

# Correspondence between Godbillon-Vey sequence and Françoise algorithm

Jessie Pontigo-Herrera<sup>1</sup> in collaboration with  
L. Ortiz-Bobadilla<sup>1</sup>, P. Mardesic<sup>2</sup>, D. Novikov<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> UNAM, México <sup>2</sup> Université de Bourgogne <sup>3</sup> Weizmann Institute

## Abstract

We study local integrable foliations and their deformations. We introduce the so-called Françoise algorithm for computing the first nonzero Melnikov function for the displacement map, and describe what we call the Godbillon-Vey sequence for a deformation. We establish the correspondence between these objects and give some results on the integrability of the deformation in terms of the length of the sequences (see [4]).

## Preliminary Notions

Let  $\gamma_0 \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  be a regular curve,  $\Sigma$  a transversal to  $\gamma_0$ ,  $F$  a holomorphic function defined on a tubular neighborhood  $U \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  of  $\gamma_0$ , formed by regular curves  $\gamma(t) \subset F^{-1}(t)$ ,  $t \in F(\Sigma)$ , with  $\gamma_0 = \gamma(t_0)$ .

Consider the integrable foliation  $dF = 0$  and its holomorphic deformation

$$dF + \epsilon\omega = 0 \quad (1)$$

in  $U$ . We are interested in the displacement function  $\Delta$  of (1) along  $\gamma(t)$ . It can be developed as

$$\Delta(t) = \sum_{i \geq 1} \epsilon^i M_i(t). \quad (2)$$

The functions  $M_i(t)$  are called *Melnikov functions*. If  $\Delta \equiv 0$ , this means that (1) has a first integral in a neighborhood of  $\gamma(t)$ . If not, then there exists a *first nonzero* Melnikov function  $M_\mu$ .

## Françoise algorithm

Françoise algorithm allows to compute the first nonzero Melnikov function  $M_\mu$ . Namely,

**Theorem 1.** [2] Let (2) be the displacement map of (1). Assume that  $M_i \equiv 0$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Then,  $M_{k+1}(t) = (-1)^{k+1} \int_{\gamma(t)} g_k \omega$ , where  $g_0 = 1$ , and  $g_i, r_i$  verify

$$g_{i-1}\omega = g_i dF + dr_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, k. \quad (3)$$

**Definition (Françoise pairs and Françoise sequence).** We call any pair  $(g_i, r_i)$ , verifying (3) an  $i$ -th Françoise pair associated to the deformation (1) and call the sequence  $(g_i, r_i)$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots$  a Françoise sequence. We say that the length of a Françoise sequence is  $\ell$ , if  $\ell$  is the smallest index such that  $g_{\ell+1} = 0$ . If there does not exist such an index, we say that the sequence is of infinite length.

## Classical Godbillon-Vey sequence

The classical Godbillon-Vey sequence is associated to a foliation defined by a single one-form

$$\omega = 0. \quad (4)$$

It is a sequence of one-forms  $\omega_0 = \omega, \omega_i, i = 1, \dots$  such that the formal one-form

$$\Omega = d\epsilon + \omega_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{\epsilon^i}{i!} \omega_i \quad (5)$$

in  $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}$  verifies the *formal integrability condition*

$$\Omega \wedge \tilde{d}\Omega = 0. \quad (6)$$

Here  $\tilde{d} = d_\epsilon + d$  denotes the total differential with respect to  $x, y, \epsilon$ . Condition (6) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega_0 &= \omega_0 \wedge \omega_1, \\ d\omega_1 &= \omega_0 \wedge \omega_2, \\ \dots &\dots \\ d\omega_n &= \omega_0 \wedge \omega_{n+1} + \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n}{k} \omega_k \wedge \omega_{n-k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

We say that the *Godbillon-Vey sequence is of length  $n$*  if the forms  $\omega_k$  vanish for  $k \geq n$ .

