and an algebraic curve V such that $F \circ G = G \circ F = id$ on $P\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus V$.

The exceptional locus of F denoted by $\mathcal{E}(F)$ is defined as follows

$$\mathcal{E}(F) = \{ x \in P\mathbb{C}^2 : Det(DF)_x = 0 \}.$$

A rational map $F : P\mathbb{C}^2 \to P\mathbb{C}^2$ is birational if there exists another rational map *G* and an algebraic curve *V* such that $F \circ G = G \circ F = id$ on $P\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus V$.

The exceptional locus of F denoted by $\mathcal{E}(F)$ is defined as follows

$$\mathcal{E}(F) = \{ x \in P\mathbb{C}^2 : Det(DF)_x = 0 \}.$$

A rational map $F : P\mathbb{C}^2 \to P\mathbb{C}^2$ is birational if there exists another rational map G and an algebraic curve V such that $F \circ G = G \circ F = id$ on $P\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus V$.

The exceptional locus of F denoted by $\mathcal{E}(F)$ is defined as follows

$$\mathcal{E}(F) = \{ x \in P\mathbb{C}^2 : Det(DF)_x = 0 \}.$$

A rational map $F : P\mathbb{C}^2 \to P\mathbb{C}^2$ is birational if there exists another rational map *G* and an algebraic curve *V* such that $F \circ G = G \circ F = id$ on $P\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus V$.

The exceptional locus of F denoted by $\mathcal{E}(F)$ is defined as follows

$$\mathcal{E}(F) = \{ x \in P\mathbb{C}^2 : Det(DF)_x = 0 \}.$$

A rational map $F : P\mathbb{C}^2 \to P\mathbb{C}^2$ is birational if there exists another rational map G and an algebraic curve V such that $F \circ G = G \circ F = id$ on $P\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus V$.

The excepcional locus of F denoted by $\mathcal{E}(F)$ is defined as follows

$$\mathcal{E}(F) = \{ x \in P\mathbb{C}^2 : Det(DF)_x = 0 \}.$$

A rational map $F : P\mathbb{C}^2 \to P\mathbb{C}^2$ is birational if there exists another rational map G and an algebraic curve V such that $F \circ G = G \circ F = id$ on $P\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus V$.

The excepcional locus of F denoted by $\mathcal{E}(F)$ is defined as follows

$$\mathcal{E}(F) = \{ x \in P\mathbb{C}^2 : Det(DF)_x = 0 \}.$$

A rational map $F : P\mathbb{C}^2 \to P\mathbb{C}^2$ is birational if there exists another rational map G and an algebraic curve V such that $F \circ G = G \circ F = id$ on $P\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus V$.

The exceptional locus of F denoted by $\mathcal{E}(F)$ is defined as follows

$$\mathcal{E}(F) = \{ x \in P\mathbb{C}^2 : Det(DF)_x = 0 \}.$$

Proposition. Let *F* be a birational map and let *F*⁻¹ its inverse. Then: Given any irreducible curve V ∈ E(F), F(V) is a single point in I(F⁻¹). For any p ∈ I(F⁻¹), the preimage of p for F is an element of E(F). I(F) ⊂ E(F), and every irreducible element of E(F) contains a point of I(F).

• $F : P\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \mathcal{E}(F) \to P\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \mathcal{E}(F^{-1})$ is a bimeromorphic map.

A rational map $F : P\mathbb{C}^2 \to P\mathbb{C}^2$ is birational if there exists another rational map G and an algebraic curve V such that $F \circ G = G \circ F = id$ on $P\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus V$.

The exceptional locus of F denoted by $\mathcal{E}(F)$ is defined as follows

$$\mathcal{E}(F) = \{ x \in P\mathbb{C}^2 : Det(DF)_x = 0 \}.$$

Proposition.

- Given any irreducible curve $V \in \mathcal{E}(F)$, F(V) is a single point in $\mathcal{I}(F^{-1})$. For any $p \in \mathcal{I}(F^{-1})$, the preimage of p for F is an element of $\mathcal{E}(F)$.
- *I*(*F*) ⊂ *E*(*F*), and every irreducible element of *E*(*F*) contains a point of *I*(*F*).
- $F : P\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \mathcal{E}(F) \to P\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \mathcal{E}(F^{-1})$ is a bimeromorphic map.

A rational map $F : P\mathbb{C}^2 \to P\mathbb{C}^2$ is birational if there exists another rational map G and an algebraic curve V such that $F \circ G = G \circ F = id$ on $P\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus V$.

The exceptional locus of F denoted by $\mathcal{E}(F)$ is defined as follows

$$\mathcal{E}(F) = \{ x \in P\mathbb{C}^2 : Det(DF)_x = 0 \}.$$

Proposition.

- Given any irreducible curve $V \in \mathcal{E}(F)$, F(V) is a single point in $\mathcal{I}(F^{-1})$. For any $p \in \mathcal{I}(F^{-1})$, the preimage of p for F is an element of $\mathcal{E}(F)$.
- *I*(*F*) ⊂ *E*(*F*), and every irreducible element of *E*(*F*) contains a point of *I*(*F*).
- $F : P\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \mathcal{E}(F) \to P\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \mathcal{E}(F^{-1})$ is a bimeromorphic map.

A rational map $F : P\mathbb{C}^2 \to P\mathbb{C}^2$ is birational if there exists another rational map G and an algebraic curve V such that $F \circ G = G \circ F = id$ on $P\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus V$.

The exceptional locus of F denoted by $\mathcal{E}(F)$ is defined as follows

$$\mathcal{E}(F) = \{ x \in P\mathbb{C}^2 : Det(DF)_x = 0 \}.$$

Proposition.

- Given any irreducible curve V ∈ E(F), F(V) is a single point in I(F⁻¹). For any p ∈ I(F⁻¹), the preimage of p for F is an element of E(F).
- *I*(*F*) ⊂ *E*(*F*), and every irreducible element of *E*(*F*) contains a point of *I*(*F*).
- $F : P\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \mathcal{E}(F) \to P\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \mathcal{E}(F^{-1})$ is a bimeromorphic map.

A rational map $F : P\mathbb{C}^2 \to P\mathbb{C}^2$ is birational if there exists another rational map G and an algebraic curve V such that $F \circ G = G \circ F = id$ on $P\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus V$.

The exceptional locus of F denoted by $\mathcal{E}(F)$ is defined as follows

$$\mathcal{E}(F) = \{ x \in P\mathbb{C}^2 : Det(DF)_x = 0 \}.$$

Proposition.

- Given any irreducible curve $V \in \mathcal{E}(F)$, F(V) is a single point in $\mathcal{I}(F^{-1})$. For any $p \in \mathcal{I}(F^{-1})$, the preimage of p for F is an element of $\mathcal{E}(F)$.
- *I*(*F*) ⊂ *E*(*F*), and every irreducible element of *E*(*F*) contains a point of *I*(*F*).
- $F : P\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \mathcal{E}(F) \to P\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \mathcal{E}(F^{-1})$ is a bimeromorphic map.

A rational map $F : P\mathbb{C}^2 \to P\mathbb{C}^2$ is birational if there exists another rational map *G* and an algebraic curve *V* such that $F \circ G = G \circ F = id$ on $P\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus V$.

The exceptional locus of F denoted by $\mathcal{E}(F)$ is defined as follows

$$\mathcal{E}(F) = \{ x \in P\mathbb{C}^2 : Det(DF)_x = 0 \}.$$

Proposition.

- Given any irreducible curve $V \in \mathcal{E}(F)$, F(V) is a single point in $\mathcal{I}(F^{-1})$. For any $p \in \mathcal{I}(F^{-1})$, the preimage of p for F is an element of $\mathcal{E}(F)$.
- *I*(*F*) ⊂ *E*(*F*), and every irreducible element of *E*(*F*) contains a point of *I*(*F*).
- $F : P\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \mathcal{E}(F) \to P\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \mathcal{E}(F^{-1})$ is a bimeromorphic map.

Proposition.

A birational map *F* has a degree lowering curve *V* for *F* if and only if there exists an exceptional curve $S \in \mathcal{E}(F)$ such that $F^n(S) \in \mathcal{I}(F)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Corollary. If for all $S \in \mathcal{E}(F)$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $F^n(S) \notin \mathcal{I}(F)$ then deg $(f^n) = (\text{deg}(f))^n$ and $\delta(f) = \text{degree}(f)$.

In this case F is called an algebraically stable map.

Proposition.

A birational map *F* has a degree lowering curve *V* for *F* if and only if there exists an exceptional curve $S \in \mathcal{E}(F)$ such that $F^n(S) \in \mathcal{I}(F)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Corollary. If for all $S \in \mathcal{E}(F)$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $F^n(S) \notin \mathcal{I}(F)$ then deg $(f^n) = (\text{deg}(f))^n$ and $\delta(f) = \text{degree}(f)$.

In this case F is called an algebraically stable map.

Proposition. A birational map *F* has a degree lowering curve *V* for *F* if and only if there exists an exceptional curve $S \in \mathcal{E}(F)$ such that $F^n(S) \in \mathcal{I}(F)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Corollary. If for all $S \in \mathcal{E}(F)$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $F^n(S) \notin \mathcal{I}(F)$ then deg $(f^n) = (\deg(f))^n$ and $\delta(f) = \text{degree}(f)$.

In this case F is called an algebraically stable map.

UAB 2014 7 / 26

Proposition. A birational map *F* has a degree lowering curve *V* for *F* if and only if there exists an exceptional curve $S \in \mathcal{E}(F)$ such that $F^n(S) \in \mathcal{I}(F)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Corollary. If for all $S \in \mathcal{E}(F)$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $F^n(S) \notin \mathcal{I}(F)$ then deg $(f^n) = (\text{deg}(f))^n$ and $\delta(f) = \text{degree}(f)$.

In this case F is called an algebraically stable map.

Proposition. A birational map *F* has a degree lowering curve *V* for *F* if and only if there exists an exceptional curve $S \in \mathcal{E}(F)$ such that $F^n(S) \in \mathcal{I}(F)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Corollary. If for all $S \in \mathcal{E}(F)$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $F^n(S) \notin \mathcal{I}(F)$ then deg $(f^n) = (\text{deg}(f))^n$ and $\delta(f) = \text{degree}(f)$.

In this case *F* is called an algebraically stable map.

Proposition. A birational map *F* has a degree lowering curve *V* for *F* if and only if there exists an exceptional curve $S \in \mathcal{E}(F)$ such that $F^n(S) \in \mathcal{I}(F)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Corollary.

If for all $S \in \mathcal{E}(F)$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $F^n(S) \notin \mathcal{I}(F)$ then deg $(f^n) = (\text{deg}(f))^n$ and $\delta(f) = \text{degree}(f)$.

In this case *F* is called an algebraically stable map.

Proposition. A birational map *F* has a degree lowering curve *V* for *F* if and only if there exists an exceptional curve $S \in \mathcal{E}(F)$ such that $F^n(S) \in \mathcal{I}(F)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Corollary. If for all $S \in \mathcal{E}(F)$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $F^n(S) \notin \mathcal{I}(F)$ then $\deg(f^n) = (\deg(f))^n$ and $\delta(f) = \deg(f)$.

In this case F is called an algebraically stable map.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Proposition. A birational map *F* has a degree lowering curve *V* for *F* if and only if there exists an exceptional curve $S \in \mathcal{E}(F)$ such that $F^n(S) \in \mathcal{I}(F)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Corollary. If for all $S \in \mathcal{E}(F)$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $F^n(S) \notin \mathcal{I}(F)$ then $\deg(f^n) = (\deg(f))^n$ and $\delta(f) = \deg(f)$.

In this case F is called an algebraically stable map.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Proposition. A birational map *F* has a degree lowering curve *V* for *F* if and only if there exists an exceptional curve $S \in \mathcal{E}(F)$ such that $F^n(S) \in \mathcal{I}(F)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Corollary. If for all $S \in \mathcal{E}(F)$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $F^n(S) \notin \mathcal{I}(F)$ then deg $(f^n) = (\text{deg}(f))^n$ and $\delta(f) = \text{degree}(f)$.

In this case *F* is called an algebraically stable map.

・ロト ・ 四ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

Proposition. A birational map *F* has a degree lowering curve *V* for *F* if and only if there exists an exceptional curve $S \in \mathcal{E}(F)$ such that $F^n(S) \in \mathcal{I}(F)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Corollary. If for all $S \in \mathcal{E}(F)$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $F^n(S) \notin \mathcal{I}(F)$ then deg $(f^n) = (\text{deg}(f))^n$ and $\delta(f) = \text{degree}(f)$.

In this case *F* is called an algebraically stable map.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Given a point $p \in \mathbb{C}^2$, the blow-up of \mathbb{C}^2 at p is a pair (X, π) such that if p = (0, 0) (if not we do a translation) it is defined by

$$X = \{((x, y), [u : v]) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1 : xv = yu\}$$

and $\pi: X \to \mathbb{C}^2$ is the projection on the first component: $\pi((x, y), [u: v]) = (x, y)$. We notice that

$$\pi^{-1} p = \pi^{-1}(0,0) = \{((0,0), [u:v])\} := E_p \approx \mathbb{P}^1$$

and if $q = (x, y) \neq (0, 0)$, then

$$\pi^{-1} q = \pi^{-1}(x, y) = \{((x, y), [x : y])\} \in X.$$

< 回 ト < 三 ト < 三

Given a point $p \in \mathbb{C}^2$, the blow-up of \mathbb{C}^2 at p is a pair (X, π) such that if p = (0, 0) (if not we do a translation) it is defined by

 $X = \{((x, y), [u : v]) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1 : xv = yu\}$

and $\pi: X \to \mathbb{C}^2$ is the projection on the first component: $\pi((x, y), [u: v]) = (x, y)$. We notice that

$$\pi^{-1} p = \pi^{-1}(0,0) = \{((0,0), [u:v])\} := E_{p} \approx \mathbb{P}^{1}$$

and if $q = (x, y) \neq (0, 0)$, then

$$\pi^{-1} q = \pi^{-1}(x, y) = \{((x, y), [x : y])\} \in X.$$

< 回 > < 三 > < 三 >

Given a point $p \in \mathbb{C}^2$, the blow-up of \mathbb{C}^2 at p is a pair (X, π) such that if p = (0, 0) (if not we do a translation) it is defined by

$$X = \{((x, y), [u : v]) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1 : xv = yu\}$$

and $\pi: X \to \mathbb{C}^2$ is the projection on the first component: $\pi((x, y), [u: v]) = (x, y)$. We notice that

$$\pi^{-1} \rho = \pi^{-1}(0,0) = \{((0,0), [u:v])\} := E_{\rho} \approx \mathbb{P}^{1}$$

and if $q = (x, y) \neq (0, 0)$, then

$$\pi^{-1} q = \pi^{-1}(x, y) = \{((x, y), [x : y])\} \in X.$$

< 回 > < 三 > < 三 >

Given a point $p \in \mathbb{C}^2$, the blow-up of \mathbb{C}^2 at p is a pair (X, π) such that if p = (0, 0) (if not we do a translation) it is defined by

$$X = \{((x, y), [u : v]) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1 : xv = yu\}$$

and $\pi: X \to \mathbb{C}^2$ is the projection on the first component: $\pi((x, y), [u: v]) = (x, y)$. We notice that

 $\pi^{-1} p = \pi^{-1}(0,0) = \{((0,0), [u:v])\} := E_{\rho} \approx \mathbb{P}^{1}$

and if $q = (x, y) \neq (0, 0)$, then

$$\pi^{-1} q = \pi^{-1}(x, y) = \{((x, y), [x : y])\} \in X.$$

A (10) A (10)

Given a point $p \in \mathbb{C}^2$, the blow-up of \mathbb{C}^2 at p is a pair (X, π) such that if p = (0, 0) (if not we do a translation) it is defined by

$$X = \{((x, y), [u : v]) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1 : xv = yu\}$$

and $\pi: X \to \mathbb{C}^2$ is the projection on the first component: $\pi((x, y), [u: v]) = (x, y)$. We notice that

