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## What is a Solar Sail ?

- Solar Sails are a new concept of spacecraft propulsion that takes advantage of the Solar radiation pressure to propel a satellite.
- The impact of the photons emitted by the Sun on the surface of the sail and its further reflection produce momentum on it.
- Solar Sails open a wide new range of possible missions that are not accessible by a traditional spacecraft.


Up to now, two solar sails have been in space:

- IKAROS: (Interplanetary Kite-craft Accelerated by Radiation Of the Sun). It is a Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency experimental spacecraft. The spacecraft was launched on May 21st 2010, together with Akatsuki (Venus Climate Orbiter). On December 8th 2010, IKAROS passed by Venus at about 80,800 km distance.
- NanoSail-D2: On January 2011 NASA deployed a solar sail in a low Earth orbit.

NASA is planning the mission Sunjammer, near the Earth-Sun $L_{1}$ point (launching scheduled for 2015).

## The Solar Sail

As a first model, we consider a flat and perfectly reflecting Solar Sail: the force due to the solar radiation pressure is normal to the surface of the sail $(\vec{n})$, and it is defined by the sail orientation and the sail lightness number.

- The sail orientation is given by the normal vector to the surface of the sail, $\vec{n}$. It is parametrised by two angles, $\alpha$ and $\delta$.
- The sail lightness number is given in terms of the dimensionless parameter $\beta$. It measures the effectiveness of the sail.

The acceleration of the sail due to the radiation pressure is given by:

$$
\vec{a}_{\text {sail }}=\beta \frac{m_{s}}{r_{p s}^{2}}\left\langle\vec{r}_{s}, \vec{n}\right\rangle^{2} \vec{n} .
$$

## The Sail Effectiveness

The parameter $\beta$ is defined as the ratio of the solar radiation pressure in terms of the solar gravitational attraction.

With nowadays technology, it is considered reasonable to take $\beta \approx 0.05$. This means that a spacecraft of 100 kg has a sail of $58 \times 58 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$.

## A Dynamical Model

We use the Restricted Three Body Problem (RTBP) taking the Sun and Earth as primaries and including the solar radiation pressure.


## Equations of Motion

The equations of motion are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ddot{x} & =2 \dot{y}+x-(1-\mu) \frac{x-\mu}{r_{p s}^{3}}-\mu \frac{x+1-\mu}{r_{p e}^{3}}+\beta \frac{1-\mu}{r_{p s}^{2}}\left\langle\vec{r}_{s}, \vec{n}\right\rangle^{2} n_{x}, \\
\ddot{y} & =-2 \dot{x}+y-\left(\frac{1-\mu}{r_{p s}^{3}}+\frac{\mu}{r_{p e}^{3}}\right) y+\beta \frac{1-\mu}{r_{p s}^{2}}\left\langle\vec{r}_{s}, \vec{n}\right\rangle^{2} n_{y} \\
\ddot{z} & =-\left(\frac{1-\mu}{r_{p s}^{3}}+\frac{\mu}{r_{p e}^{3}}\right) z+\beta \frac{1-\mu}{r_{p s}^{2}}\left\langle\vec{r}_{s}, \vec{n}\right\rangle^{2} n_{z}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\vec{n}=\left(n_{x}, n_{y}, n_{z}\right)$ is the normal to the surface of the sail with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n_{x}=\cos (\phi(x, y)+\alpha) \cos (\psi(x, y, z)+\delta) \\
& n_{y}=\sin (\phi(x, y, z)+\alpha) \cos (\psi(x, y, z)+\delta) \\
& n_{z}=\sin (\psi(x, y, z)+\delta)
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\vec{r}_{s}=(x-\mu, y, z) / r_{p s}$ is the Sun-sail direction.

## Equilibrium Points

- The RTBP has 5 equilibrium points $\left(L_{i}, i=1, \ldots, 5\right)$. For small $\beta$, these 5 points are replaced by 5 continuous families of equilibria, parametrised by $\alpha$ and $\delta$.
- For a fixed small value of $\beta$, we have 5 disconnected family of equilibria near the classical $L_{i}$.
- For a fixed and larger $\beta$, these families merge into each other. We end up having two disconnected surfaces, $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$, where $S_{1}$ is like a sphere and $S_{2}$ is like a torus around the Sun.
- All these families can be computed numerically by means of a continuation method.