**Definition.** Let  $K$  be a differential field,  $G$  a function and  $K_G$  the extension of  $K$  by  $G$ . We say that the extension  $K_G$  is: *Darboux, Liouville or Riccati*, respectively, if it belongs to a finite sequence of field extensions starting from the field  $K$ : the extensions in each step are either algebraic or given, respectively, by solutions of the equations  $dG = \eta_0$ ,  $dG = G\eta_1 + \eta_0$  or  $dG = G^2\eta_2 + G\eta_1 + \eta_0$ , with  $\eta_i$  one-forms with coefficients in the corresponding field extensions.

In that case, we call the function  $G$  *Darboux, Liouville or Riccati* with respect to  $K$ .

In [1], Casale relates the length  $n$  of the Godbillon-Vey sequence to the type of first integral of the foliation given by (4):

**Theorem 2.**

(i) *There exists a Godbillon-Vey sequence of length 1 if and only if (4) has a Darboux first integral.*

(ii) *There exists a Godbillon-Vey sequence of length 2 if and only if (4) has a Liouvillian first integral.*

(iii) *There exists a Godbillon-Vey sequence of length 3 if and only if (4) has a Riccati first integral.*

## Godbillon-Vey sequence for a deformation

We give a well-adapted version of Godbillon-Vey sequences to study deformations of integrable foliations as in (1). The Godbillon-Vey sequence gives a condition for verifying if the integrability at the level  $\epsilon = 0$  extends to  $\epsilon \neq 0$ .

We define the form

$$\Omega = Rd\epsilon + (dF + \epsilon\omega)G, \quad (7)$$

with

$$G = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} \epsilon^i G_i, \quad R = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} \epsilon^i R_{i+1} \quad (8)$$

unknown functions and  $G_0 \equiv 1$ .

We give a relative version of the definition of different types of first integral for the deformation (1).

**Definition.** We denote by  $K_{F,\omega}$  the field associated to the deformation (1). That is, the smallest differential field in a tubular neighborhood  $U$  of a cycle  $\gamma_0$  containing the functions given by coefficients of  $dF$  and  $\omega$ .

Let  $F_\epsilon = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} \epsilon^i F_i$ ,  $\ell < \infty$ , be a first integral of (1). We say that it is *Darboux, Liouville or Riccati*, respectively, if all  $F_i$  are in the corresponding extension of the field  $K_{F,\omega}$ .

## Contact Information:

Institute of Mathematics,

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México

México City, México

Email: pontigo@matem.unam.mx

**Theorem 3.** [3] *There exists a solution  $(G, R)$  of the equation*

$$\Omega \wedge \tilde{d}\Omega = 0 \quad (9)$$

*if and only if the deformation preserves formal integrability along  $\gamma$ , i.e.,  $\Delta \equiv 0$ .*

*Proof.* It follows by Frobenius Theorem.  $\square$

We also consider the Godbillon-Vey equation up to order  $k$  with  $\Omega, G, R$  given by (7) and (8):

$$\Omega \wedge \tilde{d}\Omega = 0 \pmod{\epsilon^{k+1}}. \quad (10)$$

**Definition (Godbillon-Vey pairs and Godbillon-Vey sequence for a deformation).** We call any pair  $(G_i, R_i)$  verifying (10), an  $i$ -th *Godbillon-Vey pair associated to the deformation* (1). The sequence  $(G_i, R_i)$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots$  is the *Godbillon-Vey sequence associated to the deformation*. We say that the length of a Godbillon-Vey sequence associated to the deformation is  $\ell$ , if  $\ell$  is the smallest index such that  $G_{\ell+1} = 0$ . If there does not exist such an index, we say that the sequence is of infinite length.

