Arnold Diffusion in the Elliptic Restricted Three-Body Problem HamSys 2014, Barcelona, June 2-6, 2014 Honoring Professor Clark Robinson's 70th birthday

Marian Gidea

Yeshiva University

Collaborators: Maciej Capinski, Rafael de la Llave, Tere Seara



Main result

Main result

- Planar elliptic restricted three-body problem (PERTBP)
 - Two primaries of masses $\mu, 1-\mu$ move on elliptic orbits of eccentricities ε around the center of mass
 - A third, massless particle, moves in the same plane under the gravity of the primaries
 - Model for the motion of a comet in the Sun-Jupiter system $\mu=$ 0.0009537, $\varepsilon=$ 0.048
- Hamiltonian system

$$H_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x},t) = H_0(\mathbf{x}) + \varepsilon H_1(\mathbf{x},t),$$

where $H_0(\mathbf{x})$ is the Hamiltonian of the planar circular restricted three-body problem (PCRTBP)

Then, there exist ε₀ > 0 and ρ > 0, s.t. for each 0 < ε < ε₀ there exists x(t) s.t.

$$|H_0(\mathbf{x}(T)) - H_0(\mathbf{x}(0))| > \rho$$

for some T > 0

• Remark: We use a qualitative approach - no diffusion time estimates

Some related works

- Oscillatory motions: [Sitnikov,1960], [Alekseev,1968-1969], [McGehee,1973], [Moser,1973], [Easton,McGehee,1979], [Llibre,Simó,1980], [Robinson,1984], [Martínez,Pinyol,1994], [García, Pérez-Chavela,2000], [Robinson,2008]
- Diffusion in the PERTBP (close to parabolic orbits): [Xia,1993], [Delshams,Kaloshin,de la Rosa,Seara,2014]
- Diffusion in the PERTBR (outer region, inner region): [Fejoz, Guàrdia,Kaloshin,Roldan,2014], [Urschel,Galante,2012]
- Diffusion in the PERTBR (micro-diffusion): [Capinski, Zgliczynski,2011] – near L_1 on an interval of energies of order $\varepsilon^{1/2}$
- Diffusion in the SCRTBP: [Samà,2004], [Delshams,M.G., Roldan,2013]

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

Relation with the Arnold diffusion problem

• [Arnold,1964]: Given

$$H_{\varepsilon}(I,\phi) = H_0(I) + \varepsilon H_1(I,\phi),$$

with $(I, \phi) \in B^n \times \mathbb{T}^n$, $n \ge 3$, then for all sufficiently small ε , and for 'generic' perturbations H_1 , the system has trajectories that travel 'arbitrarily far':

- 'generic' open and dense / residual / cusp residual in some function space (smooth or analytic)
- 'arbitrarily far' $\exists \varepsilon_0 > 0, \exists \rho > 0, \forall \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0), \exists (I(t), \phi(t)) \text{ s.t.}$

$$\|I(T)-I(0)\|>\rho$$

for some T > 0

- Practical consequence: small, periodic forcing can accumulate to large effects (time as an extra variable)
- For applications: need to deal with given perturbations rather than generic ones

Relation with the Arnold diffusion problem

• Example (a priori unstable system)

$$H_{\varepsilon}(p,q,l,\phi,t) = \underbrace{h_0(l)}_{i=1} + \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^n \pm \left(\frac{1}{2}p_i^2 + \cos(q_i) - 1\right)}_{i=1} + \underbrace{\varepsilon H_1(p,q,l,\phi,t)}_{i=1}$$

$$(p,q,l,\phi,t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{T}^1$$

perturbation

- Assume: H_1 periodic in t + generic non-degeneracy conditions
- Then, $\exists \varepsilon_0 > 0$, $\rho > 0$ s.t. $\forall \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$, $\exists \mathbf{x}(t), T > 0$ s.t. $\| I(\mathbf{x}(T)) I(\mathbf{x}(0)) \| > \rho$.
- Some refs: [Delshams,de la Llave,Seara,2000,2006][†], [M.G.,de la Llave,2006][†], [M.G.,Robinson,2007,2009,2012][†], [Delshams,de la Llave,Seara,2013][†], [M.G.,de la Llave,Seara,2014]

[†] assume that h_0 satisfies a non-degeneracy condition: $I \mapsto \partial h_0 / \partial I$ is a diffeomorphism

Marian Gidea (Yeshiva University)

• Hamiltonian of the PERTBP

$$egin{aligned} & \mathcal{H}_arepsilon(\mathbf{x},t) = \mathcal{H}_0(\mathbf{x}) + arepsilon \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{x},t) + \mathcal{O}(arepsilon^2) \end{aligned}$$

- $H_0(x, y, \dot{x}, \dot{y}) = \frac{1}{2}(\dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2) \omega(x, y)$ Hamiltonian of the PCRTBP
- Equilibrium points: *L*₁, *L*₂, *L*₃, *L*₄, *L*₅
- Choose range of energy h ∈ [h₁, h₂], near Oterma's energy h_{Oterma} ≈ 1.515