## Interesting Missions Applications

Observations of the Sun provide information of the geomagnetic storms, as in the Geostorm Warning Mission.


Observations of the Earth's poles, as in the Polar Observer.


## Interesting Missions Applications

To ensure reliable radio communication between Mars and Earth even when the planets are lined up at opposite sides of the Sun.


## Periodic Motion Around Equilibria

We must add a constrain on the sail orientation to find bounded motion. One can see that when $\alpha=0$ and $\delta \in[-\pi / 2, \pi / 2]$ (i.e. only move the sail vertically w.r.t. the Sun - sail line):

- The system is time reversible $\forall \delta$ by $R:(x, y, z, \dot{x}, \dot{y}, \dot{z}, t) \rightarrow(x,-y, z,-\dot{x}, \dot{y},-\dot{z},-t)$ and Hamiltonian only for $\delta=0, \pm \pi / 2$.
- There are 5 disconnected families of equilibrium points parametrised by $\delta$, we call them $F L_{1, \ldots, 5}$ (each one related to one of the Lagrangian points $L_{1, \ldots, 5}$ ).
- Three of these families $\left(F L_{1,2,3}\right)$ lie on the $Y=0$ plane, and the linear behaviour around them is of the type saddle $\times$ centre $\times$ centre.
- The other two families $\left(F L_{4,5}\right)$ are close to $L_{4,5}$, and the linear behaviour around them is of the type $\sin k \times \operatorname{sink} \times$ source or $\sin k \times$ source $\times$ source.


## We focus on ...

- We focus on the motion around the equilibrium on the $F L_{1}$ family close to $S L_{1}$ (they correspond to $\alpha=0$ and $\delta \approx 0$ ).
- We fix $\beta=0.051689$.
- We consider the sail orientation to be fixed along time.

(Schematic representation of the equilibrium points on $Y=0$ )

Let us see the periodic motion around these points for a fixed sail orientation and show how it varies when we change, slightly, the sail orientation.

## $\mathcal{P}$-Family of Periodic Orbits

Periodic Orbits for $\delta=0$.


## $\mathcal{P}$-Family of Periodic Orbits

Periodic Orbits for $\delta=0.01$.
Main family of periodic orbits for $\delta=0.01$




Secondary family of periodic orbits for $\delta=0.01$




## $\mathcal{P}$-Family of Periodic Orbits

Periodic Orbits for $\delta=0.01$.

Fami A
Fami B
Fami B



## $\mathcal{V}$ - Family of Periodic Orbits


$\delta=0.005$

$\delta=0.001$

$\delta=0.01$


## Station Keeping around equilibria

## Goal:

- Design station keeping strategy to maintain the trajectory of a solar sail close to an unstable equilibrium point.
- Instead of using Control Theory Algorithms, we want to use Dynamical Systems Tools to find a station keeping algorithm for a Solar Sail.


## Station Keeping around equilibria

Goal:

- Design station keeping strategy to maintain the trajectory of a solar sail close to an unstable equilibrium point.
- Instead of using Control Theory Algorithms, we want to use Dynamical Systems Tools to find a station keeping algorithm for a Solar Sail.

Idea:

- We focus on the linear dynamics around an equilibrium point and study how this one varies when the sail orientation changes.
- We want to change the sail orientation (i.e. the phase space) to make the natural dynamics act in our favour: keep the trajectory close to a given equilibrium point.

We focus on the previous missions, where the equilibrium points are unstable with two real eigenvalues, $\lambda_{1}>0, \lambda_{2}<0$, and two pair of complex eigenvalues, $\nu_{1,2} \pm \mathrm{i} \omega_{1,2}$, with $\left|\nu_{1,2}\right| \ll\left|\lambda_{1,2}\right|$.

- The linear dynamics at the equilibrium point is of the type saddle $\times$ centre $\times$ centre.
- We describe the trajectory of the sail in three reference planes defined by the eigendirections.

- For small variations of the sail orientation, the equilibrium point, eigenvalues and eigendirections have a small variation. We will describe the effects of the changes on the sail orientation on each of these three reference planes.


## Schematic Idea of the Station Keeping Strategy (I)

In the saddle projection of the trajectory:


- When we are close to the equilibrium point, $p_{0}$, the trajectory escapes along the unstable direction.