 $\pi^{-1} \rho = \pi^{-1}(0,0) = \{((0,0), [u:v])\} := E_{\rho} \approx \mathbb{P}^{1}$

and if $q = (x, y) \neq (0, 0)$, then

$$\pi^{-1} q = \pi^{-1}(x, y) = \{((x, y), [x : y])\} \in X.$$

A (10) A (10)

Given a point $p \in \mathbb{C}^2$, the blow-up of \mathbb{C}^2 at p is a pair (X, π) such that if p = (0, 0) (if not we do a translation) it is defined by

$$X = \{((x, y), [u : v]) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1 : xv = yu\}$$

and $\pi: X \to \mathbb{C}^2$ is the projection on the first component: $\pi((x, y), [u: v]) = (x, y)$. We notice that

$$\pi^{-1} p = \pi^{-1}(0,0) = \{((0,0), [u:v])\} := E_{\rho} \approx \mathbb{P}^{1}$$

and if $q = (x, y) \neq (0, 0)$, then

$$\pi^{-1} q = \pi^{-1}(x, y) = \{((x, y), [x : y])\} \in X.$$

< 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

Given a point $p \in \mathbb{C}^2$, the blow-up of \mathbb{C}^2 at p is a pair (X, π) such that if p = (0, 0) (if not we do a translation) it is defined by

$$X = \{((x, y), [u : v]) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1 : xv = yu\}$$

and $\pi: X \to \mathbb{C}^2$ is the projection on the first component: $\pi((x, y), [u: v]) = (x, y)$. We notice that

$$\pi^{-1} p = \pi^{-1}(0,0) = \{((0,0), [u:v])\} := E_{p} \approx \mathbb{P}^{1}$$

and if $q = (x, y) \neq (0, 0)$, then

$$\pi^{-1} q = \pi^{-1}(x, y) = \{((x, y), [x : y])\} \in X.$$

Given a point $p \in \mathbb{C}^2$, the blow-up of \mathbb{C}^2 at p is a pair (X, π) such that if p = (0, 0) (if not we do a translation) it is defined by

$$X = \{((x, y), [u : v]) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1 : xv = yu\}$$

and $\pi: X \to \mathbb{C}^2$ is the projection on the first component: $\pi((x, y), [u: v]) = (x, y)$. We notice that

$$\pi^{-1} \rho = \pi^{-1}(0,0) = \{((0,0), [u:v])\} := E_{\rho} \approx \mathbb{P}^{1}$$

and if $q = (x, y) \neq (0, 0)$, then

$$\pi^{-1} q = \pi^{-1}(x, y) = \{((x, y), [x : y])\} \in X.$$

Let X be the manifold that we get after a finite number of blowing-up's.

Let $F_X : X \longrightarrow X$ be the map induced by F on X.

As before, it is said that F_X is algebraically stable if for every $C \in \mathcal{E}(F_X)$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $F_X^n(C) \notin \mathcal{I}(F_X)$.

Theorem.

For *F* being birational, after a finite number of blowing-up's, we get a map F_X which is AS

If F_X is AS we say that F_X is a regularization of F.

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

Let X be the manifold that we get after a finite number of blowing-up's.

Let $F_X : X \longrightarrow X$ be the map induced by F on X.

As before, it is said that F_X is algebraically stable if for every $C \in \mathcal{E}(F_X)$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $F_X^n(C) \notin \mathcal{I}(F_X)$.

Theorem.

For *F* being birational, after a finite number of blowing-up's, we get a map F_X which is AS

If F_X is AS we say that F_X is a regularization of F.

Let X be the manifold that we get after a finite number of blowing-up's.

Let $F_X : X \longrightarrow X$ be the map induced by F on X.

As before, it is said that F_X is algebraically stable if for every $C \in \mathcal{E}(F_X)$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $F_X^n(C) \notin \mathcal{I}(F_X)$.

For *F* being birational, after a finite number of blowing-up's, we get a map F_X which is AS

If F_X is AS we say that F_X is a regularization of F.

Let X be the manifold that we get after a finite number of blowing-up's.

Let $F_X : X \longrightarrow X$ be the map induced by F on X.

As before, it is said that F_X is algebraically stable if for every $C \in \mathcal{E}(F_X)$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $F_X^n(C) \notin \mathcal{I}(F_X)$.

For *F* being birational, after a finite number of blowing-up's, we get a map F_X which is AS

If F_X is AS we say that F_X is a regularization of F.

Let X be the manifold that we get after a finite number of blowing-up's.

Let $F_X : X \longrightarrow X$ be the map induced by F on X.

As before, it is said that F_X is algebraically stable if for every $C \in \mathcal{E}(F_X)$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $F_X^n(C) \notin \mathcal{I}(F_X)$.

Theorem.

For *F* being birational, after a finite number of blowing-up's, we get a map F_X which is AS

If F_X is AS we say that F_X is a regularization of F.

Let X be the manifold that we get after a finite number of blowing-up's.

Let $F_X : X \longrightarrow X$ be the map induced by F on X.

As before, it is said that F_X is algebraically stable if for every $C \in \mathcal{E}(F_X)$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $F_X^n(C) \notin \mathcal{I}(F_X)$.

Theorem. For *F* being birational, after a finite number of blowing-up's, we get a map F_X which is AS

If F_X is AS we say that F_X is a regularization of F.

Let X be the manifold that we get after a finite number of blowing-up's.

Let $F_X : X \longrightarrow X$ be the map induced by F on X.

As before, it is said that F_X is algebraically stable if for every $C \in \mathcal{E}(F_X)$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $F_X^n(C) \notin \mathcal{I}(F_X)$.

Theorem. For *F* being birational, after a finite number of blowing-up's, we get a map F_X which is AS

If F_X is AS we say that F_X is a regularization of F.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Let X be the manifold that we get after a finite number of blowing-up's.

Let $F_X : X \longrightarrow X$ be the map induced by F on X.

As before, it is said that F_X is algebraically stable if for every $C \in \mathcal{E}(F_X)$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $F_X^n(C) \notin \mathcal{I}(F_X)$.

Theorem. For *F* being birational, after a finite number of blowing-up's, we get a map F_X which is AS

If F_X is AS we say that F_X is a regularization of F.

Let *X* be the manifold that we get after a finite number of blowing-up's. The set Div(X) of the divisors of *X* is formed by formal sums $D = \sum d_i D_i$, where $d_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\{D_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ a locally finite sequence of irreducible hypersurfaces on *X*. Then $\mathcal{P}ic(X)$ is the set $Div(X)/\sim$ modulo linear equivalence.

It can be seen that

$$\mathcal{P}ic(X) = \langle \hat{L}, E_{p_1}, E_{p_2}, \ldots, E_{p_k} \rangle,$$

where p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k are the base points of the blowing-up's and *L* is a generic line in $P\mathbb{C}^2$. Let $F_X^* : \mathcal{P}ic(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}ic(X)$ be the map induced by F_X on $\mathcal{P}ic(X)$.

Theorem. *F_X* is <mark>AS</mark> if and only if

$$(F_X^*)^n = (F_X^{n*}).$$

Let X be the manifold that we get after a finite number of

blowing-up's. The set Div(X) of the divisors of X is formed by formal sums $D = \sum d_i D_i$, where $d_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\{D_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ a locally finite sequence of irreducible hypersurfaces on X. Then $\mathcal{P}ic(X)$ is the set $Div(X)/\sim$ modulo linear equivalence.

It can be seen that

$$\mathcal{P}ic(X) = \langle \hat{L}, E_{p_1}, E_{p_2}, \ldots, E_{p_k} \rangle,$$

where p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k are the base points of the blowing-up's and *L* is a generic line in $P\mathbb{C}^2$. Let $F_X^* : \mathcal{P}ic(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}ic(X)$ be the map induced by F_X on $\mathcal{P}ic(X)$.

Theorem. *F_X* is <mark>AS</mark> if and only if

$(F_X^*)^n = (F_X^{n*}).$

Let X be the manifold that we get after a finite number of blowing-up's. The set Div(X) of the divisors of X is formed by formal sums $D = \sum d_i D_i$, where $d_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\{D_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ a locally finite sequence of irreducible hypersurfaces on X. Then Pic(X) is the set $Div(X)/\sim$ modulo linear equivalence.

It can be seen that

$$\mathcal{P}ic(X) = \langle \hat{L}, E_{p_1}, E_{p_2}, \ldots, E_{p_k} \rangle,$$

where p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k are the base points of the blowing-up's and *L* is a generic line in $P\mathbb{C}^2$. Let $F_X^* : \mathcal{P}ic(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}ic(X)$ be the map induced by F_X on $\mathcal{P}ic(X)$.

Theorem. *F_X* is <mark>AS</mark> if and only if

$$(F_X^*)^n = (F_X^{n*}).$$

Let *X* be the manifold that we get after a finite number of blowing-up's. The set Div(X) of the divisors of *X* is formed by formal sums $D = \sum d_i D_i$, where $d_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\{D_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ a locally finite sequence of irreducible hypersurfaces on *X*. Then Pic(X) is the set $Div(X)/\sim$ modulo linear equivalence.

It can be seen that

$$\mathcal{P}\mathrm{ic}(X) = \langle \hat{L}, E_{\mathcal{P}_1}, E_{\mathcal{P}_2}, \ldots, E_{\mathcal{P}_k} \rangle,$$

where p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k are the base points of the blowing-up's and *L* is a generic line in $P\mathbb{C}^2$. Let $F_X^* : \mathcal{P}ic(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}ic(X)$ be the map induced by F_X on $\mathcal{P}ic(X)$.

Theorem. *F_X* is <mark>AS</mark> if and only if

$$(F_X^*)^n = (F_X^{n*}).$$

Let *X* be the manifold that we get after a finite number of blowing-up's. The set Div(X) of the divisors of *X* is formed by formal sums $D = \sum d_i D_i$, where $d_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\{D_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ a locally finite sequence of irreducible hypersurfaces on *X*. Then $\mathcal{P}ic(X)$ is the set $Div(X)/\sim$ modulo linear equivalence.

It can be seen that

$$\mathcal{P}ic(X) = \langle \hat{L}, E_{p_1}, E_{p_2}, \ldots, E_{p_k} \rangle,$$

where p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k are the base points of the blowing-up's and *L* is a generic line in $P\mathbb{C}^2$. Let $F_X^* : \mathcal{P}ic(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}ic(X)$ be the map induced by F_X on $\mathcal{P}ic(X)$.

Theorem. *F_X* is <mark>AS</mark> if and only if

$(F_X^*)^n = (F_X^{n*}).$

Let *X* be the manifold that we get after a finite number of blowing-up's. The set Div(X) of the divisors of *X* is formed by formal sums $D = \sum d_i D_i$, where $d_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\{D_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ a locally finite sequence of irreducible hypersurfaces on *X*. Then Pic(X) is the set $Div(X)/\sim$ modulo linear equivalence.

It can be seen that

$$\mathcal{P}$$
ic $(X) = \langle \hat{L}, E_{p_1}, E_{p_2}, \dots, E_{p_k} \rangle,$

where p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k are the base points of the blowing-up's and *L* is a generic line in \mathbb{PC}^2 . Let $F_X^* : \mathcal{P}ic(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}ic(X)$ be the map induced by F_X on $\mathcal{P}ic(X)$.

Theorem. *F_X* is <mark>AS</mark> if and only if

$$(F_X^*)^n = (F_X^{n*}).$$

Anna Cima and Sundus Zafar (UAB) Algebraic entropy for birational maps in the pla

Let *X* be the manifold that we get after a finite number of blowing-up's. The set Div(X) of the divisors of *X* is formed by formal sums $D = \sum d_i D_i$, where $d_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\{D_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ a locally finite sequence of irreducible hypersurfaces on *X*. Then Pic(X) is the set $Div(X)/\sim$ modulo linear equivalence.

It can be seen that

$$\mathcal{P}$$
ic $(X) = \langle \hat{L}, E_{p_1}, E_{p_2}, \dots, E_{p_k} \rangle,$

where $p_1, p_2, ..., p_k$ are the base points of the blowing-up's and *L* is a generic line in \mathbb{PC}^2 . Let $F_X^* : \mathcal{P}ic(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}ic(X)$ be the map induced by F_X on $\mathcal{P}ic(X)$.

Theorem. *F_X* is <mark>AS</mark> if and only if

$$(F_X^*)^n = (F_X^{n*}).$$

Let *X* be the manifold that we get after a finite number of blowing-up's. The set Div(X) of the divisors of *X* is formed by formal sums $D = \sum d_i D_i$, where $d_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\{D_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ a locally finite sequence of irreducible hypersurfaces on *X*. Then Pic(X) is the set $Div(X)/\sim$ modulo linear equivalence.

It can be seen that

$$\mathcal{P}$$
ic $(X) = \langle \hat{L}, E_{p_1}, E_{p_2}, \dots, E_{p_k} \rangle,$

where $p_1, p_2, ..., p_k$ are the base points of the blowing-up's and *L* is a generic line in \mathbb{PC}^2 . Let $F_X^* : \mathcal{P}ic(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}ic(X)$ be the map induced by F_X on $\mathcal{P}ic(X)$.

Theorem. *F_X* is <mark>AS</mark> if and only if

$$(F_X^*)^n = (F_X^{n*}).$$

Let *X* be the manifold that we get after a finite number of blowing-up's. The set Div(X) of the divisors of *X* is formed by formal sums $D = \sum d_i D_i$, where $d_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\{D_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ a locally finite sequence of irreducible hypersurfaces on *X*. Then Pic(X) is the set $Div(X)/\sim$ modulo linear equivalence.

It can be seen that

$$\mathcal{P}$$
ic $(X) = \langle \hat{L}, E_{p_1}, E_{p_2}, \dots, E_{p_k} \rangle,$

where p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k are the base points of the blowing-up's and *L* is a generic line in \mathbb{PC}^2 . Let $F_X^* : \mathcal{P}ic(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}ic(X)$ be the map induced by F_X on $\mathcal{P}ic(X)$.

 F_X is AS if and only if

$$(F_X^*)^n = (F_X^{n*}).$$

Let *X* be the manifold that we get after a finite number of blowing-up's. The set Div(X) of the divisors of *X* is formed by formal sums $D = \sum d_i D_i$, where $d_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\{D_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ a locally finite sequence of irreducible hypersurfaces on *X*. Then Pic(X) is the set $Div(X)/\sim$ modulo linear equivalence.

It can be seen that

$$\mathcal{P}$$
ic $(X) = \langle \hat{L}, E_{p_1}, E_{p_2}, \dots, E_{p_k} \rangle,$

where $p_1, p_2, ..., p_k$ are the base points of the blowing-up's and *L* is a generic line in \mathbb{PC}^2 . Let $F_X^* : \mathcal{P}ic(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}ic(X)$ be the map induced by F_X on $\mathcal{P}ic(X)$.

Theorem. F_X is AS if and only if

$$(F_X^*)^n = (F_X^{n*}).$$

Let *X* be the manifold that we get after a finite number of blowing-up's. The set Div(X) of the divisors of *X* is formed by formal sums $D = \sum d_i D_i$, where $d_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\{D_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ a locally finite sequence of irreducible hypersurfaces on *X*. Then Pic(X) is the set $Div(X)/\sim$ modulo linear equivalence.

It can be seen that

$$\mathcal{P}$$
ic $(X) = \langle \hat{L}, E_{p_1}, E_{p_2}, \dots, E_{p_k} \rangle,$

where $p_1, p_2, ..., p_k$ are the base points of the blowing-up's and *L* is a generic line in \mathbb{PC}^2 . Let $F_X^* : \mathcal{P}ic(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}ic(X)$ be the map induced by F_X on $\mathcal{P}ic(X)$.

Theorem. F_X is AS if and only if

$$(F_X^*)^n = (F_X^{n*}).$$

Theorem.