Hamiltonian of the PERTBP

$$H_{arepsilon}(\mathbf{x},t) = H_0(\mathbf{x}) + arepsilon G(\mathbf{x},t) + O(arepsilon^2)$$

- $H_0(x, y, \dot{x}, \dot{y}) = \frac{1}{2}(\dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2) \omega(x, y)$ Hamiltonian of the PCRTBP
- Equilibrium points: *L*₁, *L*₂, *L*₃, *L*₄, *L*₅
- Choose range of energy h ∈ [h₁, h₂], near Oterma's energy h_{Oterma} ≈ 1.515



- For each energy level h there exists a periodic orbit λ_h around L₁
- The periodic orbits λ_h possess stable and unstable manifolds W^s(λ_h), W^u(λ_h) that intersect transversally
- These conditions have been verified numerically



- For each energy level h there exists a periodic orbit λ_h around L₁
- The periodic orbits λ_h possess stable and unstable manifolds W^s(λ_h), W^u(λ_h) that intersect transversally
- These conditions have been verified numerically



- For each energy level h there exists a periodic orbit λ_h around L₁
- The periodic orbits λ_h possess stable and unstable manifolds W^s(λ_h), W^u(λ_h) that intersect transversally
- These conditions have been verified numerically



Define

 $\Lambda_0 = \{\lambda_h \mid h \in [h_1, h_2]\} = \{(I, \phi) \mid I \in [I(h_1), I(h_2)], \phi \in [0, 2\pi]\}$

- $\Lambda_0 =$ normally hyperbolic invariant manifold (NHIM)
 - $TM = T\Lambda_0 \oplus E^u \oplus E^s$
 - The expansion (contraction) rates of $D\phi_0$ on $T\Lambda_0$ are dominated by the expansion (contraction) rates of $D\phi_0$ on E^u (E^s , resp.)
- $W^{u}(\Lambda_{0})$ ($W^{s}(\Lambda_{0})$, resp.) foliated by $W^{u}(x)$ ($W^{s}(x)$, resp.)
- W^u(Λ₀) ∩ W^s(Λ₀) along a homoclinic manifold Γ₀ (strong transversality condition)
- Two dynamics
 - \bullet inner dynamics: restricted to Λ_0
 - outer dynamics: along homoclinic orbits
- Remark: we will use very little information on the inner dynamics

イロト イポト イヨト ・ヨ

Scattering map

- Scattering map [García,2000], [Delshams,de la Llave,Seara,2008]
 - encodes information on the outer dynamics
 - $\Omega_0^{\pm}(x) = x^{\pm}$ where $W^{s,u}(x^{\pm}) \cap \Gamma_0 = \{x\}$
 - restrict to a homoclinic channel Γ_0 s.t. Ω^{\pm} are diffeomorphisms

•
$$\sigma_0 = \Omega_0^+ \circ (\Omega_0^-)^{-1}$$

• $\sigma_0(x^-) = x^+ \leftrightarrow d(\Phi^{-T_-}(x), \Phi^{-T_-}(x^-)) \to 0,$
 $d(\Phi^{T_+}(x), \Phi^{T_+}(x^+)) \to 0,$
as $T_-, T_+ \to \infty$

- Properties
 - σ_0 is symplectic



Scattering map in the PCRTBP

- In the PCRTBP: $\sigma_0(I,\phi) = (I,\phi+\psi)$
- Each homoclinic intersection of the W^u(λ_h), W^s(λ_h) determines, by continuation, a homoclinic manifold, and, implicitly, a scattering map
- There are many homoclinic intersections
 ⇒ many scattering maps



- Hamiltonian in extended phase space: $\tilde{H}_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x}, t, A) = H_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{x}, t) + A$
- NHIM: $\Lambda_0 \times \mathbb{T}^1 \rightsquigarrow \tilde{\Lambda}_{\varepsilon}$
- Scattering map: $\sigma_0 \times \mathrm{id} \rightsquigarrow \tilde{\sigma}_{\varepsilon}$
- Fix Poincaré section Σ_{t=τ} = {(x, t) | t = τ} → Poincaré first return map F_ε
 - NHIM: $\Lambda_{\varepsilon} \rightsquigarrow (F_{\varepsilon})_{|\Lambda_{\varepsilon}}$ inner dynamics
 - scattering map: σ_{ε} outer dynamics

Scattering map in the PERTBP

Perturbative computation

- Expansion: $\sigma_{\varepsilon} = \sigma_0 + \varepsilon J \nabla S_0 \circ \sigma_0 + O(\varepsilon^2)$
- S_0 =convergent integral of G along a homoclinic orbit of the PCRTBP