## Schematic Idea of the Station Keeping Strategy (I)

In the saddle projection of the trajectory:


- When we are close to the equilibrium point, $p_{0}$, the trajectory escapes along the unstable direction.
- If we change the sail orientation the equilibrium point is shifted. Now the trajectory will escape along the new unstable direction.


## Schematic Idea of the Station Keeping Strategy (I)

In the saddle projection of the trajectory:


- When we are close to the equilibrium point, $p_{0}$, the trajectory escapes along the unstable direction.
- If we change the sail orientation the equilibrium point is shifted. Now the trajectory will escape along the new unstable direction.
- We want to find a new sail orientation $(\alpha, \delta)$ so that the trajectory will come close to the stable direction of $p_{0}$.


## Schematic Idea of the Station Keeping Strategy (II)

In the saddle projection of the trajectory:


## Schematic Idea of the Station Keeping Strategy (II)

In the saddle projection of the trajectory:


- With these ideas we can control the instability due to the saddle.
- We need to take into account the centre projection of the trajectory, as it might grow.


## Schematic Idea of the Station Keeping Strategy (III)

In the centre projection of the trajectory:


- When we are close to the equilibrium point the trajectory is a rotation.


## Schematic Idea of the Station Keeping Strategy (III)

In the centre projection of the trajectory:


- When we are close to the equilibrium point the trajectory is a rotation.
- If we change the sail orientation the equilibrium point is shifted. Now the trajectory will rotate around the new equilibrium point.


## Schematic Idea of the Station Keeping Strategy (III)

In the centre projection of the trajectory:


- When we are close to the equilibrium point the trajectory is a rotation.
- If we change the sail orientation the equilibrium point is shifted. Now the trajectory will rotate around the new equilibrium point.
- A sequence of changes on the sail orientation results in a sequence of rotations around the different equilibrium points.


## Schematic Idea of the Station Keeping Strategy (IV)

In the centre projection of the trajectory:




## Schematic Idea of the Station Keeping Strategy (IV)

In the centre projection of the trajectory:


## Schematic Idea of the Station Keeping Strategy (IV)

In the centre projection of the trajectory:







## Schematic Idea of the Station Keeping Strategy (V)

In the centre projection of the trajectory:

- A sequence of changes on the sail orientation implies a sequence of rotations around different equilibrium points on the centre projection.
- As we have seen a sequence of rotations around different equilibrium points can result unbounded.
- How can we choose the position of the new equilibrium point on the centre projection to keep this projection bounded ?


## Schematic Idea of the Station Keeping Strategy (V)

In the centre projection of the trajectory:

- A sequence of changes on the sail orientation implies a sequence of rotations around different equilibrium points on the centre projection.
- As we have seen a sequence of rotations around different equilibrium points can result unbounded.
- How can we choose the position of the new equilibrium point on the centre projection to keep this projection bounded ?



## Schematic Idea of the Station Keeping Strategy (VI)

To control the saddle and centre projection we want the new equilibrium point to satisfy:



The constants $\varepsilon_{\min }, \varepsilon_{\max }$ and $d$ will depend on the mission requirements and the dynamics around the equilibrium point.

## Results

We have applied this station keeping strategy to two different mission applications, the Geostorm Warning Mission and the Polar Observer.

For each mission:

- We have done a Monte Carlo simulation taking a 1000 random initial conditions.
- For each simulation we have applied the station keeping strategy for 30 years.
- We have tested the robustness of our strategy including random errors on the position and velocity determination, as well as on the orientation of the sail at each manoeuvre.


## Results for the Geostorm

We take $\beta=0.051689$ (i.e. a satellite of 130 kg mass with a $67 \mathrm{~m} \times 67 \mathrm{~m}$ square sail).

|  | Success | Max. Time | Min. Time | Ang. Vari. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No Error | $100 \%$ | 45.87 days | 24.13 days | $1.43^{\circ}$ |
| Error Pos. | $100 \%$ | 45.85 days | 24.13 days | $1.43^{\circ}$ |
| Error Pos. \& Ori. ${ }^{\star}$ | $100 \%$ | 53.90 days | 21.59 days | $1.42^{\circ}$ |
| Error Pos. \& Ori. $^{\dagger}$ | $97 \%$ | 216.47 days | 15.54 days | $1.67^{\circ}$ |

Statistics for the Geostorm mission taking 1000 simulations. Considering errors on the sail orientation of order $0.5^{\circ}\left(^{\star}\right)$ and $2.2^{\circ}\left(^{\dagger}\right)$.