Let *f* be a birational map and let d_n be the degree of f^n . Then d_n satisfies a homogeneous linear recurrence with constant coefficients. This recurrence is governed by the characteristic polynomial of the matrix of F_x^* , where F_X is a regularization of *F*.

伺下 イヨト イヨ

Theorem. Let *f* be a birational map and let d_n be the degree of f^n . Then d_n satisfies a homogeneous linear recurrence with constant coefficients. This recurrence is governed by the characteristic polynomial of the matrix of F_x^* , where F_x is a regularization of *F*.

A > + = + + =

Theorem. Let *f* be a birational map and let d_n be the degree of f^n . Then d_n satisfies a homogeneous linear recurrence with constant coefficients. This recurrence is governed by the characteristic polynomial of the matrix of F_x^* , where F_X is a regularization of *F*.

A D A D A D A

Theorem.

Let *f* be a birational map and let d_n be the degree of f^n . Then d_n satisfies a homogeneous linear recurrence with constant coefficients. This recurrence is governed by the characteristic polynomial of the matrix of F_x^* , where F_X is a regularization of *F*.

A B F A B F

Theorem.

Let *f* be a birational map and let d_n be the degree of f^n . Then d_n satisfies a homogeneous linear recurrence with constant coefficients. This recurrence is governed by the characteristic polynomial of the matrix of F_x^* , where F_X is a regularization of *F*.

Consider:

$$f(x_1, x_2) = \left(x_1 - x_2, \frac{x_1 + x_2}{1 + x_2}\right),$$

 $F[x_0 : x_1 : x_2] = [x_0(x_0 + x_2) : (x_1 - x_2)(x_0 + x_2) : x_0(x_1 + x_2)].$ Calculate the jacobian of (*DF*) :

$$j_F = 2x_0(x_0 + x_2)(2x_0 - x_1 + x_2).$$

So, F has three exceptional curves

$$S_0 := \{x_0 = 0\}, S_1 := \{x_0 + x_2 = 0\}, S_2 := \{2x_0 - x_1 + x_2 = 0\}$$

that colapse to

$$A_0 := [0:1:0], A_1 := [0:0:1], A_2 := [1:2:2]$$

$$O_0 = [1:1:-1], O_1 = [0:1:1], O_2 = [0:1:0].$$

$$f(x_1, x_2) = \left(x_1 - x_2, \frac{x_1 + x_2}{1 + x_2}\right),$$

 $F[x_0 : x_1 : x_2] = [x_0(x_0 + x_2) : (x_1 - x_2)(x_0 + x_2) : x_0(x_1 + x_2)].$ Calculate the jacobian of (*DF*) :

$$j_F = 2x_0(x_0 + x_2)(2x_0 - x_1 + x_2).$$

So, F has three exceptional curves

$$S_0 := \{x_0 = 0\}, S_1 := \{x_0 + x_2 = 0\}, S_2 := \{2x_0 - x_1 + x_2 = 0\}$$

that colapse to

$$A_0 := [0:1:0], A_1 := [0:0:1], A_2 := [1:2:2]$$

$$O_0 = [1:1:-1], O_1 = [0:1:1], O_2 = [0:1:0].$$

Consider:

$$f(x_1, x_2) = \left(x_1 - x_2, \frac{x_1 + x_2}{1 + x_2}\right),$$

 $F[x_0: x_1: x_2] = [x_0(x_0 + x_2): (x_1 - x_2)(x_0 + x_2): x_0(x_1 + x_2)].$ Calculate the jacobian of (*DF*):

$$j_F = 2x_0(x_0 + x_2)(2x_0 - x_1 + x_2).$$

So, F has three exceptional curves

$$S_0 := \{x_0 = 0\}, S_1 := \{x_0 + x_2 = 0\}, S_2 := \{2x_0 - x_1 + x_2 = 0\}$$

that colapse to

$$A_0 := [0:1:0], A_1 := [0:0:1], A_2 := [1:2:2]$$

$$O_0 = [1:1:-1], O_1 = [0:1:1], O_2 = [0:1:0].$$

Consider:

$$f(x_1, x_2) = \left(x_1 - x_2, \frac{x_1 + x_2}{1 + x_2}\right),$$

 $F[x_0 : x_1 : x_2] = [x_0(x_0 + x_2) : (x_1 - x_2)(x_0 + x_2) : x_0(x_1 + x_2)].$ Calculate the jacobian of (*DF*) :

$$j_F = 2x_0(x_0 + x_2)(2x_0 - x_1 + x_2).$$

So, F has three exceptional curves

 $S_0 := \{x_0 = 0\}, S_1 := \{x_0 + x_2 = 0\}, S_2 := \{2x_0 - x_1 + x_2 = 0\}$

that colapse to

$$A_0 := [0:1:0], A_1 := [0:0:1], A_2 := [1:2:2]$$

respectively. The indeterminacy points of F are

 $O_0 = [1:1:-1], O_1 = [0:1:1], O_2 = [0:1:0].$

Consider:

$$f(x_1, x_2) = \left(x_1 - x_2, \frac{x_1 + x_2}{1 + x_2}\right),$$

 $F[x_0 : x_1 : x_2] = [x_0(x_0 + x_2) : (x_1 - x_2)(x_0 + x_2) : x_0(x_1 + x_2)].$ Calculate the jacobian of (*DF*) :

$$j_F = 2x_0(x_0 + x_2)(2x_0 - x_1 + x_2).$$

So, F has three exceptional curves

 $S_0 := \{x_0 = 0\}, \ S_1 := \{x_0 + x_2 = 0\}, \ S_2 := \{2x_0 - x_1 + x_2 = 0\}$ at colapse to

$$A_0 := [0:1:0], A_1 := [0:0:1], A_2 := [1:2:2]$$

respectively. The indeterminacy points of F are

 $O_0 = [1:1:-1], O_1 = [0:1:1], O_2 = [0:1:0].$

Consider:

$$f(x_1, x_2) = \left(x_1 - x_2, \frac{x_1 + x_2}{1 + x_2}\right),$$

 $F[x_0 : x_1 : x_2] = [x_0(x_0 + x_2) : (x_1 - x_2)(x_0 + x_2) : x_0(x_1 + x_2)].$ Calculate the jacobian of (*DF*) :

$$j_F = 2x_0(x_0 + x_2)(2x_0 - x_1 + x_2).$$

So, F has three exceptional curves

$$S_0 := \{x_0 = 0\}, \ S_1 := \{x_0 + x_2 = 0\}, \ S_2 := \{2x_0 - x_1 + x_2 = 0\}$$

that colapse to

$$A_0 := [0:1:0], A_1 := [0:0:1], A_2 := [1:2:2]$$

$$O_0 = [1:1:-1], O_1 = [0:1:1], O_2 = [0:1:0].$$

Consider:

$$f(x_1, x_2) = \left(x_1 - x_2, \frac{x_1 + x_2}{1 + x_2}\right),$$

 $F[x_0 : x_1 : x_2] = [x_0(x_0 + x_2) : (x_1 - x_2)(x_0 + x_2) : x_0(x_1 + x_2)].$ Calculate the jacobian of (*DF*) :

$$j_F = 2x_0(x_0 + x_2)(2x_0 - x_1 + x_2).$$

So, F has three exceptional curves

$$S_0 := \{x_0 = 0\}, \ S_1 := \{x_0 + x_2 = 0\}, \ S_2 := \{2x_0 - x_1 + x_2 = 0\}$$

that colapse to

$$A_0 := [0:1:0], A_1 := [0:0:1], A_2 := [1:2:2]$$

respectively. The indeterminacy points of F are

 $O_0 = [1:1:-1], O_1 = [0:1:1], O_2 = [0:1:0].$

Consider:

$$f(x_1, x_2) = \left(x_1 - x_2, \frac{x_1 + x_2}{1 + x_2}\right),$$

 $F[x_0 : x_1 : x_2] = [x_0(x_0 + x_2) : (x_1 - x_2)(x_0 + x_2) : x_0(x_1 + x_2)].$ Calculate the jacobian of (*DF*) :

$$j_F = 2x_0(x_0 + x_2)(2x_0 - x_1 + x_2).$$

So, F has three exceptional curves

$$S_0 := \{x_0 = 0\}, \ S_1 := \{x_0 + x_2 = 0\}, \ S_2 := \{2x_0 - x_1 + x_2 = 0\}$$

that colapse to

$$A_0 := [0:1:0], A_1 := [0:0:1], A_2 := [1:2:2]$$

$$O_0 = [1:1:-1], O_1 = [0:1:1], O_2 = [0:1:0].$$

f is birational, being it inverse

$$f^{-1}(x,y) = \left(\frac{xy - x - y}{y - 2}, \frac{x - y}{y - 2}\right)$$

 $F^{-1}[x_0:x_1:x_2] = [x_0(x_2-2x_0):x_1x_2+x_0x_1-x_0x_2:x_0(x_1-x_2)]$

$$j_{F^{-1}} = (2x_0 - x_1)(2x_0 - x_2)x_0.$$

So, F^{-1} has three exceptional curves

$$T_0 := \{2x_0 - x_1 = 0\}, \ T_1 := \{2x_0 - x_2 = 0\}, \ T_2 := \{x_0 = 0\}$$

that colapse to

$$O_0 = [1:1:-1], O_1 = [0:1:1], O_2 = [0:1:0]$$

respectively.

Anna Cima and Sundus Zafar (UAB) Algebraic entropy for birational maps in the pla

f is birational, being it inverse

$$f^{-1}(x,y) = \left(\frac{xy - x - y}{y - 2}, \frac{x - y}{y - 2}\right)$$

 $F^{-1}[x_0:x_1:x_2] = [x_0(x_2-2x_0):x_1x_2+x_0x_1-x_0x_2:x_0(x_1-x_2)]$

$$j_{F^{-1}} = (2x_0 - x_1)(2x_0 - x_2)x_0.$$

So, F^{-1} has three exceptional curves

$$T_0 := \{2x_0 - x_1 = 0\}, \ T_1 := \{2x_0 - x_2 = 0\}, \ T_2 := \{x_0 = 0\}$$

that colapse to

$$O_0 = [1:1:-1], O_1 = [0:1:1], O_2 = [0:1:0]$$

respectively.

Anna Cima and Sundus Zafar (UAB) Algebraic entropy for birational maps in the pla

f is birational, being it inverse

$$f^{-1}(x,y) = \left(\frac{xy - x - y}{y - 2}, \frac{x - y}{y - 2}\right)$$

$$F^{-1}[x_0:x_1:x_2] = [x_0(x_2-2x_0):x_1x_2+x_0x_1-x_0x_2:x_0(x_1-x_2)]$$

$$j_{F^{-1}} = (2x_0 - x_1)(2x_0 - x_2)x_0.$$

So, F^{-1} has three exceptional curves

$$T_0 := \{2x_0 - x_1 = 0\}, \ T_1 := \{2x_0 - x_2 = 0\}, \ T_2 := \{x_0 = 0\}$$

that colapse to

$$O_0 = [1:1:-1], O_1 = [0:1:1], O_2 = [0:1:0]$$

respectively.

Anna Cima and Sundus Zafar (UAB) Algebraic entropy for birational maps in the pla

A (10) > A (10) > A (10)

f is birational, being it inverse

$$f^{-1}(x,y) = \left(\frac{xy - x - y}{y - 2}, \frac{x - y}{y - 2}\right)$$

 $F^{-1}[x_0:x_1:x_2] = [x_0(x_2-2x_0):x_1x_2+x_0x_1-x_0x_2:x_0(x_1-x_2)]$

$$j_{F^{-1}} = (2x_0 - x_1)(2x_0 - x_2)x_0.$$

So, F^{-1} has three exceptional curves

 $T_0 := \{2x_0 - x_1 = 0\}, \ T_1 := \{2x_0 - x_2 = 0\}, \ T_2 := \{x_0 = 0\}$

that colapse to

$$O_0 = [1:1:-1], O_1 = [0:1:1], O_2 = [0:1:0]$$

respectively.

Anna Cima and Sundus Zafar (UAB) Algebraic entropy for birational maps in the pla

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

f is birational, being it inverse

$$f^{-1}(x,y) = \left(\frac{xy - x - y}{y - 2}, \frac{x - y}{y - 2}\right)$$

$$F^{-1}[x_0:x_1:x_2] = [x_0(x_2-2x_0):x_1x_2+x_0x_1-x_0x_2:x_0(x_1-x_2)]$$

$$j_{F^{-1}} = (2x_0 - x_1)(2x_0 - x_2)x_0.$$

So, F^{-1} has three exceptional curves

$$T_0 := \{2x_0 - x_1 = 0\}, \ T_1 := \{2x_0 - x_2 = 0\}, \ T_2 := \{x_0 = 0\}$$

that colapse to

$$O_0 = [1:1:-1], O_1 = [0:1:1], O_2 = [0:1:0]$$

respectively.

Anna Cima and Sundus Zafar (UAB) Algebraic entropy for birational maps in the pla

< 同 > < 回 > .

f is birational, being it inverse

$$f^{-1}(x,y) = \left(\frac{xy - x - y}{y - 2}, \frac{x - y}{y - 2}\right)$$

$$F^{-1}[x_0:x_1:x_2] = [x_0(x_2-2x_0):x_1x_2+x_0x_1-x_0x_2:x_0(x_1-x_2)]$$

$$j_{F^{-1}} = (2x_0 - x_1)(2x_0 - x_2)x_0.$$

So, F^{-1} has three exceptional curves

$$T_0 := \{2x_0 - x_1 = 0\}, \ T_1 := \{2x_0 - x_2 = 0\}, \ T_2 := \{x_0 = 0\}$$

that colapse to

$$\textit{O}_{0} = \left[1:1:-1\right], \, \textit{O}_{1} = \left[0:1:1\right], \, \textit{O}_{2} = \left[0:1:0\right]$$

respectively.