•
$$H_{\varepsilon} = H_0 + \varepsilon G + O(\varepsilon^2)$$

• Λ_{ε} NHIM — parametrization $k_{\varepsilon} : \Lambda_0 \rightarrow \Lambda_{\varepsilon}$

•
$$s_{\varepsilon} = k_{\varepsilon}^{-1} \circ \sigma_{\varepsilon} \circ k_{\varepsilon}$$
 — acting on Λ_0
• $s_{\varepsilon} = s_0 + \varepsilon J \nabla S_0 \circ s_0 + O(\varepsilon^2)$ where
 $S_0 = \lim_{T_{\pm} \to \pm \infty} \int_{-T_{-}}^{0} (G \circ \Phi_{0,t} \circ (\Omega_0^-)^{-1} \circ \sigma_0^{-1} \circ k_0 - G \circ \Phi_{0,t} \circ \sigma_0^{-1} \circ k_0) dt$
 $+ \int_{0}^{T_{+}} (G \circ \Phi_{0,t} \circ (\Omega_0^+)^{-1} \circ k_0 - G \circ \Phi_{0,t} \circ k_0) dt$

Scattering map in the PERTBP

- There exist, in fact, (at least) four distinct scattering maps σ^{j,k}_ε, j, k = 1, 2
- They correspond to four homoclinic channels Γ^{j,k}





- For $h \in [h_1, h_2]$, $\exists \tau \in [0, 2\pi]$ s.t. $\forall (I, \phi) \in \Lambda_0, \exists j_1, k_1, j_2, k_2 \in \{1, 2\}$ s.t. $\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} S_0^{j_1, k_1}(I, \phi) > 0$ $\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} S_0^{j_2, k_2}(I, \phi) < 0$
- These conditions have been verified numerically
- Then there exists an ε₀ > 0 s.t. for all ε ∈ (0, ε₀) there exist pseudo-orbits of the type x_{i+1} = σ^{j_i,k_i}_ε(x_i) from {I < I(h₁)} to {I > I(h₂)} and vice-versa



- For $h \in [h_1, h_2]$, $\exists \tau \in [0, 2\pi]$ s.t. $\forall (I, \phi) \in \Lambda_0, \exists j_1, k_1, j_2, k_2 \in \{1, 2\}$ s.t. $\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} S_0^{j_1, k_1}(I, \phi) > 0$ $\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} S_0^{j_2, k_2}(I, \phi) < 0$
- These conditions have been verified numerically
- Then there exists an ε₀ > 0 s.t. for all ε ∈ (0, ε₀) there exist pseudo-orbits of the type x_{i+1} = σ^{j_i,k_i}_ε(x_i) from {I < I(h₁)} to {I > I(h₂)} and vice-versa



- For $h \in [h_1, h_2]$, $\exists \tau \in [0, 2\pi]$ s.t. $\forall (I, \phi) \in \Lambda_0, \exists j_1, k_1, j_2, k_2 \in \{1, 2\}$ s.t. $\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} S_0^{j_1, k_1}(I, \phi) > 0$ $\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} S_0^{j_2, k_2}(I, \phi) < 0$
- These conditions have been verified numerically
- Then there exists an ε₀ > 0 s.t. for all ε ∈ (0, ε₀) there exist pseudo-orbits of the type x_{i+1} = σ^{j_i,k_i}_ε(x_i) from {I < I(h₁)} to {I > I(h₂)} and vice-versa



- Use the Shadowing Lemma below to prove the existence of true orbits from {*I* < *I*(*h*₁)} to {*I* > *I*(*h*₂)} and vice-versa
- Refs. [Capinski,M.G.,de la Llave,2014]
- Remark: we do not use KAM tori, Aubry-Mather sets, etc., as in other works



Shadowing Lemma for NHIM's

Shadowing Lemma [M.G., de la Llave, Seara, 2014]

Assume:

- σ symplectic
- $\{x_i\}_{i=0,...,n}$ is an orbit of the scattering map in Λ , i.e. $x_{i+1} = \sigma(x_i)$ for all i = 0, ..., n-1
- almost every point in Λ is recurrent for ${\cal F}_{|\Lambda}$

Then, for every $\delta > 0$ there exist an orbit $z_{i+1} = F^{k_i}(z_i)$ in M, for some $k_i > 0$, s.t. $d(z_i, x_i) < \delta$ for all i = 0, ..., n

 Idea of the proof: apply Poincaré Recurrence Theorem to F_{|Λ} to return close to the x_i's

• Similar shadowing lemmas: [M.G.,Robinson,2013], [Delshams,M.G.,Roldan,2013]

• Remark: in the lemmas, one can use several scattering maps rather than a single one

Marian Gidea (Yeshiva University)

Happy Birthday!



Disclaimer: this is not the conference group picture

Marian Gidea (Yeshiva University)

Arnold Diffusion

June 4, 2014 17 / 17

э

Image: A matrix and a matrix

э