## Results for the Geostorm (No Errors in Manoeuvres)

## $X Y$ and $X Z$ and $X Y Z$ Projections





Saddle $\times$ Centre $\times$ Centre Projections



## Results for the Geostorm (Errors in Manoeuvres)

## $X Y$ and $X Z$ and $X Y Z$ Projections





Saddle $\times$ Centre $\times$ Centre Projections




## Results for the Geostorm

## Variation of the sail orientation (No Errors in Manoeuvres)




Variation of the sail orientation (Errors in Manoeuvres)


## Results

- We manage to maintain the trajectory close to the equilibrium point for 30 years.
- The most significant errors are the ones due to the sail orientation.
- This station keeping strategy does not require previous planning as the decisions taken by the sail only depend on its position in the phase space.
- The same ideas can be used to design strategies to move along the family of equilibrium points.


## Surfing along the family of equilibria

Scheme on the idea to surf along the family of equilibria.


## The Augmented Hill Problem



## Equations of motion

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ddot{X}-2 \dot{Y} & =-\frac{X}{r^{3}}+3 X+a_{x} \\
\ddot{Y}+2 \dot{X} & =-\frac{Y}{r^{3}}+a_{y} \\
\ddot{Z} & =-\frac{Z}{r^{3}}-Z+a_{z}
\end{aligned}
$$

- $(X, Y, Z)$ denotes the position of the solar sail in a rotating frame.
- $r=\sqrt{X^{2}+Y^{2}+Z^{2}}$.
- $\mathbf{a}=\left(a_{x}, a_{y}, a_{z}\right)$ is the acceleration given by the solar sail.

The normalised units of distance and time are $L=\left(\mu_{\text {sb }} / \mu_{\text {sun }}\right)^{1 / 3} R$ and $T=1 / \omega$, where $\mu_{\text {sb }}, \mu_{\text {sun }}$ are gravitational parameters for the small body and the Sun, $R$ is Sun - asteroid mean distance, and $\omega=\sqrt{\mu_{\text {sun }} / R^{3}}$ is its frequency.

## Solar Sail model

The acceleration given by the solar sail $\mathbf{a}=\left(a_{x}, a_{y}, a_{z}\right)$ is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{x}=\beta\left(\rho \cos ^{3} \alpha \cos ^{3} \delta+0.5(1-\rho) \cos \alpha \cos \delta\right) \\
& a_{y}=\beta\left(\rho \cos ^{2} \alpha \cos ^{3} \delta \sin \alpha\right) \\
& a_{z}=\beta\left(\rho \cos ^{2} \alpha \cos ^{2} \delta \sin \delta\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Remarks:

- $\alpha$ and $\delta$ are the angles that define the orientation of the sail.
- In the normalised units $\beta=K_{1}(A / m) \mu_{s b}^{-1 / 3}$, where $K_{1} \approx 7.8502$ if $A$ is given in $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ and $m$ in kg .
- $\rho \approx 0$ corresponds to the performance of a solar panel.
- $\rho \approx 1$ corresponds to a high performance solar sail.


## Hamiltonian function

Defining momenta as $P_{X}=\dot{X}-Y, P_{Y}=\dot{Y}+X, P_{Z}=\dot{Z}$, this system is described by the Hamiltonian function

$$
\begin{aligned}
H= & \frac{1}{2}\left(P_{X}^{2}+P_{Y}^{2}+P_{Z}^{2}\right)+Y P_{X}-X P_{Y}-\frac{1}{2}\left(2 X^{2}-Y^{2}-Z^{2}\right)-\frac{1}{r} \\
& -a_{X} X-a_{y} Y-a_{Z} Z .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Equilibrium points

It is well-known that, if we neglect the effect of the solar sail $(\beta=0)$ the system has two equilibrium points, $L_{1,2}$, symmetrically located around the asteroid, with coordinates $\left( \pm 3^{-1 / 3}, 0,0\right)$.

If the sail is perpendicular to the Sun direction $(\alpha=\delta=0)$, the position of $L_{1,2}$ move towards the Sun as $\beta$ increases.