We observe that $A_0 = O_2$ so we have to blow-up this point. Call E_0 the blowing-up at $A_0 = [0 : 1 : 0]$. We look the points $[u : v]_{E_0} \in E_0$ as $\lim_{t\to 0} [tu : 1 : tv]$. Taking $\lim_{t\to 0} F[tu : 1 : tv]$ we can extend F to E_0 . We get that:

$$F_X[u:v]_{E_0} = \lim_{t\to 0} F[tu:1:tv] = [0:u+v:u] \in S_0.$$

Also, the points of S_0 , $[0 : x_1 : x_2] = \lim_{t\to 0} [t : x_1 : x_2]$ and taking $F[t : x_1 : x_2]$ we have that:

$$F_X[0:x_1:x_2] = [x_2:x_1+x_2]_{E_0}$$

Hence, via F_X :

$$S_0 \longrightarrow E_0 \longrightarrow T_2 = S_0,$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}(F_X) = \{S_1, S_2\}$$
 and $\mathcal{I}(F_X) = \{O_0, O_1\}$

We observe that $A_0 = O_2$ so we have to blow-up this point. Call E_0 the blowing-up at $A_0 = [0 : 1 : 0]$. We look the points $[u : v]_{E_0} \in E_0$ as $\lim_{t\to 0} [tu : 1 : tv]$. Taking $\lim_{t\to 0} F[tu : 1 : tv]$ we can extend F to E_0 . We get that:

$$F_X[u:v]_{E_0} = \lim_{t\to 0} F[tu:1:tv] = [0:u+v:u] \in S_0.$$

Also, the points of S_0 , $[0 : x_1 : x_2] = \lim_{t\to 0} [t : x_1 : x_2]$ and taking $F[t : x_1 : x_2]$ we have that:

$$F_X[0:x_1:x_2] = [x_2:x_1+x_2]_{E_0}$$

Hence, via F_X :

$$S_0 \longrightarrow E_0 \longrightarrow T_2 = S_0,$$

$$\mathcal{E}(F_X) = \{S_1, S_2\}$$
 and $\mathcal{I}(F_X) = \{O_0, O_1\}$

We observe that $A_0 = O_2$ so we have to blow-up this point. Call E_0 the blowing-up at $A_0 = [0 : 1 : 0]$. We look the points $[u : v]_{E_0} \in E_0$ as $\lim_{t\to 0} [tu : 1 : tv]$. Taking $\lim_{t\to 0} F[tu : 1 : tv]$ we can extend F to E_0 . We get that:

$$F_X[u:v]_{E_0} = \lim_{t\to 0} F[tu:1:tv] = [0:u+v:u] \in S_0.$$

Also, the points of S_0 , $[0 : x_1 : x_2] = \lim_{t\to 0} [t : x_1 : x_2]$ and taking $F[t : x_1 : x_2]$ we have that:

$$F_X[0:x_1:x_2] = [x_2:x_1+x_2]_{E_0}$$

Hence, via F_X :

$$S_0 \longrightarrow E_0 \longrightarrow T_2 = S_0,$$

$$\mathcal{E}(F_X) = \{S_1, S_2\}$$
 and $\mathcal{I}(F_X) = \{O_0, O_1\}$

We observe that $A_0 = O_2$ so we have to blow-up this point. Call E_0 the blowing-up at $A_0 = [0 : 1 : 0]$. We look the points $[u : v]_{E_0} \in E_0$ as $\lim_{t\to 0} [tu : 1 : tv]$. Taking $\lim_{t\to 0} F[tu : 1 : tv]$ we can extend F to E_0 . We get that:

$$F_X[u:v]_{E_0} = \lim_{t\to 0} F[tu:1:tv] = [0:u+v:u] \in S_0.$$

Also, the points of S_0 , $[0 : x_1 : x_2] = \lim_{t\to 0} [t : x_1 : x_2]$ and taking $F[t : x_1 : x_2]$ we have that:

$$F_X[0:x_1:x_2] = [x_2:x_1+x_2]_{E_0}$$

Hence, via F_X :

$$S_0 \longrightarrow E_0 \longrightarrow T_2 = S_0,$$

$$\mathcal{E}(F_X) = \{S_1, S_2\}$$
 and $\mathcal{I}(F_X) = \{O_0, O_1\}$

We observe that $A_0 = O_2$ so we have to blow-up this point. Call E_0 the blowing-up at $A_0 = [0 : 1 : 0]$. We look the points $[u : v]_{E_0} \in E_0$ as $\lim_{t\to 0} [tu : 1 : tv]$. Taking $\lim_{t\to 0} F[tu : 1 : tv]$ we can extend F to E_0 . We get that:

$$F_X[u:v]_{E_0}=\lim_{t\to 0}F[tu:1:tv]=[0:u+v:u]\in S_0.$$

Also, the points of S_0 , $[0 : x_1 : x_2] = \lim_{t\to 0} [t : x_1 : x_2]$ and taking $F[t : x_1 : x_2]$ we have that:

$$F_X[0:x_1:x_2] = [x_2:x_1+x_2]_{E_0}$$

Hence, via F_X :

$$S_0 \longrightarrow E_0 \longrightarrow T_2 = S_0,$$

$$\mathcal{E}(F_X) = \{S_1, S_2\}$$
 and $\mathcal{I}(F_X) = \{O_0, O_1\}$

We observe that $A_0 = O_2$ so we have to blow-up this point. Call E_0 the blowing-up at $A_0 = [0 : 1 : 0]$. We look the points $[u : v]_{E_0} \in E_0$ as $\lim_{t\to 0} [tu : 1 : tv]$. Taking $\lim_{t\to 0} F[tu : 1 : tv]$ we can extend F to E_0 . We get that:

$$F_X[u:v]_{E_0} = \lim_{t\to 0} F[tu:1:tv] = [0:u+v:u] \in S_0.$$

Also, the points of S_0 , $[0 : x_1 : x_2] = \lim_{t\to 0} [t : x_1 : x_2]$ and taking $F[t : x_1 : x_2]$ we have that:

$$F_X[0:x_1:x_2] = [x_2:x_1+x_2]_{E_0}$$

Hence, via F_X :

$$S_0 \longrightarrow E_0 \longrightarrow T_2 = S_0,$$

$$\mathcal{E}(F_X) = \{S_1, S_2\}$$
 and $\mathcal{I}(F_X) = \{O_0, O_1\}$

We observe that $A_0 = O_2$ so we have to blow-up this point. Call E_0 the blowing-up at $A_0 = [0 : 1 : 0]$. We look the points $[u : v]_{E_0} \in E_0$ as $\lim_{t\to 0} [tu : 1 : tv]$. Taking $\lim_{t\to 0} F[tu : 1 : tv]$ we can extend F to E_0 . We get that:

$$F_X[u:v]_{E_0}=\lim_{t\to 0}F[tu:1:tv]=[0:u+v:u]\in S_0.$$

Also, the points of S_0 , $[0: x_1 : x_2] = \lim_{t\to 0} [t: x_1 : x_2]$ and taking $F[t: x_1 : x_2]$ we have that:

$$F_X[0:x_1:x_2] = [x_2:x_1+x_2]_{E_0}$$

Hence, via F_X :

$$S_0 \longrightarrow E_0 \longrightarrow T_2 = S_0,$$

$$\mathcal{E}(F_X) = \{S_1, S_2\} \text{ and } \mathcal{I}(F_X) = \{O_0, O_1\}$$

We observe that $A_0 = O_2$ so we have to blow-up this point. Call E_0 the blowing-up at $A_0 = [0 : 1 : 0]$. We look the points $[u : v]_{E_0} \in E_0$ as $\lim_{t\to 0} [tu : 1 : tv]$. Taking $\lim_{t\to 0} F[tu : 1 : tv]$ we can extend F to E_0 . We get that:

$$F_X[u:v]_{E_0}=\lim_{t\to 0}F[tu:1:tv]=[0:u+v:u]\in S_0.$$

Also, the points of S_0 , $[0 : x_1 : x_2] = \lim_{t\to 0} [t : x_1 : x_2]$ and taking $F[t : x_1 : x_2]$ we have that:

$$F_X[0:x_1:x_2] = [x_2:x_1+x_2]_{E_0}$$

Hence, via F_X :

$$S_0 \longrightarrow E_0 \longrightarrow T_2 = S_0,$$

$$\mathcal{E}(F_X) = \{S_1, S_2\}$$
 and $\mathcal{I}(F_X) = \{O_0, O_1\}$

We observe that $A_0 = O_2$ so we have to blow-up this point. Call E_0 the blowing-up at $A_0 = [0 : 1 : 0]$. We look the points $[u : v]_{E_0} \in E_0$ as $\lim_{t\to 0} [tu : 1 : tv]$. Taking $\lim_{t\to 0} F[tu : 1 : tv]$ we can extend F to E_0 . We get that:

$$F_X[u:v]_{E_0}=\lim_{t\to 0}F[tu:1:tv]=[0:u+v:u]\in S_0.$$

Also, the points of S_0 , $[0 : x_1 : x_2] = \lim_{t\to 0} [t : x_1 : x_2]$ and taking $F[t : x_1 : x_2]$ we have that:

$$F_X[0:x_1:x_2] = [x_2:x_1+x_2]_{E_0}$$

Hence, via F_X :

$$S_0 \longrightarrow E_0 \longrightarrow T_2 = S_0,$$

$$\mathcal{E}(F_X) = \{S_1, S_2\}$$
 and $\mathcal{I}(F_X) = \{O_0, O_1\}$

We observe that $A_0 = O_2$ so we have to blow-up this point. Call E_0 the blowing-up at $A_0 = [0 : 1 : 0]$. We look the points $[u : v]_{E_0} \in E_0$ as $\lim_{t\to 0} [tu : 1 : tv]$. Taking $\lim_{t\to 0} F[tu : 1 : tv]$ we can extend F to E_0 . We get that:

$$F_X[u:v]_{E_0} = \lim_{t\to 0} F[tu:1:tv] = [0:u+v:u] \in S_0.$$

Also, the points of S_0 , $[0 : x_1 : x_2] = \lim_{t\to 0} [t : x_1 : x_2]$ and taking $F[t : x_1 : x_2]$ we have that:

$$F_X[0:x_1:x_2] = [x_2:x_1+x_2]_{E_0}$$

Hence, via F_X :

$$S_0 \longrightarrow E_0 \longrightarrow T_2 = S_0,$$

We observe that $A_0 = O_2$ so we have to blow-up this point. Call E_0 the blowing-up at $A_0 = [0 : 1 : 0]$. We look the points $[u : v]_{E_0} \in E_0$ as $\lim_{t\to 0} [tu : 1 : tv]$. Taking $\lim_{t\to 0} F[tu : 1 : tv]$ we can extend F to E_0 . We get that:

$$F_X[u:v]_{E_0} = \lim_{t\to 0} F[tu:1:tv] = [0:u+v:u] \in S_0.$$

Also, the points of S_0 , $[0 : x_1 : x_2] = \lim_{t\to 0} [t : x_1 : x_2]$ and taking $F[t : x_1 : x_2]$ we have that:

$$F_X[0:x_1:x_2] = [x_2:x_1+x_2]_{E_0}$$

Hence, via F_X :

$$S_0 \longrightarrow E_0 \longrightarrow T_2 = S_0,$$

$$\mathcal{E}(F_X) = \{S_1, S_2\}$$
 and $\mathcal{I}(F_X) = \{O_0, O_1\}.$

Now we have to follow the orbits under F_X of $A_1 = [0:0:1]$ and $A_2 = [1:2:2]$ and see if they meet $O_0 = [1:1:-1]$ or $O_1 = [0:1:1]$. Since $A_1 = [0:0:1] \in S_0$, its image belongs to E_0 . We calculate F_X^2 restricted to S_0 and we find:

$$F_X^2[0:x_1:x_2] = [0:x_2:x_1+2x_2].$$

From this we see that $F_X^k(A_1) \neq O_1$. It is also clear that $F_X^k(A_1) \neq O_0$. It can also be seen that $F^k(A_2) \neq O_0$ and $F^k(A_2) \neq O_1$. Then, F_X already is Algebraically Stable.

Consider $\mathcal{P}ic(X) = \langle \hat{L}, E_0 \rangle$. The map induced by F_X on $H^{(1,1)}(X)$, F_X^* is defined just taking preimages. Hence $F_X^*(E_0) = S_0$. Avoiding technicalities, $S_0 = \hat{L} - E_0$ and $F_X^*(E_0) = 2\hat{L} - E_0$.

Now we have to follow the orbits under F_X of $A_1 = [0:0:1]$ and $A_2 = [1:2:2]$ and see if they meet $O_0 = [1:1:-1]$ or $O_1 = [0:1:1]$. Since $A_1 = [0:0:1] \in S_0$, its image belongs to E_0 . We calculate F_X^2 restricted to S_0 and we find:

 $F_X^2[0: x_1: x_2] = [0: x_2: x_1 + 2x_2].$

From this we see that $F_X^k(A_1) \neq O_1$. It is also clear that $F_X^k(A_1) \neq O_0$. It can also be seen that $F^k(A_2) \neq O_0$ and $F^k(A_2) \neq O_1$. Then, F_X already is Algebraically Stable.

Consider $\mathcal{P}ic(X) = \langle \hat{L}, E_0 \rangle$. The map induced by F_X on $H^{(1,1)}(X)$, F_X^* is defined just taking preimages. Hence $F_X^*(E_0) = S_0$. Avoiding technicalities, $S_0 = \hat{L} - E_0$ and $F_X^*(E_0) = 2\hat{L} - E_0$.

< 日 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

Now we have to follow the orbits under F_X of $A_1 = [0:0:1]$ and $A_2 = [1:2:2]$ and see if they meet $O_0 = [1:1:-1]$ or $O_1 = [0:1:1]$. Since $A_1 = [0:0:1] \in S_0$, its image belongs to E_0 . We calculate F_X^2 restricted to S_0 and we find:

 $F_X^2[0: x_1: x_2] = [0: x_2: x_1 + 2x_2].$

From this we see that $F_X^k(A_1) \neq O_1$. It is also clear that $F_X^k(A_1) \neq O_0$. It can also be seen that $F^k(A_2) \neq O_0$ and $F^k(A_2) \neq O_1$. Then, F_X already is Algebraically Stable.

Consider $\mathcal{P}ic(X) = \langle \hat{L}, E_0 \rangle$. The map induced by F_X on $H^{(1,1)}(X)$, F_X^* is defined just taking preimages. Hence $F_X^*(E_0) = S_0$. Avoiding technicalities, $S_0 = \hat{L} - E_0$ and $F_X^*(E_0) = 2\hat{L} - E_0$.

< 日 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

Now we have to follow the orbits under F_X of $A_1 = [0:0:1]$ and $A_2 = [1:2:2]$ and see if they meet $O_0 = [1:1:-1]$ or $O_1 = [0:1:1]$. Since $A_1 = [0:0:1] \in S_0$, its image belongs to E_0 . We calculate F_X^2 restricted to S_0 and we find:

 $F_X^2[0:x_1:x_2] = [0:x_2:x_1+2x_2].$

From this we see that $F_X^k(A_1) \neq O_1$. It is also clear that $F_X^k(A_1) \neq O_0$. It can also be seen that $F^k(A_2) \neq O_0$ and $F^k(A_2) \neq O_1$. Then, F_X already is Algebraically Stable.

Consider $\mathcal{P}ic(X) = \langle \hat{L}, E_0 \rangle$. The map induced by F_X on $H^{(1,1)}(X)$, F_X^* is defined just taking preimages. Hence $F_X^*(E_0) = S_0$. Avoiding technicalities, $S_0 = \hat{L} - E_0$ and $F_X^*(E_0) = 2\hat{L} - E_0$.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

Now we have to follow the orbits under F_X of $A_1 = [0:0:1]$ and $A_2 = [1:2:2]$ and see if they meet $O_0 = [1:1:-1]$ or $O_1 = [0:1:1]$. Since $A_1 = [0:0:1] \in S_0$, its image belongs to E_0 . We calculate F_X^2 restricted to S_0 and we find:

$$F_X^2[0:x_1:x_2] = [0:x_2:x_1+2x_2].$$

From this we see that $F_X^k(A_1) \neq O_1$. It is also clear that $F_X^k(A_1) \neq O_0$. It can also be seen that $F^k(A_2) \neq O_0$ and $F^k(A_2) \neq O_1$. Then, F_X already is Algebraically Stable. Consider $\mathcal{P}ic(X) = \langle \hat{L}, E_0 \rangle$. The map induced by F_X on $H^{(1,1)}(X)$, F_X^* is defined just taking preimages. Hence $F_X^*(E_0) = S_0$. Avoiding technicalities, $S_0 = \hat{L} - E_0$ and $F_X^*(E_0) = 2\hat{L} - E_0$.

Now we have to follow the orbits under F_X of $A_1 = [0:0:1]$ and $A_2 = [1:2:2]$ and see if they meet $O_0 = [1:1:-1]$ or $O_1 = [0:1:1]$. Since $A_1 = [0:0:1] \in S_0$, its image belongs to E_0 . We calculate F_X^2 restricted to S_0 and we find:

$$F_X^2[0:x_1:x_2] = [0:x_2:x_1+2x_2].$$

From this we see that $F_X^k(A_1) \neq O_1$. It is also clear that $F_X^k(A_1) \neq O_0$. It can also be seen that $F^k(A_2) \neq O_0$ and $F^k(A_2) \neq O_1$. Then, F_X

Consider $\mathcal{P}ic(X) = \langle \hat{L}, E_0 \rangle$. The map induced by F_X on $H^{(1,1)}(X)$, F_X^* is defined just taking preimages. Hence $F_X^*(E_0) = S_0$. Avoiding technicalities, $S_0 = \hat{L} - E_0$ and $F_X^*(E_0) = 2\hat{L} - E_0$.