## Main theorems

Here we state our two main results. The first establishes the relationship between the Françoise pairs and the Godbillon-Vey pairs associated to the deformation. In particular it shows that the minimal length of Françoise sequences and Godbillon-Vey sequences coincide:

**Theorem 4.**

(i) *The Melnikov functions  $M_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , are identically equal to zero if and only if one can solve the equation*

$$\Omega \wedge \tilde{d}\Omega = 0 \pmod{\epsilon^{k+1}}, \quad (11)$$

(ii) *For each choice of the Françoise sequence  $(g_i, r_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , the Godbillon-Vey sequence  $(G_i, R_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , can be chosen verifying the equations*

$$G_i = (-1)^i g_i, \quad R_i = (-1)^{i+1} i r_i. \quad (12)$$

(iii) *If  $\Omega$  verifies (11) then*

*a) there exists a function  $N = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^k \epsilon^i n_i$  such that*

$$\Omega = N \tilde{d}F_\epsilon \pmod{\epsilon^{k+1}}.$$

*Then the function  $F_\epsilon$  is of the form*

$$F_\epsilon = F + \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i+1} \epsilon^i r_i. \quad (13)$$

*and*

$$\tilde{d}F_\epsilon = \tilde{R}d\epsilon + \tilde{G}(dF + \epsilon\omega). \quad (14)$$

*b) Let  $\tilde{G}$  and  $\tilde{R}$  be given in (14) and  $(G_i, R_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , be its coefficients as in (8). Then the functions  $(g_i, r_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , given by (12) are Françoise pairs.*

Our second result gives the type of local first integral  $F_\epsilon$  of the deformation (1) if the length of its Françoise sequence is finite. The first result is that the first integral is in a finite sequence of extensions of Darboux type. The second shows that it is in a single extension of Liouvillian type.



**Theorem 5.** *Let  $\eta_\epsilon = dF + \epsilon\omega$  as in (1) be such that there exists a Françoise sequence of finite length  $\ell$ .*

(i) *Then (1) admits a univalued first integral which is Darboux with respect to the field  $K_{F,\omega}$  of the deformation (1).*

(ii) *Then there exists a meromorphic form  $\tilde{\theta}_\epsilon$  verifying the Godbillon-Vey sequence of length 2:*

$$\begin{aligned} d\eta_\epsilon &= \eta_\epsilon \wedge \tilde{\theta}_\epsilon \\ d\tilde{\theta}_\epsilon &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

*such that there exists a (possibly multivalued) first integral  $\tilde{F}_\epsilon$  of (1) verifying*

$$d\tilde{F}_\epsilon = f\eta_\epsilon,$$

*where*

$$df = f\tilde{\theta}_\epsilon$$

*is a (possibly multivalued) function in a tubular neighborhood  $U$  of the cycle  $\gamma_0$ .*

*In particular, the function  $f$  belongs to a Liouville extension of  $K_{F,\omega}$  and  $\tilde{F}_\epsilon$  belongs to a Darboux extension of this Liouville extension.*

**Remark.** Note that we are restricting our study to a tubular neighborhood  $U$  of a cycle  $\gamma_0$ . A first integral  $F_\epsilon$  which is Darboux in  $U$  can be more complicated (Liouville, Riccati, ...) when studied globally.

**Remark.** In Theorem 5 (ii) we prove in particular that if the deformation (1) has a finite Françoise sequence, then it has a Liouvillian first integral. The converse is an interesting question.

**Remark.** In Theorem 5 we suppose that (1) has a Françoise sequence of finite order. What happens in the case of  $\ell = \infty$ ? In particular, is it possible to give a condition assuring that a deformation (1) has a Liouville or a Riccati first integral in these terms?

## References

- [1] Casale, G. *Suites de Godbillon-Vey et intégrales premières.* (French. English, French summary) [Godbillon-Vey sequences and first integrals] C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 335 (2002), no. 12, 1003-1006.
- [2] Françoise, J.-P., *Successive derivatives of a first return map, application to the study of quadratic vector fields.* Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **16** (1996), no. 1, 87-96.
- [3] Godbillon, C., Vey, J. *Un invariant des feuilletages de codimension 1.* (French) C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B 273 1971 A92-A95.
- [4] Mardesic, P., Novikov, D., Ortiz-Bobadilla, L., Pontigo-Herrera, J., *Godbillon-Vey sequence and Françoise algorithm*, Bulletin des Sciences Mathématiques (2019), DOI: 10.1016/j.bulsci.2019.02.001.

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Israel Science Foundation grant 1167/17, Papiit (Dgapa UNAM) IN106217, ECOS Nord-Conacyt 249542 and Fordecyt 265667