## Periodic orbits

- The equilibrium points are unstable (centre $\times$ centre $\times$ saddle).
- Each centre gives rise to a family of (unstable) periodic orbits.
- The two centres give rise to a Cantor family of (unstable) 2D tori.

To visualise the dynamics, we will perform the so-called reduction to the centre manifold.
It is based on performing a sequence of normalising transformations on the Hamiltonian function, with the only purpose of decoupling the centre directions from the hyperbolic ones.

To describe this process, let us assume that the origin has already been translated to the origin.

## Second order normal form

Now, the Hamiltonian takes the form

$$
H(q, p)=H_{2}(q, p)+\sum_{n \geq 3} H_{n}(q, p),
$$

where $H_{2}=\lambda_{1} q_{1} p_{1}+\sqrt{-1} \omega_{1} q_{2} p_{2}+\sqrt{-1} \omega_{2} q_{3} p_{3}$ and $H_{n}$ denotes an homogeneous polynomial of degree $n$.

## The Lie series method

The changes of variables are implemented by means of the Lie series method: if $G(q, p)$ is a Hamiltonian system, then the function $\hat{H}$ defined by

$$
\hat{H} \equiv H+\{H, G\}+\frac{1}{2!}\{\{H, G\}, G\}+\frac{1}{3!}\{\{\{H, G\}, G\}, G\}+\cdots,
$$

is the result of applying a canonical change to $H$. This change is the time one flow corresponding to the Hamiltonian $G . G$ is usually called the generating function of the transformation.

It is easy to check that, if $P$ and $Q$ are two homogeneous polynomials of degree $r$ and $s$ respectively, then $\{P, Q\}$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $r+s-2$.

This property is very useful to implement in a computer a transformation given by a generating transformation $G$.

For instance, let us assume that we want to eliminate the monomials of degree 3 , as it is usually done in a normal form scheme.

Let us select as a generating function a homogeneous polynomial of degree $3, G_{3}$. Then, it is immediate to check that the terms of $\hat{H}$ satisfy

- degree 2: $\hat{H}_{2}=H_{2}$,
- degree 3: $\hat{H}_{3}=H_{3}+\left\{H_{2}, G_{3}\right\}$,
- degree 4: $\hat{H}_{4}=H_{4}+\left\{H_{3}, G_{3}\right\}+\frac{1}{2!}\left\{\left\{H_{2}, G_{3}\right\}, G_{3}\right\}$,

Hence, to kill the monomials of degree 3 one has to look for a $G_{3}$ such that $\left\{H_{2}, G_{3}\right\}=-H_{3}$.

Let us denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{3}(q, p)=\sum_{\left|k_{q}\right|+\left|k_{p}\right|=3} h_{k_{q}, k_{p}} q^{k_{q}} p^{k_{p}}, \\
& G_{3}(q, p)=\sum_{\left|k_{q}\right|+\left|k_{p}\right|=3} g_{k_{q}, k_{p}} q^{k_{q}} p^{k_{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\eta_{1}=\lambda_{1}, \eta_{2}=\sqrt{-1} \omega_{1}$ and $\eta_{3}=\sqrt{-1} \omega_{2}$. As

$$
\left\{H_{2}, G_{3}\right\}=\sum_{\left|k_{q}\right|+\left|k_{p}\right|=3}\left\langle k_{p}-k_{q}, \eta\right\rangle g_{k_{q}, k_{p}} q^{k_{q}} p^{k_{p}}, \quad \eta=\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}, \eta_{3}\right),
$$

it is immediate to obtain

$$
G_{3}(q, p)=\sum_{\left|k_{q}\right|+\left|k_{p}\right|=3} \frac{-h_{k_{q}, k_{p}}}{\left\langle k_{p}-k_{q}, \eta\right\rangle} q^{k_{q}} p^{k_{p}} .
$$

Observe that $\left|k_{q}\right|+\left|k_{p}\right|=3$ implies $\left\langle k_{p}-k_{q}, \eta\right\rangle \neq 0$. Note that $G_{3}$ is so easily obtained because of the "diagonal" form of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$.

We are not interested in a complete normal form, but only in uncoupling the central directions from the hyperbolic one.

Hence, it is not necessary to cancel all the monomials in $H_{3}$ but only some of them. Moreover, as we want the radius of convergence of the transformed Hamiltonian to be as big as possible, we will try to choose the change of variables as close to the identity as possible. This means that we will kill the least possible number of monomials in the Hamiltonian.

To produce an approximate first integral having the center manifold as a level surface (see below), it is enough to kill the monomials $q^{k_{q}} p^{k_{p}}$ such that the first component of $k_{q}$ is different from the first component of $k_{p}$

This implies that the generating function $G_{3}$ is

$$
G_{3}(q, p)=\sum_{\left(k_{q}, k_{p}\right) \in \mathcal{S}_{3}} \frac{-h_{k_{q}, k_{p}}}{\left\langle k_{p}-k_{q}, \eta\right\rangle} q^{k_{q}} p^{k_{p}}
$$

where $\mathcal{S}_{n}, n \geq 3$, is the set of indices $\left(k_{q}, k_{p}\right)$ such that $\left|k_{q}\right|+\left|k_{p}\right|=n$ and the first component of $k_{q}$ is different from the first component of $k_{p}$.

Then, the transformed Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$ takes the form

$$
\hat{H}(q, p)=H_{2}(q, p)+\hat{H}_{3}(q, p)+\hat{H}_{4}(q, p)+\cdots,
$$

where $\hat{H}_{3}(q, p) \equiv \hat{H}_{3}\left(q_{1} p_{1}, q_{2}, p_{2}, q_{3}, p_{3}\right)$ (note that $\hat{H}_{3}$ depends on the product $q_{1} p_{1}$, not on each variable separately).

This process can be carried out up to a finite order $N$, to obtain a Hamiltonian of the form

$$
\bar{H}(q, p)=\bar{H}_{N}(q, p)+R_{N}(q, p)
$$

where $H_{N}(q, p) \equiv H_{N}\left(q_{1} p_{1}, q_{2}, p_{2}, q_{3}, p_{3}\right)$ is a polynomial of degree $N$ and $R_{N}$ is a remainder of order $N+1$ (note that $H_{N}$ depends on the product $q_{1} p_{1}$ while the remainder depends on the two variables $q_{1}$ and $p_{1}$ separately).

Neglecting the remainder and applying the canonical change given by $I_{1}=q_{1} p_{1}$, we obtain the Hamiltonian $\bar{H}_{N}\left(I_{1}, q_{2}, p_{2}, q_{3}, p_{3}\right)$ that has $I_{1}$ as a first integral.

Setting $I_{1}=0$ we obtain a 2DOF Hamiltonian, $\bar{H}_{N}(0, \bar{q}, \bar{p}), \bar{q}=\left(q_{2}, q_{3}\right), \bar{p}=\left(p_{2}, p_{3}\right)$, that represents (up to some finite order $N$ ) the dynamics inside the center manifold.

Note the absence of small divisors during this process.
The denominators that appear in the generating functions, $\left\langle k_{p}-k_{q}, \eta\right\rangle$, can be bounded from below when $\left(k_{q}, k_{p}\right) \in \mathcal{S}_{N}$ : using that $\eta_{1}$ is real and that $\eta_{2,3}$ are purely imaginary, we have

$$
\left|\left\langle k_{p}-k_{q}, \eta\right\rangle\right| \geq\left|\lambda_{1}\right|, \quad \text { for all }\left(k_{q}, k_{p}\right) \in \mathcal{S}_{N}, \quad N \geq 3
$$

For this reason, the divergence of this process is very mild.

## Displaying the dynamics

To display the dynamics, let us call $\left(q_{h}, p_{h}\right)$ the variables in the normalised coordinates related to the horizontal oscillations, and $\left(q_{v}, p_{v}\right)$ the variables related to the vertical oscillations.

We consider the Poincaré section $q_{v}=0$ (in other words, we are "slicing" the vertical motions).

Let us consider the case $\alpha=\delta=0$ and select the energy level $H_{c m}=0.4$ (corresponding to $H=-4.519072$ in synodical coordinates).

$$
H_{c m}=0.4, \alpha=\delta=0
$$




$$
H_{c m}=0.8, \alpha=\delta=0
$$




$$
H_{c m}=2.3, \alpha=0, \delta=0.1
$$




Invariant tori, $H_{c m}=-4.00280, \alpha=\delta=0$