Now we have to follow the orbits under F_X of $A_1 = [0:0:1]$ and $A_2 = [1:2:2]$ and see if they meet $O_0 = [1:1:-1]$ or $O_1 = [0:1:1]$. Since $A_1 = [0:0:1] \in S_0$, its image belongs to E_0 . We calculate F_X^2 restricted to S_0 and we find:

$$F_X^2[0:x_1:x_2] = [0:x_2:x_1+2x_2].$$

From this we see that $F_X^k(A_1) \neq O_1$. It is also clear that $F_X^k(A_1) \neq O_0$. It can also be seen that $F^k(A_2) \neq O_0$ and $F^k(A_2) \neq O_1$. Then, F_X already is Algebraically Stable.

Consider $\mathcal{P}ic(X) = \langle \hat{L}, E_0 \rangle$. The map induced by F_X on $H^{(1,1)}(X)$, F_X^* is defined just taking preimages. Hence $F_X^*(E_0) = S_0$. Avoiding technicalities, $S_0 = \hat{L} - E_0$ and $F_X^*(E_0) = 2\hat{L} - E_0$.

Now we have to follow the orbits under F_X of $A_1 = [0:0:1]$ and $A_2 = [1:2:2]$ and see if they meet $O_0 = [1:1:-1]$ or $O_1 = [0:1:1]$. Since $A_1 = [0:0:1] \in S_0$, its image belongs to E_0 . We calculate F_X^2 restricted to S_0 and we find:

$$F_X^2[0:x_1:x_2] = [0:x_2:x_1+2x_2].$$

From this we see that $F_X^k(A_1) \neq O_1$. It is also clear that $F_X^k(A_1) \neq O_0$. It can also be seen that $F^k(A_2) \neq O_0$ and $F^k(A_2) \neq O_1$. Then, F_X already is Algebraically Stable. Consider $\mathcal{P}ic(X) = \langle \hat{L}, E_0 \rangle$. The map induced by F_X on $H^{(1,1)}(X)$, F_X^* is defined just taking preimages. Hence $F_X^*(E_0) = S_0$. Avoiding

technicalities, $S_0 = \hat{L} - E_0$ and $F_X^*(E_0) = 2\hat{L} - E_0$.

Now we have to follow the orbits under F_X of $A_1 = [0:0:1]$ and $A_2 = [1:2:2]$ and see if they meet $O_0 = [1:1:-1]$ or $O_1 = [0:1:1]$. Since $A_1 = [0:0:1] \in S_0$, its image belongs to E_0 . We calculate F_X^2 restricted to S_0 and we find:

$$F_X^2[0:x_1:x_2] = [0:x_2:x_1+2x_2].$$

From this we see that $F_X^k(A_1) \neq O_1$. It is also clear that $F_X^k(A_1) \neq O_0$. It can also be seen that $F^k(A_2) \neq O_0$ and $F^k(A_2) \neq O_1$. Then, F_X already is Algebraically Stable. Consider $\mathcal{P}ic(X) = \langle \hat{L}, E_0 \rangle$. The map induced by F_X on $H^{(1,1)}(X)$,

 F_X^* is defined just taking preimages. Hence $F_X^*(E_0) = S_0$. Avoiding technicalities, $S_0 = \hat{L} - E_0$ and $F_X^*(E_0) = 2\hat{L} - E_0$.

Now we have to follow the orbits under F_X of $A_1 = [0:0:1]$ and $A_2 = [1:2:2]$ and see if they meet $O_0 = [1:1:-1]$ or $O_1 = [0:1:1]$. Since $A_1 = [0:0:1] \in S_0$, its image belongs to E_0 . We calculate F_X^2 restricted to S_0 and we find:

$$F_X^2[0:x_1:x_2] = [0:x_2:x_1+2x_2].$$

From this we see that $F_X^k(A_1) \neq O_1$. It is also clear that $F_X^k(A_1) \neq O_0$. It can also be seen that $F^k(A_2) \neq O_0$ and $F^k(A_2) \neq O_1$. Then, F_X already is Algebraically Stable. Consider $\mathcal{P}ic(X) = \langle \hat{L}, E_0 \rangle$. The map induced by F_X on $H^{(1,1)}(X)$, F_X^* is defined just taking preimages. Hence $F_X^*(E_0) = S_0$. Avoiding technicalities, $S_0 = \hat{L} - E_0$ and $F_X^*(E_0) = 2\hat{L} - E_0$.

The equalities $F_X^*(E_0) = \hat{L} - E_0$ and $F_X^*(E_0) = 2\hat{L} - E_0$ determines the matrix of F_X^* :

which has as characteristic polynomial $P(\lambda) = \lambda^2 - \lambda - 1$. The sequence of the degrees of f^n satisfies

$$d_{n+2} = d_{n+1} + d_n$$

and the dynamical degree of f is the golden mean $\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$.

The equalities $F_X^*(E_0) = \hat{L} - E_0$ and $F_X^*(E_0) = 2\hat{L} - E_0$ determines the matrix of F_X^* :

which has as characteristic polynomial $P(\lambda) = \lambda^2 - \lambda - 1$. The sequence of the degrees of f^n satisfies

$$d_{n+2} = d_{n+1} + d_n$$

and the dynamical degree of f is the golden mean $\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$.

(A) (B) (A) (B)

The equalities $F_X^*(E_0) = \hat{L} - E_0$ and $F_X^*(E_0) = 2\hat{L} - E_0$ determines the matrix of F_X^* :

 $\left(\begin{array}{cc} 2 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{array}\right)$

which has as characteristic polynomial $P(\lambda) = \lambda^2 - \lambda - 1$. The sequence of the degrees of f^n satisfies

$$d_{n+2} = d_{n+1} + d_n$$

and the dynamical degree of f is the golden mean $\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$.

< 回 ト < 三 ト < 三

The equalities $F_X^*(E_0) = \hat{L} - E_0$ and $F_X^*(E_0) = 2\hat{L} - E_0$ determines the matrix of F_X^* : $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$

which has as characteristic polynomial $P(\lambda) = \lambda^2 - \lambda - 1$. The sequence of the degrees of f^n satisfies

$$d_{n+2} = d_{n+1} + d_n$$

and the dynamical degree of f is the golden mean $\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$.

< 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

The equalities $F_X^*(E_0) = \hat{L} - E_0$ and $F_X^*(E_0) = 2\hat{L} - E_0$ determines the matrix of F_X^* : $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$

which has as characteristic polynomial $P(\lambda) = \lambda^2 - \lambda - 1$. The sequence of the degrees of f^n satisfies

$$d_{n+2} = d_{n+1} + d_n$$

and the dynamical degree of f is the golden mean $\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$.

< 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

The equalities $F_X^*(E_0) = \hat{L} - E_0$ and $F_X^*(E_0) = 2\hat{L} - E_0$ determines the matrix of F_X^* : $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$

which has as characteristic polynomial $P(\lambda) = \lambda^2 - \lambda - 1$. The sequence of the degrees of f^n satisfies

$$d_{n+2} = d_{n+1} + d_n$$

and the dynamical degree of *f* is the golden mean $\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$.

A B K A B K

Let $f : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2$ be a birational map.

Definition

f preserves a rational fibration (resp. elliptic fibration) if it exists a rational map $V : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$ such that for almost all $c \in \mathbb{C}$, the curve V = c has genus equal zero (resp. genus one)and *f* sends V = c a V = c'.

Let $f : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2$ be a birational map.

Definition

f preserves a rational fibration (resp. elliptic fibration) if it exists a rational map $V : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$ such that for almost all $c \in \mathbb{C}$, the curve V = c has genus equal zero (resp. genus one)and *f* sends V = c a V = c'.

Let $f : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2$ be a birational map.

Definition

f preserves a rational fibration (resp. elliptic fibration) if it exists a rational map $V : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$ such that for almost all $c \in \mathbb{C}$, the curve V = c has genus equal zero (resp. genus one)and *f* sends V = c a V = c'.

Let $f : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2$ be a birational map.

Definition *f* preserves a rational fibration (resp. elliptic fibration) if it exists a rational map $V : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$ such that for almost all $c \in \mathbb{C}$, the curve V = c has genus equal zero (resp. genus one)and *f* sends V = c a V = c'.

If c' = c then f is rationally integrable

UAB 2014 17 / 26

伺下 イヨト イヨ

Let $f : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2$ be a birational map.

Definition *f* preserves a rational fibration (resp. elliptic fibration) if it exists a rational map $V : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$ such that for almost all $c \in \mathbb{C}$, the curve V = c has genus equal zero (resp. genus one)and *f* sends V = c a V = c'.

Let $f : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2$ be a birational map.

Definition *f* preserves a rational fibration (resp. elliptic fibration) if it exists a rational map $V : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$ such that for almost all $c \in \mathbb{C}$, the curve V = c has genus equal zero (resp. genus one)and *f* sends V = c a V = c'.

Let $f : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2$ be a birational map.

Definition *f* preserves a rational fibration (resp. elliptic fibration) if it exists a rational map $V : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$ such that for almost all $c \in \mathbb{C}$, the curve V = c has genus equal zero (resp. genus one)and *f* sends V = c a V = c'.

Theorem. Let *f* be a birational map and let d_n be the degree of f^n . Assume that *f* has zero entropy. Then exactly one of the following holds:

- The sequence of degrees *d_n* grows quadratically and *f* preserves an elliptic fibration. In this case there is a regularization of *F* which is an automorphism.
- The sequence of degrees *d_n* grows linearly and *f* preserves a rational fibration. In this case there does not exist any regularization of *F* being an automorphism.
- The sequence of degrees *d_n* is bounded and *f* preserves two generically transverse fibrations. In this case there is a regularization of *F* which is an automorphism.

Furthermore in the first and second, the invariant fibrations are unique.

・ロト ・ 戸 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Theorem. Let *f* be a birational map and let d_n be the degree of f^n . Assume that *f* has zero entropy. Then exactly one of the following holds:

- The sequence of degrees *d_n* grows quadratically and *f* preserves an elliptic fibration. In this case there is a regularization of *F* which is an automorphism.
- The sequence of degrees *d_n* grows linearly and *f* preserves a rational fibration. In this case there does not exist any regularization of *F* being an automorphism.
- The sequence of degrees *d_n* is bounded and *f* preserves two generically transverse fibrations. In this case there is a regularization of *F* which is an automorphism.

Furthermore in the first and second, the invariant fibrations are unique.

・ロト ・ 戸 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Theorem. Let *f* be a birational map and let d_n be the degree of f^n . Assume that *f* has zero entropy. Then exactly one of the following holds:

- The sequence of degrees *d_n* grows quadratically and *f* preserves an elliptic fibration. In this case there is a regularization of *F* which is an automorphism.
- The sequence of degrees *d_n* grows linearly and *f* preserves a rational fibration. In this case there does not exist any regularization of *F* being an automorphism.
- The sequence of degrees *d_n* is bounded and *f* preserves two generically transverse fibrations. In this case there is a regularization of *F* which is an automorphism.

Furthermore in the first and second, the invariant fibrations are unique.

・ロト ・ 戸 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Theorem. Let *f* be a birational map and let d_n be the degree of f^n . Assume that *f* has zero entropy. Then exactly one of the following holds:

- The sequence of degrees *d_n* grows quadratically and *f* preserves an elliptic fibration. In this case there is a regularization of *F* which is an automorphism.
- The sequence of degrees *d_n* grows linearly and *f* preserves a rational fibration. In this case there does not exist any regularization of *F* being an automorphism.
- The sequence of degrees *d_n* is bounded and *f* preserves two generically transverse fibrations. In this case there is a regularization of *F* which is an automorphism.

Furthermore in the first and second, the invariant fibrations are unique.

・ロト ・ 戸 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Theorem. Let *f* be a birational map and let d_n be the degree of f^n . Assume that *f* has zero entropy. Then exactly one of the following holds:

- The sequence of degrees *d_n* grows quadratically and *f* preserves an elliptic fibration. In this case there is a regularization of *F* which is an automorphism.
- The sequence of degrees *d_n* grows linearly and *f* preserves a rational fibration. In this case there does not exist any regularization of *F* being an automorphism.
- The sequence of degrees *d_n* is bounded and *f* preserves two generically transverse fibrations. In this case there is a regularization of *F* which is an automorphism.

Furthermore in the first and second, the invariant fibrations are unique.

・ロト ・ 戸 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Theorem. Let *f* be a birational map and let d_n be the degree of f^n . Assume that *f* has zero entropy. Then exactly one of the following holds:

- The sequence of degrees *d_n* grows quadratically and *f* preserves an elliptic fibration. In this case there is a regularization of *F* which is an automorphism.
- The sequence of degrees *d_n* grows linearly and *f* preserves a rational fibration. In this case there does not exist any regularization of *F* being an automorphism.
- The sequence of degrees *d_n* is bounded and *f* preserves two generically transverse fibrations. In this case there is a regularization of *F* which is an automorphism.

Furthermore in the first and second, the invariant fibrations are unique.

(I)

Theorem. Let *f* be a birational map and let d_n be the degree of f^n . Assume that *f* has zero entropy. Then exactly one of the following holds:

- The sequence of degrees *d_n* grows quadratically and *f* preserves an elliptic fibration. In this case there is a regularization of *F* which is an automorphism.
- The sequence of degrees *d_n* grows linearly and *f* preserves a rational fibration. In this case there does not exist any regularization of *F* being an automorphism.
- The sequence of degrees *d_n* is bounded and *f* preserves two generically transverse fibrations. In this case there is a regularization of *F* which is an automorphism.

Furthermore in the first and second, the invariant fibrations are unique.

(I)

Theorem. Let *f* be a birational map and let d_n be the degree of f^n . Assume that *f* has zero entropy. Then exactly one of the following holds:

- The sequence of degrees *d_n* grows quadratically and *f* preserves an elliptic fibration. In this case there is a regularization of *F* which is an automorphism.
- The sequence of degrees d_n grows linearly and f preserves a rational fibration. In this case there does not exist any regularization of F being an automorphism.

• The sequence of degrees *d_n* is bounded and *f* preserves two generically transverse fibrations. In this case there is a regularization of *F* which is an automorphism.

Furthermore in the first and second, the invariant fibrations are unique.

イロン 不通 と イヨン イヨン

Theorem. Let *f* be a birational map and let d_n be the degree of f^n . Assume that *f* has zero entropy. Then exactly one of the following holds:

- The sequence of degrees *d_n* grows quadratically and *f* preserves an elliptic fibration. In this case there is a regularization of *F* which is an automorphism.
- The sequence of degrees d_n grows linearly and f preserves a rational fibration. In this case there does not exist any regularization of F being an automorphism.

• The sequence of degrees *d_n* is bounded and *f* preserves two generically transverse fibrations. In this case there is a regularization of *F* which is an automorphism.

Furthermore in the first and second, the invariant fibrations are unique.

(I)

Theorem. Let *f* be a birational map and let d_n be the degree of f^n . Assume that *f* has zero entropy. Then exactly one of the following holds:

- The sequence of degrees *d_n* grows quadratically and *f* preserves an elliptic fibration. In this case there is a regularization of *F* which is an automorphism.
- The sequence of degrees d_n grows linearly and f preserves a rational fibration. In this case there does not exist any regularization of F being an automorphism.
- The sequence of degrees *d_n* is bounded and *f* preserves two generically transverse fibrations. In this case there is a regularization of *F* which is an automorphism.

Furthermore in the first and second, the invariant fibrations are unique.

(I)

Theorem. Let *f* be a birational map and let d_n be the degree of f^n . Assume that *f* has zero entropy. Then exactly one of the following holds:

- The sequence of degrees *d_n* grows quadratically and *f* preserves an elliptic fibration. In this case there is a regularization of *F* which is an automorphism.
- The sequence of degrees d_n grows linearly and f preserves a rational fibration. In this case there does not exist any regularization of F being an automorphism.
- The sequence of degrees *d_n* is bounded and *f* preserves two generically transverse fibrations. In this case there is a regularization of *F* which is an automorphism.

Furthermore in the first and second, the invariant fibrations are unique.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

We consider the family of fractional maps $f : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2$ of the form:

$$f(x,y) = \left(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x + \alpha_2 y, \frac{\beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \beta_2 y}{\gamma_0 + \gamma_1 x + \gamma_2 y}\right),\tag{1}$$

where the parameters are complex numbers. The indeterminacy set of F is $\mathcal{I}(F) = \{O_0, O_1, O_2\}$, with

 $O_0 = [(\beta \gamma)_{12} : (\beta \gamma)_{20} : (\beta \gamma)_{01}], O_1 = [0 : \alpha_2 : -\alpha_1], O_2 = [0 : \gamma_2 : -\gamma_1],$ (here $(\beta \gamma)_{ij} := \beta_i \gamma_j - \beta_j \gamma_i$ for $i, j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$). The indeterminacy set of F^{-1} is $\mathcal{I}(F^{-1}) = \{A_0, A_1, A_2\},$ with

$$\begin{aligned} A_{0} &= [0:1:0], \\ A_{1} &= [0:0:1], \\ A_{2} &= [(\beta\gamma)_{12} (\alpha\gamma)_{12} : \\ (\alpha_{0} (\beta\gamma)_{12} - \alpha_{1} (\beta\gamma)_{02} + \alpha_{2} (\beta\gamma)_{01}) (\alpha\gamma)_{12} : (\alpha\beta)_{12} (\beta\gamma)_{12}]. \end{aligned}$$

A (10) A (10)

We consider the family of fractional maps $f : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2$ of the form:

$$f(x,y) = \left(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x + \alpha_2 y, \frac{\beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \beta_2 y}{\gamma_0 + \gamma_1 x + \gamma_2 y}\right),\tag{1}$$

where the parameters are complex numbers. The indeterminacy set of F is $\mathcal{I}(F) = \{O_0, O_1, O_2\}$, with

 $O_0 = [(\beta\gamma)_{12} : (\beta\gamma)_{20} : (\beta\gamma)_{01}], O_1 = [0 : \alpha_2 : -\alpha_1], O_2 = [0 : \gamma_2 : -\gamma_1],$

(here $(\beta\gamma)_{ij} := \beta_i \gamma_j - \beta_j \gamma_i$ for $i, j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$). The indeterminacy set of F^{-1} is $\mathcal{I}(F^{-1}) = \{A_0, A_1, A_2\}$, with

$$\begin{aligned} A_{0} &= [0:1:0], \\ A_{1} &= [0:0:1], \\ A_{2} &= [(\beta\gamma)_{12} (\alpha\gamma)_{12} : \\ (\alpha_{0} (\beta\gamma)_{12} - \alpha_{1} (\beta\gamma)_{02} + \alpha_{2} (\beta\gamma)_{01}) (\alpha\gamma)_{12} : (\alpha\beta)_{12} (\beta\gamma)_{12}]. \end{aligned}$$

A (10) A (10)

We consider the family of fractional maps $f : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2$ of the form:

$$f(x,y) = \left(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x + \alpha_2 y, \frac{\beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \beta_2 y}{\gamma_0 + \gamma_1 x + \gamma_2 y}\right),\tag{1}$$

where the parameters are complex numbers. The indeterminacy set of F is $\mathcal{I}(F) = \{O_0, O_1, O_2\}$, with

 $O_0 = [(\beta\gamma)_{12} : (\beta\gamma)_{20} : (\beta\gamma)_{01}], O_1 = [0 : \alpha_2 : -\alpha_1], O_2 = [0 : \gamma_2 : -\gamma_1],$ (here $(\beta\gamma)_{ij} := \beta_i \gamma_j - \beta_j \gamma_i$ for $i, j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$). The indeterminacy set of

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 &= [0:1:0], \\ A_1 &= [0:0:1], \\ A_2 &= [(\beta\gamma)_{12} (\alpha\gamma)_{12} : \\ (\alpha_0 (\beta\gamma)_{12} - \alpha_1 (\beta\gamma)_{02} + \alpha_2 (\beta\gamma)_{01}) (\alpha\gamma)_{12} : (\alpha\beta)_{12} (\beta\gamma)_{12}]. \end{aligned}$$

A (10) A (10)

We consider the family of fractional maps $f : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2$ of the form:

$$f(x,y) = \left(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x + \alpha_2 y, \frac{\beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \beta_2 y}{\gamma_0 + \gamma_1 x + \gamma_2 y}\right),\tag{1}$$

where the parameters are complex numbers. The indeterminacy set of F is $\mathcal{I}(F) = \{O_0, O_1, O_2\}$, with

 $O_0 = [(\beta\gamma)_{12} : (\beta\gamma)_{20} : (\beta\gamma)_{01}], O_1 = [0 : \alpha_2 : -\alpha_1], O_2 = [0 : \gamma_2 : -\gamma_1],$ (here $(\beta\gamma)_{ij} := \beta_i \gamma_j - \beta_j \gamma_i$ for $i, j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$). The indeterminacy set of F^{-1} is $\mathcal{I}(F^{-1}) = \{A_0, A_1, A_2\},$ with

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 &= [0:1:0], \\ A_1 &= [0:0:1], \\ A_2 &= [(\beta\gamma)_{12} (\alpha\gamma)_{12} : \\ (\alpha_0 (\beta\gamma)_{12} - \alpha_1 (\beta\gamma)_{02} + \alpha_2 (\beta\gamma)_{01}) (\alpha\gamma)_{12} : (\alpha\beta)_{12} (\beta\gamma)_{12}]. \end{aligned}$$

く 戸 と く ヨ と く ヨ と …

We consider the family of fractional maps $f : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2$ of the form:

$$f(x,y) = \left(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x + \alpha_2 y, \frac{\beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \beta_2 y}{\gamma_0 + \gamma_1 x + \gamma_2 y}\right),\tag{1}$$

where the parameters are complex numbers. The indeterminacy set of F is $\mathcal{I}(F) = \{O_0, O_1, O_2\}$, with

 $O_{0} = [(\beta\gamma)_{12} : (\beta\gamma)_{20} : (\beta\gamma)_{01}], O_{1} = [0 : \alpha_{2} : -\alpha_{1}], O_{2} = [0 : \gamma_{2} : -\gamma_{1}],$ (here $(\beta\gamma)_{ij} := \beta_{i}\gamma_{j} - \beta_{j}\gamma_{i}$ for $i, j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$). The indeterminacy set of F^{-1} is $\mathcal{I}(F^{-1}) = \{A_{0}, A_{1}, A_{2}\}$, with

$$\begin{aligned} A_{0} &= [0:1:0], \\ A_{1} &= [0:0:1], \\ A_{2} &= [(\beta\gamma)_{12} (\alpha\gamma)_{12} : \\ (\alpha_{0} (\beta\gamma)_{12} - \alpha_{1} (\beta\gamma)_{02} + \alpha_{2} (\beta\gamma)_{01}) (\alpha\gamma)_{12} : (\alpha\beta)_{12} (\beta\gamma)_{12}]. \end{aligned}$$

We consider the family of fractional maps $f : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2$ of the form:

$$f(x,y) = \left(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x + \alpha_2 y, \frac{\beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \beta_2 y}{\gamma_0 + \gamma_1 x + \gamma_2 y}\right),$$
(1)

where the parameters are complex numbers. The indeterminacy set of F is $\mathcal{I}(F) = \{O_0, O_1, O_2\}$, with

 $O_{0} = [(\beta\gamma)_{12} : (\beta\gamma)_{20} : (\beta\gamma)_{01}], O_{1} = [0 : \alpha_{2} : -\alpha_{1}], O_{2} = [0 : \gamma_{2} : -\gamma_{1}],$ (here $(\beta\gamma)_{ij} := \beta_{i}\gamma_{j} - \beta_{j}\gamma_{i}$ for $i, j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$). The indeterminacy set of F^{-1} is $\mathcal{I}(F^{-1}) = \{A_{0}, A_{1}, A_{2}\}$, with

$$\begin{aligned} &A_{0} = [0:1:0], \\ &A_{1} = [0:0:1], \\ &A_{2} = [(\beta\gamma)_{12} (\alpha\gamma)_{12} : \\ &(\alpha_{0} (\beta\gamma)_{12} - \alpha_{1} (\beta\gamma)_{02} + \alpha_{2} (\beta\gamma)_{01}) (\alpha\gamma)_{12} : (\alpha\beta)_{12} (\beta\gamma)_{12}]. \end{aligned}$$

We divide the family in 5 subfamilies.

Theorem 1. Suppose that α₁, α₂, γ₁, γ₂ are all non zero and that (βγ)₁₂ ≠ 0 and (αγ)₁₂ ≠ 0. Then either,
(i) If it exists p ∈ N such that F^p(A₂) = O₀, then the dynamical degree of F is given by the largest root of the polynomial

 $x^{p+2} - 2x^{p+1} + x - 1$.

(ii) If no such p exists then dynamical degree of F is 2.

< 同 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト

We divide the family in 5 subfamilies.

Theorem 1. Suppose that α₁, α₂, γ₁, γ₂ are all non zero and that (βγ)₁₂ ≠ 0 and (αγ)₁₂ ≠ 0. Then either,
(i) If it exists p ∈ N such that F^p(A₂) = O₀, then the dynamical degree of F is given by the largest root of the polynomial

 $x^{p+2} - 2x^{p+1} + x - 1$.

(ii) If no such *p* exists then dynamical degree of *F* is 2.

< 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

We divide the family in 5 subfamilies.

Theorem 1. Suppose that α₁, α₂, γ₁, γ₂ are all non zero and that (βγ)₁₂ ≠ 0 and (αγ)₁₂ ≠ 0. Then either,
(i) If it exists p ∈ N such that F^p(A₂) = O₀, then the dynamical degree of F is given by the largest root of the polynomial

 $x^{p+2} - 2x^{p+1} + x - 1$.

(ii) If no such *p* exists then dynamical degree of *F* is 2.

< 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

We divide the family in 5 subfamilies.

Theorem 1. Suppose that α₁, α₂, γ₁, γ₂ are all non zero and that (βγ)₁₂ ≠ 0 and (αγ)₁₂ ≠ 0. Then either,
(i) If it exists p ∈ N such that F^p(A₂) = O₀, then the dynamical degree of F is given by the largest root of the polynomial

 $x^{p+2} - 2x^{p+1} + x - 1$.

(ii) If no such *p* exists then dynamical degree of *F* is 2

< 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

We divide the family in 5 subfamilies.

Theorem 1. Suppose that $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \gamma_1, \gamma_2$ are all non zero and that $(\beta\gamma)_{12} \neq 0$ and $(\alpha\gamma)_{12} \neq 0$. Then either,

(i) If it exists $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $F^p(A_2) = O_0$, then the dynamical degree of *F* is given by the largest root of the polynomial

$$x^{p+2} - 2x^{p+1} + x - 1$$
.

(ii) If no such p exists then dynamical degree of F is 2.

< 回 > < 三 > < 三 >

We divide the family in 5 subfamilies.

Theorem 1. Suppose that $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \gamma_1, \gamma_2$ are all non zero and that $(\beta\gamma)_{12} \neq 0$ and $(\alpha\gamma)_{12} \neq 0$. Then either,

(i) If it exists $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $F^{p}(A_{2}) = O_{0}$, then the dynamical degree of *F* is given by the largest root of the polynomial

$$x^{p+2} - 2x^{p+1} + x - 1$$
.

(ii) If no such p exists then dynamical degree of F is 2.

A B K A B K

Theorem 2. Assume that $\gamma_1 = 0$, $\alpha_2 \neq 0$, $(\beta\gamma)_{12} \neq 0$ and $(\alpha\gamma)_{12} \neq 0$. Let \tilde{F} be the induced map after blowing up the point A_0 . Then the following hold:

If *F*^p(A₂) = O₀ for some p ∈ N and *F*^{2k}(A₁) ≠ O₁ for all k ∈ N then the characteristic polynomial associated with F is given by

$$\mathcal{X}_{p} = x^{p+1}(x^{2} - x - 1) + x^{2}.$$

$$\mathcal{X}_k = x^{2k+1}(x^2 - x - 1) + 1.$$

Theorem 2. Assume that $\gamma_1 = 0$, $\alpha_2 \neq 0$, $(\beta\gamma)_{12} \neq 0$ and $(\alpha\gamma)_{12} \neq 0$. Let \tilde{F} be the induced map after blowing up the point A_0 . Then the following hold:

If *F*^p(A₂) = O₀ for some p ∈ N and *F*^{2k}(A₁) ≠ O₁ for all k ∈ N then the characteristic polynomial associated with F is given by

$$\mathcal{X}_{p} = x^{p+1}(x^{2} - x - 1) + x^{2}.$$

$$\mathcal{X}_k = x^{2k+1}(x^2 - x - 1) + 1.$$

Theorem 2. Assume that $\gamma_1 = 0$, $\alpha_2 \neq 0$, $(\beta\gamma)_{12} \neq 0$ and $(\alpha\gamma)_{12} \neq 0$. Let \tilde{F} be the induced map after blowing up the point A_0 . Then the following hold:

If *F*^p(A₂) = O₀ for some p ∈ N and *F*^{2k}(A₁) ≠ O₁ for all k ∈ N then the characteristic polynomial associated with F is given by

$$\mathcal{X}_{\rho} = x^{\rho+1}(x^2 - x - 1) + x^2.$$

$$\mathcal{X}_k = x^{2k+1}(x^2 - x - 1) + 1.$$

Theorem 2. Assume that $\gamma_1 = 0$, $\alpha_2 \neq 0$, $(\beta\gamma)_{12} \neq 0$ and $(\alpha\gamma)_{12} \neq 0$. Let \tilde{F} be the induced map after blowing up the point A_0 . Then the following hold:

• If $\tilde{F}^{p}(A_{2}) = O_{0}$ for some $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\tilde{F}^{2k}(A_{1}) \neq O_{1}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ then the characteristic polynomial associated with F is given by

$$\mathcal{X}_{\rho} = x^{\rho+1}(x^2 - x - 1) + x^2.$$

$$\mathcal{X}_k = x^{2k+1}(x^2 - x - 1) + 1.$$

Theorem 2. Assume that $\gamma_1 = 0$, $\alpha_2 \neq 0$, $(\beta\gamma)_{12} \neq 0$ and $(\alpha\gamma)_{12} \neq 0$. Let \tilde{F} be the induced map after blowing up the point A_0 . Then the following hold:

If *F*^p(A₂) = O₀ for some p ∈ N and *F*^{2k}(A₁) ≠ O₁ for all k ∈ N then the characteristic polynomial associated with F is given by

$$\mathcal{X}_{p} = x^{p+1}(x^{2} - x - 1) + x^{2}.$$

$$\mathcal{X}_k = x^{2k+1}(x^2 - x - 1) + 1.$$

Theorem 2. Assume that $\gamma_1 = 0$, $\alpha_2 \neq 0$, $(\beta\gamma)_{12} \neq 0$ and $(\alpha\gamma)_{12} \neq 0$. Let \tilde{F} be the induced map after blowing up the point A_0 . Then the following hold:

If *F*^p(A₂) = O₀ for some p ∈ N and *F*^{2k}(A₁) ≠ O₁ for all k ∈ N then the characteristic polynomial associated with F is given by

$$\mathcal{X}_{\rho} = x^{\rho+1}(x^2 - x - 1) + x^2.$$

$$\mathcal{X}_k = x^{2k+1}(x^2 - x - 1) + 1.$$

Theorem 2. Assume that $\gamma_1 = 0$, $\alpha_2 \neq 0$, $(\beta\gamma)_{12} \neq 0$ and $(\alpha\gamma)_{12} \neq 0$. Let \tilde{F} be the induced map after blowing up the point A_0 . Then the following hold:

If *F*^p(A₂) = O₀ for some p ∈ N and *F*^{2k}(A₁) ≠ O₁ for all k ∈ N then the characteristic polynomial associated with F is given by

$$\mathcal{X}_{\rho} = x^{\rho+1}(x^2 - x - 1) + x^2.$$

$$\mathcal{X}_k = x^{2k+1}(x^2 - x - 1) + 1.$$

Theorem 2. Assume that $\gamma_1 = 0$, $\alpha_2 \neq 0$, $(\beta\gamma)_{12} \neq 0$ and $(\alpha\gamma)_{12} \neq 0$. Let \tilde{F} be the induced map after blowing up the point A_0 . Then the following hold:

If *F*^p(A₂) = O₀ for some p ∈ N and *F*^{2k}(A₁) ≠ O₁ for all k ∈ N then the characteristic polynomial associated with F is given by

$$\mathcal{X}_{\rho} = x^{\rho+1}(x^2 - x - 1) + x^2.$$

$$\mathcal{X}_k = x^{2k+1}(x^2 - x - 1) + 1.$$

• If $\tilde{F}^{2k}(A_1) = O_1$ and $\tilde{F}_1^p(A_2) = O_0$ for some $p, k \in \mathbb{N}$ then the characteristic polynomial associated with F is given by

 $\mathcal{X}_{(k,p)} = x^{p+1}(x^{2k+3} - x^{2k+2} - x^{2k+1} + 1) + x^{2k+3} - x^2 - x + 1.$

• Assume that $\tilde{F}^{2k}(A_1) \neq O_1$ and $\tilde{F}^p(A_2) \neq O_0$ for all $k, p \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the characteristic polynomial associated with F is given by

$$X(x) = x^2 - x - 1.$$

Anna Cima and Sundus Zafar (UAB) Algebraic entropy for birational maps in the pla

UAB 2014 22 / 26

• If $\tilde{F}^{2k}(A_1) = O_1$ and $\tilde{F}_1^p(A_2) = O_0$ for some $p, k \in \mathbb{N}$ then the characteristic polynomial associated with F is given by

 $\mathcal{X}_{(k,p)} = x^{p+1}(x^{2k+3} - x^{2k+2} - x^{2k+1} + 1) + x^{2k+3} - x^2 - x + 1.$

• Assume that $\tilde{F}^{2k}(A_1) \neq O_1$ and $\tilde{F}^p(A_2) \neq O_0$ for all $k, p \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the characteristic polynomial associated with F is given by

$$X(x) = x^2 - x - 1.$$

Anna Cima and Sundus Zafar (UAB) Algebraic entropy for birational maps in the pla

UAB 2014 22 / 26

• If $\tilde{F}^{2k}(A_1) = O_1$ and $\tilde{F}^{p}_1(A_2) = O_0$ for some $p, k \in \mathbb{N}$ then the characteristic polynomial associated with F is given by

 $\mathcal{X}_{(k,p)} = x^{p+1} (x^{2k+3} - x^{2k+2} - x^{2k+1} + 1) + x^{2k+3} - x^2 - x + 1.$

• Assume that $\tilde{F}^{2k}(A_1) \neq O_1$ and $\tilde{F}^p(A_2) \neq O_0$ for all $k, p \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the characteristic polynomial associated with F is given by

$$X(x) = x^2 - x - 1.$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

• If $\tilde{F}^{2k}(A_1) = O_1$ and $\tilde{F}^p_1(A_2) = O_0$ for some $p, k \in \mathbb{N}$ then the characteristic polynomial associated with F is given by

 $\mathcal{X}_{(k,p)} = x^{p+1}(x^{2k+3} - x^{2k+2} - x^{2k+1} + 1) + x^{2k+3} - x^2 - x + 1.$

• Assume that $\tilde{F}^{2k}(A_1) \neq O_1$ and $\tilde{F}^p(A_2) \neq O_0$ for all $k, p \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the characteristic polynomial associated with F is given by

$$X(x) = x^2 - x - 1.$$

ヘロア 人間 アイヨア・

• If $\tilde{F}^{2k}(A_1) = O_1$ and $\tilde{F}^p_1(A_2) = O_0$ for some $p, k \in \mathbb{N}$ then the characteristic polynomial associated with F is given by

 $\mathcal{X}_{(k,p)} = x^{p+1}(x^{2k+3} - x^{2k+2} - x^{2k+1} + 1) + x^{2k+3} - x^2 - x + 1.$

• Assume that $\tilde{F}^{2k}(A_1) \neq O_1$ and $\tilde{F}^p(A_2) \neq O_0$ for all $k, p \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the characteristic polynomial associated with F is given by

• If $\tilde{F}^{2k}(A_1) = O_1$ and $\tilde{F}_1^p(A_2) = O_0$ for some $p, k \in \mathbb{N}$ then the characteristic polynomial associated with F is given by

 $\mathcal{X}_{(k,p)} = x^{p+1}(x^{2k+3} - x^{2k+2} - x^{2k+1} + 1) + x^{2k+3} - x^2 - x + 1.$

• Assume that $\tilde{F}^{2k}(A_1) \neq O_1$ and $\tilde{F}^p(A_2) \neq O_0$ for all $k, p \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the characteristic polynomial associated with F is given by

$$X(x) = x^2 - x - 1.$$

4. A subfamily with zero entropy

$$\mathcal{X}_{(k,p)} = x^{p+1}(x^{2k+3} - x^{2k+2} - x^{2k+1} + 1) + x^{2k+3} - x^2 - x + 1.$$

- for p > ^{2(1+k)}/_k the sequence of degrees d_n grows exponentially for all p, k ∈ N;
- for (*p*, *k*) ∈ {(3, 2), (4, 1)} the sequence of degrees *d_n* grows quadratically;
- for (*p*, *k*) ∈ {(0, *k*), (1, *k*), (2, *k*), (3, 1)} the sequence of degrees *d_n* is periodic.

In the case (p, k) = (4, 1)

• $f(x, y) = \left(1 - x + y, \frac{x}{y-1}\right), V(x, y) = \frac{1-y}{xy(1-x+y)}$ satisfies V(f(x, y)) = V(x, y).• $f(x, y) = \left(x + y, \frac{x}{y-1}\right), V(x, y) = \frac{1+2x+y-2y^2}{xy(x+y)}$ satisfies $V(f^2(x, y)) = V(x, y).$

4. A subfamily with zero entropy

$$\mathcal{X}_{(k,p)} = x^{p+1}(x^{2k+3} - x^{2k+2} - x^{2k+1} + 1) + x^{2k+3} - x^2 - x + 1.$$

- for p > ^{2(1+k)}/_k the sequence of degrees d_n grows exponentially for all p, k ∈ N;
- for (*p*, *k*) ∈ {(3, 2), (4, 1)} the sequence of degrees *d_n* grows quadratically;
- for (*p*, *k*) ∈ {(0, *k*), (1, *k*), (2, *k*), (3, 1)} the sequence of degrees *d_n* is periodic.

In the case (p, k) = (4, 1)

• $f(x, y) = \left(1 - x + y, \frac{x}{y-1}\right), V(x, y) = \frac{1-y}{xy(1-x+y)}$ satisfies V(f(x, y)) = V(x, y).• $f(x, y) = \left(x + y, \frac{x}{y-1}\right), V(x, y) = \frac{1+2x+y-2y^2}{xy(x+y)}$ satisfies $V(f^2(x, y)) = V(x, y).$

$$\mathcal{X}_{(k,p)} = x^{p+1}(x^{2k+3} - x^{2k+2} - x^{2k+1} + 1) + x^{2k+3} - x^2 - x + 1.$$

- for p > ^{2(1+k)}/_k the sequence of degrees d_n grows exponentially for all p, k ∈ N;
- for (*p*, *k*) ∈ {(3, 2), (4, 1)} the sequence of degrees *d_n* grows quadratically;
- for (*p*, *k*) ∈ {(0, *k*), (1, *k*), (2, *k*), (3, 1)} the sequence of degrees *d_n* is periodic.

In the case (p, k) = (4, 1)

• $f(x, y) = \left(1 - x + y, \frac{x}{y-1}\right), V(x, y) = \frac{1-y}{xy(1-x+y)}$ satisfies V(f(x, y)) = V(x, y).• $f(x, y) = \left(x + y, \frac{x}{y-1}\right), V(x, y) = \frac{1+2x+y-2y^2}{xy(x+y)}$ satisfies $V(f^2(x, y)) = V(x, y).$

$$\mathcal{X}_{(k,p)} = x^{p+1}(x^{2k+3} - x^{2k+2} - x^{2k+1} + 1) + x^{2k+3} - x^2 - x + 1.$$

- for p > 2(1+k)/k the sequence of degrees d_n grows exponentially for all p, k ∈ N;
- for (*p*, *k*) ∈ {(3, 2), (4, 1)} the sequence of degrees *d_n* grows quadratically;
- for (*p*, *k*) ∈ {(0, *k*), (1, *k*), (2, *k*), (3, 1)} the sequence of degrees *d_n* is periodic.

In the case $(\rho, k) = (4, 1)$

• $f(x, y) = \left(1 - x + y, \frac{x}{y-1}\right), V(x, y) = \frac{1-y}{xy(1-x+y)}$ satisfies V(f(x, y)) = V(x, y).• $f(x, y) = \left(x + y, \frac{x}{y-1}\right), V(x, y) = \frac{1+2x+y-2y^2}{xy(x+y)}$ satisfies $V(f^2(x, y)) = V(x, y).$

$$\mathcal{X}_{(k,p)} = x^{p+1}(x^{2k+3} - x^{2k+2} - x^{2k+1} + 1) + x^{2k+3} - x^2 - x + 1.$$

- for p > 2(1+k)/k the sequence of degrees d_n grows exponentially for all p, k ∈ N;
- for (p, k) ∈ {(3, 2), (4, 1)} the sequence of degrees d_n grows quadratically;
- for (*p*, *k*) ∈ {(0, *k*), (1, *k*), (2, *k*), (3, 1)} the sequence of degrees *d_n* is periodic.

In the case $(\rho, k) = (4, 1)$

• $f(x, y) = \left(1 - x + y, \frac{x}{y-1}\right), V(x, y) = \frac{1-y}{xy(1-x+y)}$ satisfies V(f(x, y)) = V(x, y).• $f(x, y) = \left(x + y, \frac{x}{y-1}\right), V(x, y) = \frac{1+2x+y-2y^2}{xy(x+y)}$ satisfies

$$\mathcal{X}_{(k,p)} = x^{p+1}(x^{2k+3} - x^{2k+2} - x^{2k+1} + 1) + x^{2k+3} - x^2 - x + 1.$$

- for p > 2(1+k)/k the sequence of degrees d_n grows exponentially for all p, k ∈ N;
- for (p, k) ∈ {(3, 2), (4, 1)} the sequence of degrees d_n grows quadratically;
- for (*p*, *k*) ∈ {(0, *k*), (1, *k*), (2, *k*), (3, 1)} the sequence of degrees *d_n* is periodic.

In the case (p, k) = (4, 1)

• $f(x, y) = \left(1 - x + y, \frac{x}{y-1}\right), V(x, y) = \frac{1-y}{xy(1-x+y)}$ satisfies V(f(x, y)) = V(x, y).

•
$$f(x, y) = \left(x + y, \frac{x}{y-1}\right), V(x, y) = \frac{1+2x+y-2y^2}{xy(x+y)}$$
 satisfies $V(f^2(x, y)) = V(x, y).$

$$\mathcal{X}_{(k,p)} = x^{p+1}(x^{2k+3} - x^{2k+2} - x^{2k+1} + 1) + x^{2k+3} - x^2 - x + 1.$$

- for p > 2(1+k)/k the sequence of degrees d_n grows exponentially for all p, k ∈ N;
- for (p, k) ∈ {(3, 2), (4, 1)} the sequence of degrees d_n grows quadratically;
- for (*p*, *k*) ∈ {(0, *k*), (1, *k*), (2, *k*), (3, 1)} the sequence of degrees *d_n* is periodic.

In the case (p, k) = (4, 1)• $f(x, y) = (1 - x + y, \frac{x}{y-1}), V(x, y) = \frac{1-y}{xy(1-x+y)}$ satisfies V(f(x, y)) = V(x, y).• $f(x, y) = (x + y, \frac{x}{y-1}), V(x, y) = \frac{1+2x+y-2y^2}{xy(x+y)}$ satisfies $V(f^2(x, y)) = V(x, y).$

$$\mathcal{X}_{(k,p)} = x^{p+1}(x^{2k+3} - x^{2k+2} - x^{2k+1} + 1) + x^{2k+3} - x^2 - x + 1.$$

- for p > 2(1+k)/k the sequence of degrees d_n grows exponentially for all p, k ∈ N;
- for (*p*, *k*) ∈ {(3, 2), (4, 1)} the sequence of degrees *d_n* grows quadratically;
- for (*p*, *k*) ∈ {(0, *k*), (1, *k*), (2, *k*), (3, 1)} the sequence of degrees *d_n* is periodic.

In the case (p, k) = (4, 1)• $f(x, y) = (1 - x + y, \frac{x}{y-1}), V(x, y) = \frac{1-y}{xy(1-x+y)}$ satisfies V(f(x, y)) = V(x, y).• $f(x, y) = (x + y, \frac{x}{y-1}), V(x, y) = \frac{1+2x+y-2y^2}{xy(x+y)}$ satisfies $V(f^2(x, y)) = V(x, y).$

$$\mathcal{X}_{(k,p)} = x^{p+1}(x^{2k+3} - x^{2k+2} - x^{2k+1} + 1) + x^{2k+3} - x^2 - x + 1.$$

- for p > 2(1+k)/k the sequence of degrees d_n grows exponentially for all p, k ∈ N;
- for (*p*, *k*) ∈ {(3, 2), (4, 1)} the sequence of degrees *d_n* grows quadratically;
- for (*p*, *k*) ∈ {(0, *k*), (1, *k*), (2, *k*), (3, 1)} the sequence of degrees *d_n* is periodic.

In the case (p, k) = (4, 1)

• $f(x,y) = \left(1 - x + y, \frac{x}{y-1}\right), V(x,y) = \frac{1-y}{xy(1-x+y)}$ satisfies V(f(x,y)) = V(x,y).

• $f(x, y) = \left(x + y, \frac{x}{y-1}\right), V(x, y) = \frac{1+2x+y-2y^2}{xy(x+y)}$ satisfies $V(f^2(x, y)) = V(x, y).$

$$\mathcal{X}_{(k,p)} = x^{p+1}(x^{2k+3} - x^{2k+2} - x^{2k+1} + 1) + x^{2k+3} - x^2 - x + 1.$$

- for p > 2(1+k)/k the sequence of degrees d_n grows exponentially for all p, k ∈ N;
- for (p, k) ∈ {(3, 2), (4, 1)} the sequence of degrees d_n grows quadratically;
- for (*p*, *k*) ∈ {(0, *k*), (1, *k*), (2, *k*), (3, 1)} the sequence of degrees *d_n* is periodic.

In the case (p, k) = (4, 1)• $f(x, y) = \left(1 - x + y, \frac{x}{y-1}\right), V(x, y) = \frac{1-y}{xy(1-x+y)}$ satisfies V(f(x, y)) = V(x, y).• $f(x, y) = \left(x + y, \frac{x}{y-1}\right), V(x, y) = \frac{1+2x+y-2y^2}{xy(x+y)}$ satisfies $V(f^2(x, y)) = V(x, y).$

$$\mathcal{X}_{(k,p)} = x^{p+1}(x^{2k+3} - x^{2k+2} - x^{2k+1} + 1) + x^{2k+3} - x^2 - x + 1.$$

- for p > 2(1+k)/k the sequence of degrees d_n grows exponentially for all p, k ∈ N;
- for (*p*, *k*) ∈ {(3, 2), (4, 1)} the sequence of degrees *d_n* grows quadratically;
- for (*p*, *k*) ∈ {(0, *k*), (1, *k*), (2, *k*), (3, 1)} the sequence of degrees *d_n* is periodic.

In the case (p, k) = (4, 1)• $f(x, y) = \left(1 - x + y, \frac{x}{y-1}\right), V(x, y) = \frac{1-y}{xy(1-x+y)}$ satisfies V(f(x, y)) = V(x, y).• $f(x, y) = \left(x + y, \frac{x}{y-1}\right), V(x, y) = \frac{1+2x+y-2y^2}{xy(x+y)}$ satisfies $V(f^2(x, y)) = V(x, y).$

$$\mathcal{X}_{(k,p)} = x^{p+1}(x^{2k+3} - x^{2k+2} - x^{2k+1} + 1) + x^{2k+3} - x^2 - x + 1.$$

- for p > 2(1+k)/k the sequence of degrees d_n grows exponentially for all p, k ∈ N;
- for (p, k) ∈ {(3, 2), (4, 1)} the sequence of degrees d_n grows quadratically;
- for (*p*, *k*) ∈ {(0, *k*), (1, *k*), (2, *k*), (3, 1)} the sequence of degrees *d_n* is periodic.

In the case (p, k) = (4, 1)• $f(x, y) = \left(1 - x + y, \frac{x}{y-1}\right), V(x, y) = \frac{1-y}{xy(1-x+y)}$ satisfies V(f(x, y)) = V(x, y).• $f(x, y) = \left(x + y, \frac{x}{y-1}\right), V(x, y) = \frac{1+2x+y-2y^2}{xy(x+y)}$ satisfies $V(f^2(x, y)) = V(x, y).$

$$\mathcal{X}_{(k,p)} = x^{p+1}(x^{2k+3} - x^{2k+2} - x^{2k+1} + 1) + x^{2k+3} - x^2 - x + 1.$$

- for p > 2(1+k)/k the sequence of degrees d_n grows exponentially for all p, k ∈ N;
- for (p, k) ∈ {(3, 2), (4, 1)} the sequence of degrees d_n grows quadratically;
- for (*p*, *k*) ∈ {(0, *k*), (1, *k*), (2, *k*), (3, 1)} the sequence of degrees *d_n* is periodic.

In the case
$$(p, k) = (4, 1)$$

• $f(x, y) = (1 - x + y, \frac{x}{y-1}), V(x, y) = \frac{1-y}{xy(1-x+y)}$ satisfies
 $V(f(x, y)) = V(x, y).$
• $f(x, y) = (x + y, \frac{x}{y-1}), V(x, y) = \frac{1+2x+y-2y^2}{xy(x+y)}$ satisfies
 $V(f^2(x, y)) = V(x, y).$

In the case (p, k) = (3, 2), $f(x, y) = \left(\frac{1}{4} + x + y, \frac{x}{y - \frac{1}{2}}\right), V(x, y) =$ $\frac{256 x^3 y^2 + 384 x^2 y^3 + 128 x y^4 + 128 x^3 y + 192 x^2 y^2 + 32 x y^3 - 16 y^4 - 16 x^2 - 8 x y + 8 y^2 - 8 x - 1}{(-4 y^2 + 4 x + 1)^2}$ satisfies V(f(x, y)) = V(x, y).

The cases

 $(p,k) \in \{(0, k), (1, k), (2, k), (3, 1)\}$

For (p, k) = (0, k), f is 2k + 2 periodic.
For (p, k) = (1, k), f is 2k + 4 periodic.
For (p, k) = (2, k), f is 4k + 6 periodic.
For (p, k) = (3, 1), f is 18 periodic.

UAB 2014 24 / 26

イロン 人間 とくほ とくほう

In the case (p, k) = (3, 2), $f(x, y) = \left(\frac{1}{4} + x + y, \frac{x}{y - \frac{1}{2}}\right), V(x, y) = \frac{256 x^3 y^2 + 384 x^2 y^3 + 128 x y^4 + 128 x^3 y + 192 x^2 y^2 + 32 x y^3 - 16 y^4 - 16 x^2 - 8 x y + 8 y^2 - 8 x - 1}{\left(-4 y^2 + 4 x + 1\right)^2}$ satisfies V(f(x, y)) = V(x, y).

The cases

$$(p,k) \in \{(0, k), (1, k), (2, k), (3, 1)\}$$

For (p, k) = (0, k), f is 2k + 2 periodic.
For (p, k) = (1, k), f is 2k + 4 periodic.
For (p, k) = (2, k), f is 4k + 6 periodic.
For (p, k) = (3, 1), f is 18 periodic.

UAB 2014 24 / 26

In the case (p, k) = (3, 2), $f(x, y) = \left(\frac{1}{4} + x + y, \frac{x}{y - \frac{1}{2}}\right), V(x, y) =$ $\frac{256 x^3 y^2 + 384 x^2 y^3 + 128 x y^4 + 128 x^3 y + 192 x^2 y^2 + 32 x y^3 - 16 y^4 - 16 x^2 - 8 x y + 8 y^2 - 8 x - 1}{(-4 y^2 + 4 x + 1)^2}$ satisfies V(f(x, y)) = V(x, y).

The cases

$$(p,k) \in \{(0, k), (1, k), (2, k), (3, 1)\}$$

For (p, k) = (0, k), f is 2k + 2 periodic.
For (p, k) = (1, k), f is 2k + 4 periodic.
For (p, k) = (2, k), f is 4k + 6 periodic.
For (p, k) = (3, 1), f is 18 periodic.

UAB 2014 24 / 26

In the case
$$(p, k) = (3, 2)$$
,
 $f(x, y) = \left(\frac{1}{4} + x + y, \frac{x}{y - \frac{1}{2}}\right), V(x, y) = \frac{256 x^3 y^2 + 384 x^2 y^3 + 128 x y^4 + 128 x^3 y + 192 x^2 y^2 + 32 x y^3 - 16 y^4 - 16 x^2 - 8 x y + 8 y^2 - 8 x - 1}{\left(-4 y^2 + 4 x + 1\right)^2}$
satisfies $V(f(x, y)) = V(x, y)$.

The cases

$$(p,k) \in \{(0, k), (1, k), (2, k), (3, 1)\}$$

For (p, k) = (0, k), f is 2k + 2 periodic.
For (p, k) = (1, k), f is 2k + 4 periodic.
For (p, k) = (2, k), f is 4k + 6 periodic.
For (p, k) = (3, 1), f is 18 periodic.

UAB 2014 24 / 26

In the case
$$(p, k) = (3, 2)$$
,
 $f(x, y) = \left(\frac{1}{4} + x + y, \frac{x}{y - \frac{1}{2}}\right), V(x, y) = \frac{256 x^3 y^2 + 384 x^2 y^3 + 128 x y^4 + 128 x^3 y + 192 x^2 y^2 + 32 x y^3 - 16 y^4 - 16 x^2 - 8 x y + 8 y^2 - 8 x - 1}{\left(-4 y^2 + 4 x + 1\right)^2}$
satisfies $V(f(x, y)) = V(x, y)$.

The cases

$$(p,k) \in \{(0, k), (1, k), (2, k), (3, 1)\}$$

• For (p, k) = (0, k), *f* is 2k + 2 periodic.

- For (p, k) = (1, k), *f* is 2k + 4 periodic.
- For (p, k) = (2, k), *f* is 4k + 6 periodic.
- For (*p*, *k*) = (3, 1), *f* is 18 periodic.

In the case
$$(p, k) = (3, 2)$$
,
 $f(x, y) = \left(\frac{1}{4} + x + y, \frac{x}{y - \frac{1}{2}}\right), V(x, y) = \frac{256 x^3 y^2 + 384 x^2 y^3 + 128 x y^4 + 128 x^3 y + 192 x^2 y^2 + 32 x y^3 - 16 y^4 - 16 x^2 - 8 x y + 8 y^2 - 8 x - 1}{\left(-4 y^2 + 4 x + 1\right)^2}$
satisfies $V(f(x, y)) = V(x, y)$.

The cases

$$(p,k) \in \{(0, k), (1, k), (2, k), (3, 1)\}$$

• For (p, k) = (0, k), *f* is 2k + 2 periodic.

- For (p, k) = (1, k), *f* is 2k + 4 periodic.
- For (p, k) = (2, k), *f* is 4k + 6 periodic.
- For (*p*, *k*) = (3, 1), *f* is 18 periodic.

In the case
$$(p, k) = (3, 2)$$
,
 $f(x, y) = \left(\frac{1}{4} + x + y, \frac{x}{y - \frac{1}{2}}\right), V(x, y) = \frac{256 x^3 y^2 + 384 x^2 y^3 + 128 x y^4 + 128 x^3 y + 192 x^2 y^2 + 32 x y^3 - 16 y^4 - 16 x^2 - 8 x y + 8 y^2 - 8 x - 1}{\left(-4 y^2 + 4 x + 1\right)^2}$
satisfies $V(f(x, y)) = V(x, y)$.

The cases

$$(p,k) \in \{(0, k), (1, k), (2, k), (3, 1)\}$$

- For (p, k) = (0, k), *f* is 2k + 2 periodic.
- For (p, k) = (1, k), *f* is 2k + 4 periodic.
- For (p, k) = (2, k), *f* is 4k + 6 periodic.
- For (*p*, *k*) = (3, 1), *f* is 18 periodic.

In the case
$$(p, k) = (3, 2)$$
,
 $f(x, y) = \left(\frac{1}{4} + x + y, \frac{x}{y - \frac{1}{2}}\right), V(x, y) = \frac{256 x^3 y^2 + 384 x^2 y^3 + 128 x y^4 + 128 x^3 y + 192 x^2 y^2 + 32 x y^3 - 16 y^4 - 16 x^2 - 8 x y + 8 y^2 - 8 x - 1}{\left(-4 y^2 + 4 x + 1\right)^2}$
satisfies $V(f(x, y)) = V(x, y)$.

The cases

$$(p,k) \in \{(0, k), (1, k), (2, k), (3, 1)\}$$

- For (p, k) = (0, k), *f* is 2k + 2 periodic.
- For (p, k) = (1, k), *f* is 2k + 4 periodic.
- For (p, k) = (2, k), *f* is 4k + 6 periodic.
- For (*p*, *k*) = (3, 1), *f* is 18 periodic.

In the case
$$(p, k) = (3, 2)$$
,
 $f(x, y) = \left(\frac{1}{4} + x + y, \frac{x}{y - \frac{1}{2}}\right), V(x, y) = \frac{256 x^3 y^2 + 384 x^2 y^3 + 128 x y^4 + 128 x^3 y + 192 x^2 y^2 + 32 x y^3 - 16 y^4 - 16 x^2 - 8 x y + 8 y^2 - 8 x - 1}{\left(-4 y^2 + 4 x + 1\right)^2}$
satisfies $V(f(x, y)) = V(x, y)$.

The cases

$$(p,k) \in \{(0, k), (1, k), (2, k), (3, 1)\}$$

- For (p, k) = (0, k), *f* is 2k + 2 periodic.
- For (p, k) = (1, k), *f* is 2k + 4 periodic.
- For (p, k) = (2, k), *f* is 4k + 6 periodic.
- For (p, k) = (3, 1), *f* is 18 periodic.

In the case
$$(p, k) = (3, 2)$$
,
 $f(x, y) = \left(\frac{1}{4} + x + y, \frac{x}{y - \frac{1}{2}}\right), V(x, y) = \frac{256 x^3 y^2 + 384 x^2 y^3 + 128 x y^4 + 128 x^3 y + 192 x^2 y^2 + 32 x y^3 - 16 y^4 - 16 x^2 - 8 x y + 8 y^2 - 8 x - 1}{\left(-4 y^2 + 4 x + 1\right)^2}$
satisfies $V(f(x, y)) = V(x, y)$.

The cases

$$(p,k) \in \{(0, k), (1, k), (2, k), (3, 1)\}$$

- For (p, k) = (0, k), *f* is 2k + 2 periodic.
- For (p, k) = (1, k), *f* is 2k + 4 periodic.
- For (p, k) = (2, k), *f* is 4k + 6 periodic.
- For (p, k) = (3, 1), *f* is 18 periodic.

When it is defined, the topological entropy of a birational map coincides with the algebraic entropy. The proof is based on the following result of Y. Yomdin in 1987:

For $f : N \longrightarrow N$ a continuous mapping defined in the compact *m*dimensional C^{∞} -smooth manifold *N*, the topological entropy of *f* is greater or equal to S(f), where $S(f) = max_lS_l(f)$ and $S_l(f)$ is the logarithm of the spectral radius of $f^* : H_l(N, \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow H_l(N, \mathbb{R})$.

(4月) (4日) (4日)

When it is defined, the topological entropy of a birational map coincides with the algebraic entropy.

The proof is based on the following result of Y. Yomdin in 1987:

For $f: N \longrightarrow N$ a continuous mapping defined in the compact *m*dimensional C^{∞} -smooth manifold *N*, the topological entropy of *f* is greater or equal to S(f), where $S(f) = max_lS_l(f)$ and $S_l(f)$ is the logarithm of the spectral radius of $f^*: H_l(N, \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow H_l(N, \mathbb{R})$.

A (10) > (10)

When it is defined, the topological entropy of a birational map coincides with the algebraic entropy. The proof is based on the following result of Y. Yomdin in 1987:

For $f : N \longrightarrow N$ a continuous mapping defined in the compact *m*dimensional C^{∞} -smooth manifold *N*, the topological entropy of *f* is greater or equal to S(f), where $S(f) = max_lS_l(f)$ and $S_l(f)$ is the logarithm of the spectral radius of $f^* : H_l(N, \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow H_l(N, \mathbb{R})$.

When it is defined, the topological entropy of a birational map coincides with the algebraic entropy. The proof is based on the following result of Y. Yomdin in 1987:

For $f : N \longrightarrow N$ a continuous mapping defined in the compact *m*dimensional \mathcal{C}^{∞} -smooth manifold *N*, the topological entropy of *f* is greater or equal to S(f), where $S(f) = max_lS_l(f)$ and $S_l(f)$ is the logarithm of the spectral radius of $f^* : H_l(N, \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow H_l(N, \mathbb{R})$.

・ 回 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

When it is defined, the topological entropy of a birational map coincides with the algebraic entropy. The proof is based on the following result of Y. Yomdin in 1987:

For $f : N \longrightarrow N$ a continuous mapping defined in the compact *m*dimensional \mathcal{C}^{∞} -smooth manifold *N*, the topological entropy of *f* is greater or equal to S(f), where $S(f) = max_iS_i(f)$ and $S_i(f)$ is the logarithm of the spectral radius of $f^* : H_i(N, \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow H_i(N, \mathbb{R})$.

When it is defined, the topological entropy of a birational map coincides with the algebraic entropy. The proof is based on the following result of Y. Yomdin in 1987:

For $f : N \longrightarrow N$ a continuous mapping defined in the compact *m*dimensional \mathcal{C}^{∞} -smooth manifold *N*, the topological entropy of *f* is greater or equal to S(f), where $S(f) = max_iS_i(f)$ and $S_i(f)$ is the logarithm of the spectral radius of $f^* : H_i(N, \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow H_i(N, \mathbb{R})$.

When it is defined, the topological entropy of a birational map coincides with the algebraic entropy. The proof is based on the following result of Y. Yomdin in 1987:

For $f : N \longrightarrow N$ a continuous mapping defined in the compact *m*dimensional C^{∞} -smooth manifold *N*, the topological entropy of *f* is greater or equal to S(f), where $S(f) = max_lS_l(f)$ and $S_l(f)$ is the logarithm of the spectral radius of $f^* : H_l(N, \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow H_l(N, \mathbb{R})$.

Bibliography

- E. Bedford and K. Kim. Periodicities in Linear Fractional Recurrences: Degree Growth of Birational Surface Maps. Michigan Math. J. 54 (2006), 595–646.
- A. Cima, S. Zafar. *Dynamical Classification of some birational maps of the plane.* (2014)
- A. Cima, S. Zafar. *Integrability and algebraic entropy of k-periodic non-autonomous Lyness recurrences.* Accepted in J. Math. Anal. and App. (2013)
- J. Diller, C. Favre. *Dynamics of bimeromorphic maps of surfaces*, Amer. J. Math. 123, no. 6 (2001), 1135–1169.
- Y. Yomdin. *Volume growth and entropy*, Israel J. Math. 57, no. 3 (1987), 285–300.

イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト